

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 61187

**Title:** Restenosis of a Drug Eluting Stent on the Previous Bioresorbable Vascular Scaffold  
Successfully Treated with a Drug-Coated Balloon

**Reviewer's code:** 05432699

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Academic Research, Consultant Physician-Scientist, Doctor, Lecturer

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** Li Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-03 21:48

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-08 19:58

**Review time:** 4 Days and 22 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear authors This paper has relevance to the purpose and the audience of the journal. you introduced a novel case in the era of in-stent restenosis. the quality of manuscript is above average. the conclusion appropriately summarized the data. i hope that you follow up your patient for five years and report any late complications