

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: The manuscript analyze 14 patients in full pandemic time and is to appreciate the idea of same day procedures and in the same time maintaining the results of therapy. The manuscript is original and well organized.

**Thank you for this feedback.**

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: A pertinent study in COVID times. However the number is far too small and observational for any generalisation. Please augment the discussion with more data of SPECT in liver tumours and why would this combination TARE fare better

**Thank you for this important feedback. We have added significant information regarding the utility of SPECT/CT and the benefits of same-day Y-90 radioembolization in the introduction and discussion section.**

#### 4 LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

#### 5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a observational study of an opportunity to improve care for patients with liver cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic. The topic is within the scope of the WJGO.

(1) Classification: Grade A and Grade C;

(2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors should augment the discussion with more data of SPECT in liver tumor and why would this combination TARE fare better. The manuscript is original and well organized. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered.

**Addressed above.**

(3) Format: There are 1 table and 5 figures;

(4) References: A total of 35 references are cited, including 16 references published in the last 3 years;  
(5) Self-cited references: There are 4 self-cited references. The self-referencing rates should be less than 10%. Please keep the reasonable self-citations (i.e. those that are most closely related to the topic of the manuscript) and remove all other improper self-citations. If the authors fail to address the critical issue of self-citation, the editing process of this manuscript will be terminated;

Removed a self-citation to reduce the self-citation rate to <10% as requested. This was previously citation #15 by Elsayed.

(6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A and Grade B. The authors are native English speakers. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the Institutional Review Board Approval Form. Written informed consent was waived. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJGO.

(5) Issues raised:

(1) The title is too long, and it should be no more than 18 words;

Title shortened.

(2) The authors need to fill out the STROBE checklist with page numbers;

Page numbers have been added.

(3) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions;

Author contribution section as been completed.

(4) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Resubmitted.

(5) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;

Revised.

(6) The "Article Highlights" section is missing. Please add the "Article Highlights" section at the end of the main text. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Article highlights added.

(2) Editorial office director:

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...".