



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61258

Title: Successful upgrade to cardiac resynchronization therapy for cardiac implantation-associated left subclavian vein occlusion: a case report

Reviewer's code: 03849140

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-30

Reviewer chosen by: Li Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-20 19:22

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-20 19:41

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a case of a 46-year-old man with total subclavian vein occlusion who successfully treated with an upgrade to a cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) pacemaker by utilizing transferable interventional coronary and radiological techniques. This is an interesting paper. However, there are some minor concerns: 1) The authors should clarify the reason for selection of CRT-P instead of CRT-D.