

Answering Reviewers

1. Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: In this retrospective study, the authors analyzed the data of 10 patients surgically treated for gastrointestinal involvement of Behcet`s disease (GIBD) and 106 patients surgically treated for Crohn`s disease (CD) to identify notable clinical features and effective postoperative treatment for surgical patients with GIBD. It is a novel idea with obvious aim. The study is sufficient to reach the aim, written in a clear understandable language with logic conclusion. There are **few corrections** mentioned in the reviewed manuscript.

Answer: I have corrected mistakes mentioned in the reviewed manuscript.

2. EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

(1) Science editor: Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); (2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (3) The "Article Highlights" section is missing. Please add the "Article Highlights" section at the end of the main text.

(2) Editorial office director: none.

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I recommend the manuscript to be published in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.

Answer: (1) I have upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); (2) I have uploaded the "61273-Figures. ppt" to the file destination of "Image File"; (3) I have added the "Article Highlights" section at the end of the main text.