

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61344

Title: Bilateral Musculocutaneous Neuropathy: A Case Report and Discussion

Reviewer's code: 03769068

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-03 11:52

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-04 13:44

Review time: 1 Day and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Excellent [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Very good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: Good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Fair [<input type="radio"/>] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Priority publishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Minor language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Accept (High priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Accept (General priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Minor revision [<input type="radio"/>] Major revision [<input type="radio"/>] Rejection
Re-review	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Yes [<input type="radio"/>] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a very interesting case report. The article is clear, objective and well written. I think it's important for authors to mention ethical issues in the text.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61344

Title: Bilateral Musculocutaneous Neuropathy: A Case Report and Discussion

Reviewer's code: 02439165

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-03 07:01

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-05 11:35

Review time: 2 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Musculocutaneous lesions [nerve lesions] usually occur proximal to the biceps and brachialis muscles

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61344

Title: Bilateral Musculocutaneous Neuropathy: A Case Report and Discussion

Reviewer's code: 03769068

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-03

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-15 17:46

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-15 17:49

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Grade A: Excellent [<input type="checkbox"/>] Grade B: Very good [<input type="checkbox"/>] Grade C: Good [<input type="checkbox"/>] Grade D: Fair [<input type="checkbox"/>] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Grade A: Priority publishing [<input type="checkbox"/>] Grade B: Minor language polishing [<input type="checkbox"/>] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [<input type="checkbox"/>] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Accept (High priority) [<input type="checkbox"/>] Accept (General priority) [<input type="checkbox"/>] Minor revision [<input type="checkbox"/>] Major revision [<input type="checkbox"/>] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I felt contemplated by the authors 'responses to the reviewers' questions.