

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 61350

Title: Asymptomatic portal vein aneurysm: Three case reports

Reviewer's code: 05232058

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Malaysia

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-17

Reviewer chosen by: Xi-Fang Chen (Quit in 2021)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-05 06:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-18 08:39

Review time: 13 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Criteria Checklist for New Manuscript Peer-Review

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? - Yes

2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? -Yes

3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? -Yes

4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? - Yes

5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? - Not applicable

6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? - Not applicable

7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? -Yes

8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? - Yes Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? - Perhaps figure 1 can be cropped to omit non-relevant areas and information

9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? Not applicable

10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? yes

11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Yes Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references?

12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? Yes. However in page 4- "patients who presented no symptoms that could

be associated with aneurysm"- missing word 13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? Yes 14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? Yes General comment: The article describes a series of cases of an uncommon radiological diagnosis; ie portal vein aneurysm. No actual novel concept was introduced, nevertheless it highlights this condition to the managing clinicians and imaging providers, while supporting the current mainstay of management.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 61350

Title: Asymptomatic portal vein aneurysm: Three case reports

Reviewer's code: 03475242

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-17

Reviewer chosen by: Xi-Fang Chen (Quit in 2021)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-04 09:04

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-23 09:20

Review time: 19 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is well written case reports on asymptomatic portal vein aneurysm; however, I have the following questions and comments (1) When describing the course of each case, it is not necessary to list items such as CC and physical examination, and prose format is sufficient. In fact, CC is not necessary as all three cases have no symptoms. (2) Figure 3 is not contrast-enhanced image. If you have more attractive images such as multiphase one and fusion image, you should present us. (3) The title of this paper includes “review of the literature”, but your description of discussion does not meet the form of review of the literatures. For example, if you compare the characteristics between symptomatic and asymptomatic patients, it will become meaningful.