ROUND 1

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your email and the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "A case of bone marrow inhibition of patient with lupus nephritis induced by azathioprine but without common mutation in the *TPMT* pathogenic site"（ID 61505）. Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for improving our paper. We have studied the comments carefully and revised the manuscript according to the recommendation which we hope meet with approval. Response to the reviews' comments are as following:

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I believe the results of pre-therapy infectious disease screening should be clarified by the Authors.

The patient's basic infectious disease screening test was negative.

Moreover, there are some trivial typographical errors (missing spaces). Apart from that, the manuscript is overall well written, clear, and fluent, and the references are timely and up-to-date. In light of this, I believe that this manuscript should considered for publication after correcting the above mentioned   minor issues.

Thanks

Respond to editor:

1. The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions;

Respond: Thank you very much for your recognition of our work. Thank you also for your serious guidance in our writing, which is very helpful to our progress.you are so nice.We have added the author's contributions based on your guidance.The detailed revisions are shown in red in our manuscript.Thank you!

2. The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure

that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Respond: We added the original image containing the original digital file, and we used PowerPoint to prepare and arrange the graphics to ensure that the editor can reprocess all graphics or arrows or text parts;

3. *PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;*

Respond: We are very sorry about this, because PMID and DOI numbers are missing from our references list. We have revised the references of the entire article. We have marked the PubMed number and DOI citation number in the references list through PubMed, and listed all the authors of the references.

4. *The "Case Presentation" section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision..*

Respond: We have carefully studied *the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation*. We have refilled the " *Case Presentation* " section and revised the guidelines and requirements according to the manuscript. The "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections have been added to the main text. The details are shown in red in our manuscript, especially in The "CasePresentation" section.

We have tried our best to revise and improve the manuscript and made great changes in the manuscript according to the reviewers' good comments. We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the corrections will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions. We look forward to your information about my revised papers and thank you for your good comments.

Thank you and best regards.

Yours sincerely,

Xiaoshuang Zhou

Corresponding author:

Name: Jia Yao

E-mail: 18535118814@163.com

Thank you very much for consideration!

ROUND 2

Some typo are still present, but manuscript has been improved after revision and I think it should be considered for publication.

I did not receive a clear comment or email that needs to be revised. Could you please tell me about the specifics? I have modified the format of the paper.