

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61540

Title: Clinical outcomes and 5-year follow-up results of keratosis pilaris treated by a high concentration of glycolic acid

Reviewer's code: 05495175

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-26 10:45

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-24 00:25

Review time: 28 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read this manuscript No. 61540 with great interest. This study evaluated the efficacy and safety of a high concentration of glycolic acid in the treatment of keratosis pilaris, and to observe outcomes at 5-year follow-up. The sample in this study is acceptable. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are reasonable and very clear. The results are very interesting, and well discussed with updated references. Only some minor revisions are required. 1. The manuscript requires a minor editing. Both the language and the format should be revised and updated carefully. 2. Tables should be moved to the end of the manuscript. And please format the tables carefully. Some of the tables are difficult to read. 3. A conclusion is required for the main text. Please conclude it. 4. The references list should be revised according to the journal's guidelines.