**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 61671

**Manuscript Type:** CASE REPORT

**Contrast-enhanced ultrasound using SonoVue mixed with oral gastrointestinal contrast agent to evaluate esophageal hiatal hernia:** **Report of three cases and a literature review**

Wang JY *et al*. Diagnosing EHH by CEUS

Jing-Yu Wang, Yan Luo, Wen-Ying Wang, Shi-Cheng Zheng, Lian He, Chun-Yan Xie, Li Peng

**Jing-Yu Wang, Wen-Ying Wang, Lian He, Li Peng,** Department of Ultrasound, First People's Hospital of Longquanyi District, Longquan Hospital of West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu 610100, Sichuan Province, China

**Yan Luo,** Department of Ultrasound, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan Province, China

**Shi-Cheng Zheng, Chun-Yan Xie**, Department of Gastroenterology, First People's Hospital of Longquanyi District, Longquan Hospital of West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu 610100, Sichuan Province, China

**Author contributions:** Wang JY, Peng L, and Luo Y designed the study and wrote the manuscript; Wang WY performed the literature search and edited the manuscript; He L collected the data; Zheng SC and Xie CY performed the data analysis and interpretation; all authors approved the final version of the submitted manuscript and agree to ensure that any questions related to the work are appropriately resolved.

**Supported by** the Research Project of Sichuan Medical Association, Nos. S19080 and S18075.

**Corresponding author: Li Peng, MD, Associate Chief Physician,** Department of Ultrasound, First People's Hospital of Longquanyi District, Longquan Hospital of West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Longquanyi District, Chengdu 610100, Sichuan Province, China. 912435936@qq.com

**Received:** December 16, 2020

**Revised:** January 20, 2021

**Accepted:** February 8, 2021

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Due to a thicker abdominal wall in some patients, ultrasound artifacts from gastrointestinal gas and surrounding tissues can interfere with routine ultrasound examination, precluding its ability to display or clearly show the structure of a hernial sac (HS) and thereby diminishing diagnostic performance for esophageal hiatal hernia (EHH). Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) imaging using an oral agent mixture allows for clear and intuitive identification of an EHH sac and dynamic observation of esophageal reflux.

CASE SUMMARY

In this case series, we report three patients with clinically-suspected EHH, including two females and one male with an average age of 67.3 ± 16.4 years. CEUS was administered with an oral agent mixture (microbubble-based SonoVue and gastrointestinal contrast agent) and identified a direct sign of supradiaphragmatic HS (containing the hyperechoic agent) and indirect signs [*e.g*., widening of esophageal hiatus, hyperechoic mixture agent continuously or intermittently reflux flowing back and forth from the stomach into the supradiaphragmatic HS, and esophagus-gastric echo ring (*i.e*., the “EG” ring) seen above the diaphragm]. All three cases received a definitive diagnosis of EHH by esophageal manometry and gastroscopy. Two lesions resolved upon drug treatment and one required surgery. The recurrence rate in follow-up was 0%. The data from these cases suggest that the new non-invasive examination method may greatly improve the diagnosis of EHH.

CONCLUSION

CEUS with the oral agent mixture can facilitate clear and intuitive identification of HS and dynamic observation of esophageal reflux.

**Key Words:** SonoVue; Oral gastrointestinal contrast agent; Contrast-enhanced ultrasound; Gastrointestinal; Hiatal hernia; Case report

Wang JY, Luo Y, Wang WY, Zheng SC, He L, Xie CY, Peng L. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound using SonoVue mixed with oral gastrointestinal contrast agent to evaluate esophageal hiatal hernia: Report of three cases and a literature review. *World J Clin Cases* 2021; In press

**Core Tip:** In this research, we used a new contrast ultrasound examination method to evaluate esophageal hiatal hernia (EHH). An oral agent mixture was created by mixing microbubble-based SonoVue with a gastrointestinal contrast agent, and applied in contrast ultrasound for evaluation of EHH. This new examination method not only allowed for clear image direct visualization but also dynamic observation of esophageal reflux. To our best knowledge, this is the first report on the use of SonoVue mixed with an oral gastrointestinal contrast agent in the diagnosis of EHH.

**INTRODUCTION**

Esophageal hiatal hernia (EHH) refers to one or more abdominal organs entering the mediastinum through the esophageal hiatus[1]. Clinically, the condition is divided into four types, namely, sliding type (type I), paraesophageal type (type II), mixed type (type III), and multiple organ type (type IV)[2,3]. The overall incidence is about 10%-50%[2], and type I is the most common form, accounting for more than 85% of overall cases[1]. Clinically, diagnostic methods for EHH include radiography, endoscopy, esophageal manometry[4], pH measurement[3], and ultrasonography[5]. However, each of these techniques has shortcomings and limitations, such as radiation exposure, more invasiveness, difficulty in finding small and sliding hernias, and inconclusive diagnosis for small EHH. Previous studies have showed that real-time ultrasound is effective for evaluating EHH, when used with an oral contrast agent that fills the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, thereby allowing for observation of a hernial sac (HS)[6,7]. Unfortunately, due to a thicker abdominal wall in some patients, the interference of ultrasound artifacts (from GI gases and surrounding tissues) and the small size of an HS can obscure the oral contrast ultrasound image, precluding display or clear visualization of the HS structure and diminishing diagnostic performance for EHH.

In order to find an effective and reliable diagnostic method to more accurately and easily evaluate EHH, we generated an oral agent mixture to investigate the performance of contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) and to demonstrate the characteristic ultrasound manifestations of EHH in this study.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

***Chief complaints***

All patients in this case series presented with clinically-suspected EHH requiring treatment.

***History of present illness***

**Case 1:** A 63-year-old woman presented to the gastroenterology department of our hospital, complaining of a half-year history of belching, acid reflux, and pain behind the sternum.

**Case 2:** A 46-year-old man presented with complaints of a 1-year history of heartburn, acid reflux, and feeling of gastric fullness.

**Case 3:** A 78-year-old woman presented with complaints of a 1-year history of heartburn, acid reflux, pain behind the sternum, and belching.

***History of past illness***

**Case 1:** The patient reported that her symptoms had previously been treated as gastritis, but she had experienced no significant improvement.

**Case 2:** The patient had no significant medical history.

**Case 3:** The patient had an established diagnosis of hypertension, for which she was taking antihypertensive medications.

***Imaging examinations***

**Oral contrast agent mixture preparation and optimization:** A mixed oral contrast suspension was created by mixing microbubble-based SonoVue with a GI contrast agent. First, a vial (59 mg) of SonoVue (Bracco, Milan, Italy) was diluted with 5 mL saline and shaken well to formulate into a microbubble suspension. Second, one package (50 g) of oral GI contrast agent (Tianxia; Huzhou East Asia Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd, Huzhou, China) was mixed with 500 mL boiling water (100 °C) and stirred evenly, after which it was cooled to room temperature naturally.

To optimize the oral contrast agent mixture, different ratios of SonoVue were mixed with the GI contrast agent (*i.e*., 1 mL:500 mL, 2.5 mL:500 mL, and 5 mL:500 mL) and then tested in three normal volunteers. Each of the three mixture suspensions was administrated orally, after which the volunteer underwent CEUS of the upper GI tract. The results of CEUS with the different mixture ratios of the tested agent were judged subjectively by two experienced ultrasound doctors (Wang JY and Peng L, each with more than 20 years of ultrasound experience) for selecting the optimal mixture ratio of the agent.

Real-time CEUS demonstrated that, for all ratios, the mixed suspension agent appeared as hyperechoic content and entered from the esophagus into the gastric cavity (Figure 1). The optimal mixed oral contrast agent ratio was determined to be the 2.5 mL:500 mL mixture, and was applied to all three of the EHH patients reported herein (Table 1).

Ultrasound scanning was performed in two stages, using the conventional oral contrast agent and the new oral agent mixture. We observed dynamically lower esophageal segment and hiatus, cardia, and gastric fundus and body during the swallowing of the agent. At the same time, we performed measurements of the esophageal hiatus and the HS (Figure 2).

**Case 1:** Gray-scale ultrasound measurement of the esophageal hiatus was 2.2 cm on an empty stomach and 2.3 cm after drinking the oral contrast agent mixture. CEUS clearly identified a hyperechoic HS, located above the diaphragm and measuring 2.5 cm × 2.4 cm (Figure 3A and B). The ultrasound diagnosis of EHH was confirmed by gastroscopy, and definitively diagnosed as EHH (Figure 3C and D).

**Case 2:** Gray-scale ultrasound measurement of the esophageal hiatus was 1.5 cm on an empty stomach and 1.64 cm after drinking the oral contrast agent mixture. The contrast-filled HS was located above the diaphragm, measuring 3.9 cm × 4.0 cm (Figure 4A and B). Real-time ultrasound imaging showed the hyperechoic contrast agent being refluxed into the supradiaphragmatic HS from the gastric cavity, at the rate of about three times in 5 min; the total reflux time lasted > 3 s. The ultrasound diagnosis was EHH with gastroesophageal reflux, which was consistent with gastroscopy results (Figure 4C and D). High-resolution esophageal pressure measurement showed that the upper esophageal sphincter pressure was normal with normal relaxation, while the lower esophageal sphincter (LES) pressure was low (Figure 4E).

**Case 3:** Gray-scale ultrasound measurement of the esophageal hiatus was 2.22 cm on an empty stomach and 2.53 cm after drinking the oral contrast agent mixture. The abdominal segment of the esophagus moved upwards and the contrast-filled HS was found above the diaphragm, measuring 6.9 cm × 4.8 cm and having the characteristic esophagus-gastric echo ring (*i.e*., the “EG” ring) (Figure 5A and B). Real-time ultrasound imaging showed the hyperechoic contrast agent being refluxed into the supradiaphragmatic HS from the gastric cavity, at the rate of about three times in 5 min; the total reflux time lasted > 3 s. The ultrasound diagnosis was EHH with gastroesophageal reflux, which was consistent with gastroscopy results (Figure 5C and D). High-resolution esophageal pressure measurement showed that the upper esophageal sphincter pressure was normal with abnormal relaxation, while the LES pressure was low and associated with separation of the LES from the diaphragm, which was consistent with a type III EHH (Figure 5E).

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

**Case 1**: EHH.

**Cases 2 and 3:** EHH with gastroesophageal reflux.

**TREATMENT**

Cases 1 and 2 were administrated standard drug treatment. Case 3 underwent surgery.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

**Case 1:** Ultrasound showed that the HS was still present at half a year after the diagnosis, although the patient’s symptoms had disappeared.

**Case 2:** Ultrasound showed that the HS was still present at 1 year after the diagnosis, although the patient’s symptoms had disappeared.

**Case 3:** During the 1-year follow-up period, the patient’s symptoms disappeared and follow-up ultrasound examination revealed no evidence of recurrence.

**DISCUSSION**

Esophageal hiatus is commonly formed by a division of the right diaphragmatic foot into two branches around the esophagus[8]. A study pointed out that all EHH patients with esophageal hiatus had hiatus widening and the shape of the hiatus changed from sagittal foramen to circular foramen[8]. In addition, a previous study has shown that the incidence and prevalence of EHH increase with the patient’s age and body mass index[9]. The underlying reasons are that the elasticity of the ligament structure around the diaphragm hiatus decreases with age and that the gastric pressure increase in obese patients leads to an increase in the axial pressure of the esophagus at the opening of the diaphragm. The clinical symptoms of EHH can correspondingly include upper abdomen or retrosternal pain, heartburn, acid reflux, postprandial satiety, nausea, retching, and dysphagia[8]. In addition, due to the destruction of the anti-reflux mechanism between supradiaphragmatic HS and the cardia, patients with EHH often have coexistent gastroesophageal reflux[3,10]. The acid exposure of the esophagus causes contraction of the longitudinal muscles of the esophagus. Furthermore, the esophagus undergoes axial shortening and the cardia becomes pulled upwards[3]. The frequent reflux itself will lead to more esophageal acid exposure and further aggravate the damage and contraction of the esophagus, thus forming a vicious circle.

It has been a long-standing consensus that gas in the lungs and GI tract limits the application of ultrasound in the diagnosis of GI diseases. In fact, this started as early as when Naik and Moore[11] first used ultrasound to observe the gastroesophageal junction, and was agreed upon by the subsequent researchers[12] expanding the scope of ultrasound examination to the upper digestive tract in children. Westra *et al*[5] used ultrasound to observe the structural morphology of the gastroesophageal junction in children for the first time and measured the angle between the esophagus and the fundus of the stomach and abdominal esophagus, describing and summarizing the ultrasound characteristics of gastroesophageal reflux and EHH in infants. In recent years, with the rapid development of ultrasound technology and the application of oral GI contrast agents[13-15], the application of ultrasound in the GI tract has spread rapidly. However, in the case of patients with a thicker abdominal wall, interference by lung gas and surrounding tissues has led to poorer ultrasound image quality, greatly affecting the doctor's ability to observe and determine differential diagnoses.

With advancement of the CEUS technique in recent years, the abilities of ultrasound-based diagnosis have been greatly improved. The second-generation ultrasound enhancer SonoVue is a microbubble-based enhancer used to produce a hyperechogenic reflection on contrast harmonic ultrasound imaging for vascular and nonvascular applications[16-21]. For the diagnosis of GI diseases, it was reported that adding the contrast agent SHU 508 A (Levovist; Schering AG, Berlin, Germany) to milk was useful for ultrasound diagnosis and classification of gastroesophageal reflux in children[10]. Other scholars have applied a mixture of SonoVue with an oral GI agent for positioning of a naso-intestinal tube in critically ill patients[22]. The oral GI contrast agent Tianxia is a commercially available product in China and has been used to fill the stomach, replacing gastric gas and debris, for GI ultrasound examination[6,23-27]. This powder-based agent is made from edible grains and various natural ingredients, including rice, yam, and tangerine peel, and can reconstitute with water to form a fluid solution that appears as an echogenic reflection in the GI tract on conventional gray-scale ultrasound imaging.

In the present study, we created an oral contrast agent mixture using SonoVue and the conventional oral GI agent Tianxia, in order to obtain a better enhancement effect for esophageal and gastric ultrasound examination. As far as we know, there is no report on the use of SonoVue mixed with an oral GI contrast agent in the diagnosis of EHH. The oral agent mixture and simultaneous dual-screen display were used for not just visualization of anatomic structures of esophagus and stomach as well as surrounding structures on gray-scale imaging but also for better delineation of contrast-enhanced luminal structures (*i.e.,* HS) and dynamic changes of the enhanced fluid on contrast imaging, which exploited the advantages of the two contrast agents. The double-screen comparison was useful for imaging and facilitated the accurate localization of the area of interest. At the same time, since noise from the surrounding tissues, such as liver, blood vessel, and heart tissues, is suppressed and the interference of surrounding air is removed on contrast mode imaging, the shape and contour of the digestive tract and cavity can be clearly outlined.

Ultrasound can not only demonstrate the morphology of the GI tract but also evaluate its function[28,29]. In the present study, we found that this oral agent mixture is helpful for CEUS imaging of EHH patients with esophageal reflux, especially for obese patients with severe gas interference. First, the mixed agent can enhance the gray-scale imaging of the oral GI contrast agent; second, the mixed solution is in a semi-liquid state and stays in the stomach for a long time, so the time and frequency of reflux can be observed continuously[6,7]. When the patient is lying on their right side and performing Valsalva maneuvers, the increase of abdominal pressure will reduce the anti-reflux mechanism, which makes it easier to observe the situation of reflux. Because some small hernias or sliding hernias are often asymptomatic or manifest atypical symptoms and intermittent episodes, they are easily interfered by subjective factors, and thus routine ultrasound, X-ray radiography, endoscopy, and esophageal manometry are not readily able to facilitate a diagnosis[30]. For such patients, CEUS with the oral agent mixture can make up for the deficiencies of these routine examinations and can be beneficial for evaluation of small hernias and sliding hernias.

**CONCLUSION**

To our knowledge, this is the first report of application of CEUS with an oral agent mixture in the diagnosis of EHH. With it, we were able to identify the direct sign of EHH and the indirect signs through enhanced imaging, clearly and intuitively. The advantages of this method are ease of use, no radiation exposure, noninvasive nature, and reliability, all of which hold great value for clinical application in patients with EHH.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Patoulias D**, Kalogirou M, Feidantsis T, Kallergis I, Patoulias I. Paraesophageal Hernia as a Cause of Chronic Asymptomatic Anemia in a 6 Years Old Boy; Case Report and Review of the Literature. *Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove)* 2017; **60**: 76-81 [PMID: 28976874 DOI: 10.14712/18059694.2017.97]

2 **Weitzendorfer M**, Köhler G, Antoniou SA, Pallwein-Prettner L, Manzenreiter L, Schredl P, Emmanuel K, Koch OO. Preoperative diagnosis of hiatal hernia: barium swallow X-ray, high-resolution manometry, or endoscopy? *Eur Surg* 2017; **49**: 210-217 [PMID: 29104588 DOI: 10.1007/s10353-017-0492-y]

3 **Kishikawa H**, Kimura K, Ito A, Arahata K, Takarabe S, Kaida S, Kanai T, Miura S, Nishida J. Association between Increased Gastric Juice Acidity and Sliding Hiatal Hernia Development in Humans. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0170416 [PMID: 28107506 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0170416]

4 **Rogers B**, Hasak S, Hansalia V, Gyawali CP. Trans-esophagogastric junction pressure gradients during straight leg raise maneuver on high-resolution manometry associate with large hiatus hernias. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2020; **32**: e13836 [PMID: 32163648 DOI: 10.1111/nmo.13836]

5 **Westra SJ**, Wolf BH, Staalman CR. Ultrasound diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux and hiatal hernia in infants and young children. *J Clin Ultrasound* 1990; **18**: 477-485 [PMID: 2162855 DOI: 10.1002/jcu.1870180605]

6 **Peng L,** Wang JY, Zheng SC, He L, Wang WY, Luo X, Zhang YL. Diagnosis value of gastroesophageal reflux disease by gastric-filling ultrasound and endoscope. *Xibu Yixue* 2019, **31**: 1613-1661 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-3511.2019.10.027]

7 **Peng L,** Wang JY, Luo Y, He L, Zheng SC, Chen M, Xie CY, Zhang YL. Oral SonoVue microbubble contrast agent and gastric adjuvant mixture for the diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Xibu Yixue* 2020, **32**: 594-598 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-3511.2020.04.023]

8 **Kumar D**, Zifan A, Ghahremani G, Kunkel DC, Horgan S, Mittal RK. Morphology of the esophageal hiatus: Is it different in 3 types of hiatus hernias? *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2020; **26**: 51-60 [PMID: 31677612 DOI: 10.5056/jnm18208]

9 **Menon S**, Trudgill N. Risk factors in the aetiology of hiatus hernia: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011; **23**: 133-138 [PMID: 21178776 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0b013e3283426f57]

10 **Farina R**, Pennisi F, La Rosa M, Puglisi C, Mazzone G, Riva G, Foti PV, Ettorre GC. Contrast-enhanced colour-Doppler sonography *vs* pH-metry in the diagnosis of gastro-oesophageal reflux in children. *Radiol Med* 2008; **113**: 591-598 [PMID: 18478190 DOI: 10.1007/s11547-008-0267-4]

11 **Naik DR**, Moore DJ. Ultrasound diagnosis of gastro-oesophageal reflux. *Arch Dis Child* 1984; **59**: 366-367 [PMID: 6721563 DOI: 10.1136/adc.59.4.366]

12 **Cohen HL**. Ultrasound of the pediatric upper gastrointestinal tract. *Bull N Y Acad Med* 1989; **65**: 583-590 [PMID: 2688765]

13 **Lund PJ**, Fritz TA, Unger EC, Hunt RK, Fuller E. Cellulose as a gastrointestinal US contrast agent. *Radiology* 1992; **185**: 783-788 [PMID: 1438763 DOI: 10.1148/radiology.185.3.1438763]

14 **Liu Z**, Dou X, Guo J, Zhao Y, Zhang J, Ren W, Tang S, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Huang L, Lin L. Utility of transabdominal ultrasonography enhanced by oral cellulose-based contrast agent in depicting varices at cardia and fundus. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2020; **46**: 1428-1434 [PMID: 32217027 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2020.02.011]

15 **Gastrointestinal Ultrasound Panel of Ultrasound Professional Committee of China Medicine Education Association.** [Chinese expert consensus and guidlines for scanning technique and imaging acquisition]. *J Cancer Control Treat* 2020, **33:** 817-827 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1674-0904.2020.11.001]

16 **Zhai HY**, Liang P, Yu J, Cao F, Kuang M, Liu FY, Liu FY, Zhu XY. Comparison of sonazoid and sonoVue in the diagnosis of focal liver lesions: A preliminary study. *J Ultrasound Med* 2019; **38**: 2417-2425 [PMID: 30680779 DOI: 10.1002/jum.14940]

17 **von Herbay A**, Schick D, Horger M, Gregor M. [Low-MI-sonography with the contrast-agent SonoVue in the diagnosis of infarction of the spleen, kidney, liver and pancreas]. *Ultraschall Med* 2006; **27**: 445-450 [PMID: 17033946 DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-926832]

18 **Senior R**, Moreo A, Gaibazzi N, Agati L, Tiemann K, Shivalkar B, von Bardeleben S, Galiuto L, Lardoux H, Trocino G, Carrió I, Le Guludec D, Sambuceti G, Becher H, Colonna P, Ten Cate F, Bramucci E, Cohen A, Bezante G, Aggeli C, Kasprzak JD. Comparison of sulfur hexafluoride microbubble (SonoVue)-enhanced myocardial contrast echocardiography with gated single-photon emission computed tomography for detection of significant coronary artery disease: a large European multicenter study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013; **62**: 1353-1361 [PMID: 23770168 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.04.082]

19 **Chen LS**, Zhu ZQ, Li J, Wang ZT, Qiang Y, Hu XY, Zhang MM, Wang ZQ. Hysterosalpingo-contrast-sonography vs. magnetic resonance-hysterosalpingography for diagnosing fallopian tubal patency: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Radiol* 2020; **125**: 108891 [PMID: 32088657 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2020.108891]

20 **Shan QY**, Jiang H, Chen SL, Chen HD, Guo Y, Xie XY, Zhou LY. Postsurgical management of dilated biliary tract in children: Ultrasound-guided percutaneous transhepatic cholangial drainage and subsequent percutaneous ultrasound cholangiography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2020; **214**: 1377-1383 [PMID: 32160054 DOI: 10.2214/AJR.19.22225]

21 **Duran C**, Beltrán VP, González A, Gómez C, Riego JD. Contrast-enhanced voiding urosonography for vesicoureteral reflux diagnosis in children. *Radiographics* 2017; **37**: 1854-1869 [PMID: 29019761 DOI: 10.1148/rg.2017170024]

22 **Ye RZ,** Yang XH, Feng ZW, Hu BC, Liu JQ, Lv ZQ, Wang L,Peng C, Ultrasonography DO. Application of hybrid contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging technology in positioning indwelling nasointestinal tube in critically ill patients. *Zhonghua Yixue Chaosheng Zazhi* 2019, **16**: 87-94 [DOI: 10.3877/cma.j.issn.1672-6448.2019.02.003]

23 **Wu S**, Zhuang H, Zhao JY, Wang YF. Gastrocolic fistula in Crohn's disease detected by oral agent contrast-enhanced ultrasound: A case report of a novel ultrasound modality. *World J Gastroenterol* 2020; **26**: 2119-2125 [PMID: 32536779 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i17.2119]

24 **Liu Z**, Guo J, Wang S, Zhao Y, Li J, Ren W, Tang S, Xie L, Huang Y, Sun S, Huang L. Evaluation of transabdominal ultrasound after oral administration of an echoic cellulose-based gastric ultrasound contrast agent for gastric cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2015; **15**: 932 [PMID: 26606926 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-015-1943-0]

25 **Liu Z**, Guo J, Ren W, Sun S, Tang S, Xie L. A gastric calcifying fibrous pseudotumor detected by transabdominal ultrasound after oral administration of an echoic cellulose-based gastrointestinal ultrasound contrast agent. *Ultraschall Med* 2014; **35**: 181-183 [PMID: 24008776 DOI: 10.1055/s-0033-1350396]

26 **Liu Z**, Guo J, Wang S, Zhao Y, Liu Z, Li J, Ren W, Tang S, Xie L, Huang Y, Sun S, Huang L. Evaluation of transabdominal ultrasound with oral cellulose-based contrast agent in the detection and surveillance of gastric ulcer. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2017; **43**: 1364-1371 [PMID: 28461064 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2017.02.008]

27 **Qiu W,** Chen SB, Li MX. Evaluation of the self-made gastrointestinal ultrasound contrast agent combined with intravenous contrast enhanced ultrasound for the diagnosis of gastric cancer. *Xibu Yixue* 2017, **29**: 1301-1306 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-3511.2017.09.027]

28 **Dietrich CF**, Braden B. Sonographic assessments of gastrointestinal and biliary functions. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2009; **23**: 353-367 [PMID: 19505664 DOI: 10.1016/j.bpg.2009.03.003]

29 **Liu Z**, Liu Z, Li Y, Guo J, Li J, Ren W, Tang S, Xie L, Huang Y, Huang L, Sun S, Zhao Y. Evaluation of gastric emptying by transabdominal ultrasound after oral administration of semisolid cellulose-based gastric ultrasound contrast agents. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2018; **44**: 2183-2188 [PMID: 30006214 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.04.019]

30 **Tomida H**, Hayashi M, Hashimoto S. Massive hiatal hernia involving prolapse of the entire stomach and pancreas resulting in pancreatitis and bile duct dilatation: a case report. *Surg Case Rep* 2020; **6**: 11 [PMID: 31919679 DOI: 10.1186/s40792-020-0773-8]

**Footnotes**

**Informed consent statement:** All study participants provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All authors declare that they have no financial relationships to disclose.

**CARE Checklist (2016) statement:** The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

**Manuscript source:** Unsolicited manuscript

**Peer-review started:** December 16, 2020

**First decision:** January 10, 2021

**Article in press:**

**Specialty type:** Medicine, research and experimental

**Country/Territory of origin:** China

**Peer-review report’s scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B, B


Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): 0


Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Ferraioli G, Pantalone D **S-Editor:** Fan JR **L-Editor:** Wang TQ **P-Editor:**


**Figure Legends**




**Figure 1 Optimization of the contrast agent mixture in normal volunteers.** A: Gray-scale and contrast-enhanced ultrasound showed mild and insufficient enhancement of the upper gastrointestinal tract at the mixture ratio of 1 mL:500 mL; B: The 2.5 mL:500 mL ratio mixture produced homogenous moderate enhancement with good sound penetrability and margin delineation appearing within the esophagus and stomach. Arrows indicate the esophageal hiatus; C: The 5 mL:500 mL ratio mixture produced strong enhancement reflection with obvious far-field sound attenuation (triangle). ES: Esophagus; ST: Stomach.




**Figure 2 Measurement of the esophageal hiatus and the hernial sac.** The schematic diagram shows the measurement of the esophageal hiatus with fine-head arrows and the hernial sac with solid-head arrows. HS: Hernial sac; ST: Stomach.



**Figure 3 A 63-year-old woman with esophageal hiatal hernia.** A: Compared to the gray-scale imaging (right panel), contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging clearly showed the esophageal hiatus (large arrows) and the supradiaphragmatic hernial sac (HS); B: Ultrasound image obtained with conventional oral contrast agent shows the HS; C: Gastroscopic front view showed the dentate line to be shifted upwards and separated from the gastroesophageal junction; D: In the reverse view, the cardia opening was shown to be loosened and the double ring sign was partially visible. HS: Hernial sac; LL: Left liver lobe; ST: Stomach.



**Figure 4 A 46-year-old man with esophageal hiatal hernia.** A: Compared to the gray-scale imaging (right panel), contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging clearly showed the esophageal hiatus (large arrows) and the supradiaphragmatic hernial sac (HS); B: Ultrasound image obtained with conventional oral contrast agent shows the HS; C: Gastroscopic front view showed the reflux esophagitis; D: In the reverse view, the cardia opening was shown to be loosened; E: High-resolution esophageal pressure measurement showed type II esophageal hiatal hernia. HS: Hernial sac; LL: Left liver lobe; ST: Stomach.



**Figure 5 A 78-year-old woman with esophageal hiatal hernia.** A: Compared to the gray-scale imaging (right panel), contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging clearly showed the esophageal hiatus (large arrows), the supradiaphragmatic hernial sac (HS), and esophagus-gastric echo ring (*i.e*., the “EG” ring) (small arrows); B: Ultrasound image obtained with conventional oral contrast agent showed the HS; C: Gastroscopic front view showed the dentate line to be shifted upwards and separated from the gastroesophageal junction; D: In the reverse view, the cardia opening was shown to be loosened and the double ring sign was partially visible; E: High-resolution esophageal pressure measurement showed type III esophageal hiatal hernia. HS: Hernial sac; ST: Stomach.

**Table 1 Contrast ultrasound manifestations in three esophageal hiatal hernia patients**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **EHH patient** | **BMI** | **Size of hernia sac in cm** | **Diameter of widened hernia in cm** | **Esophageal reflux seen** | **Abdominal segment of esophagus moved upwards** | **EG ring seen above the diaphragm** |
| Case 1 | 24 | 2.5 × 2.4 | 2.30 | - | - | - |
| Case 2 | 23 | 3.9 × 4.0 | 2.47 | + | - | - |
| Case 3 | 23 | 6.9 × 4.8 | 2.53 | + | + | + |

BMI: Body mass index; EG ring: Esophagus-gastric echo ring; EHH: Esophageal hiatal hernia.