

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: As the number of online gamers has been rising daily, and addicts have emerged. Some of them present with craving and withdrawal reactions similar to those with psychoactive substance use. This phenomenon has evoked the attention of psychiatrists. There are mutual cause-and-effect interactions between these symptoms and addictive behaviors, which further aggravate the social function impairment of individuals and hinders their treatment and recovery. In this study, the authors investigated the influence of psychological intervention based on positive psychology on anxiety, depression and coping style in people addicted to online games. This study is well designed, and the results are very interesting. This study provides a reference for the clinical prevention and treatment of online games addiction. The reviewer suggests to accept this manuscript after a minor revision. 1. The manuscript is well written, only a minor editing is required. 2. A conclusion is required in the main text. 3. References are updated. The format should be updated according to the journal's guidelines.

Answer: We thank the reviewer for raising these questions. 1. The manuscript was furtherly edited. 2. A conclusion was added in the end of the main test.3. References are updated according to the journal's guidelines.

4 LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

Answer: We thank the reviewer for raising this question. We have revised the manuscript by a native-English speaker.

5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes an observational study of the positive psychological intervention for anxiety, depression and coping in subjects addicted to online games. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: T In this study, the authors investigated the influence of psychological intervention based on positive psychology on anxiety, depression and coping style in people addicted to online games. This study is well designed, and the results are very interesting. This study provides a reference for the clinical prevention and treatment of online games addiction. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There are 3 tables. A total of 17 references are cited, including 8 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by MedE was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors

provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the Institutional Review Board Approval Form, and the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by General Program under the Department of Health of Zhejiang Province, General Program of Zhejiang Provincial Department of Health. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (2) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); (3) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; (4) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text; and (5) Authors should always cite references that are relevant to their study. Please check and remove any references that not relevant to this study. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Answer: We thank the reviewer for raising these questions. (1) The “Author Contributions” section was added. (2) This article was conducted without funding support; (3) PMID and DOI numbers were added in the reference list; (4) The “Article Highlights” section was added at the end of the main text; and (5) We cited references that are relevant to our study.

(2) Editorial office director:

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

6 STEPS FOR SUBMITTING REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Step 1: Author Information

Please click and download the [Format for authorship, institution, and corresponding author guidelines](#), and further check if the authors names and institutions meet the requirements of the journal.

Step 2: Manuscript Information

Please check if the manuscript information is correct.

Step 3: Abstract, Main Text, and Acknowledgements

(a) Guidelines for revising the content: Please download the guidelines for Original articles; Review articles; and Case report articles for your specific manuscript type (Observational Study) at: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/291>. Please further revise your manuscript according to the guidelines for revising the content.

(b) Format for Manuscript Revision: Please update the format of your manuscript according to the guidelines and requirements for manuscript revision and the format for manuscript revision. Please visit <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/291> for the article type-specific guidelines and formatting examples.

(c) Requirements for article highlights: If your manuscript is an original study (basic study or clinical study), meta-analysis, or systemic review, the “Article Highlights” section should be provided. Detailed writing requirements for “Article Highlights” can be found in the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

Step 4: References

Please revise the references according to the [Format for references guidelines](#), and be sure to edit the reference using the reference auto-analyser.

Step 5: Footnotes and Figure Legends

(a) Requirements for figures: Please provide decomposable Figures (whose parts are all movable and editable), organize them into a single PowerPoint file, and submit as “**61771-Figures.ppt**” on the system. The figures should be uploaded to the file destination of “Image File”.

(b) Requirements for tables: Please provide decomposable Tables (whose parts are all movable and editable), organize them into a single Word file, and submit as “**61771-Tables.docx**” on the system. The tables should be uploaded to the file destination of “Table File”.