PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 61944

Title: Dermatomyositis and Polymyositis in Total Hip Arthroplasty

Reviewer's code: 02444740

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Israel

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-03

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-22 05:32

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-23 04:30

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This i a nice well-written retrospective article dealing with an important issue in relation with THR

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 61944

Title: Dermatomyositis and Polymyositis in Total Hip Arthroplasty

Reviewer's code: 00722528

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-03

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-22 14:26

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-09 16:06

Review time: 18 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- L10: What does "mainly" refer to? Did you only include DM and PM or further diagnosis? - Introduction: Well written, however little too long. I would recommend to shorten it about. - L83: "tend to" - this word already suggests that the results in the end can hardly be compared as authors already describe their interpretation of the literature without i.e. referring to a cochrane review / meta analysis. I think it would be much better to be absolutely precise as to the diagnosis. -