Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Authors describe the diabetes P4P program in Taiwan in details. This is a comprehensive review. I only have some minor suggestions.

1. **Authors described the CCM components one by one. Could authors add more information regarding the connections between these components? How they cooperate? What about the efficiency?**

A Thanks for your helpful comment.

(1) We have added more information regarding the connections between these components, and described how they cooperate. Please see the bottom of Discussion section (sentences with yellow color)

(2) We still have no idea whether the framework is efficiency (we know the framework is cost-effective based on the empirical studies). Future studies should investigate the efficiency of the framework.

2. I think the data from this program is relatively limited. I suggested author add more actual data instead of verbal description.

A Thanks for your suggestion. It is hard to add new data in this review (have never published before) because the review is not an empirical research. However, we follow the comments from company editor-in-chief and science editor: before final acceptance, the author(s) must add a table/figure to the manuscript. We have added Figure 1 to describe the framework of driving other CCM components from system components in Taiwan.