

RESPONSE TO THE REVIEWERS

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

Thank you for your valuable comments and suggestions about our manuscript entitled “Chronic Renal Dysfunction in Cirrhosis: A New Frontier in Hepatology” (ID: 61986). These are very helpful for revising and improving our manuscript.

In the revised manuscript we have incorporated all the changes as suggested by the reviewers. Revised portions are marked in red in the paper. Moreover, the revised manuscript has been edited for proper English language by a professional body (American Journal Experts) as per the recommendation. Our point-by-point responses to the issues raised in the peer review report are as follows:

1. Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Thank you for inviting me to review this paper. This paper elaborated the frontier information of CKD in patients with liver cirrhosis in many aspects. It's meaningful.

Authors' response: Thank for your valuable comments and accepting our manuscript. The revised manuscript was edited by a competent body (American Journal Experts: <http://www.aje.com>) for English language and a high quality has now been reached.

2. Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

2a. This manuscript is well written, and is worth reading.

Authors' response: Thank you for your opinion and valuable inputs . The manuscript has been revised in light of your suggestions and comments.

2b. English: Acceptable, but to be revised.

Authors' response: The revised manuscript was edited by a competent body (American Journal Experts: <http://www.aje.com>) for the English language and a high quality has now been reached.

2c. Figures: Add some representative difficult cases. Keywords: OK

Authors' response: Thank you for your valuable suggestions. We have now added interesting Renal ultrasound/Doppler images of a cirrhosis-CKD patient, showing very high vascular resistance as indicated by reversal of diastolic flow with absent end-diastolic velocity (Figure 3). We could not find many interesting images for this purpose as the diagnosis of CKD in cirrhosis is based on glomerular filtration rate (GFR) calculated by a formula (MDRD6) and the role of imaging is limited (even, contrast CT is not typically performed).

2d. Minor points 1) Add a table of abbreviations. It helps read quickly this manuscript. 2) Add brief descriptions of the following points. a) How about CKD + LC patients associated with malignancy (RCC, HCC)? b) Treatment option should be changed according to causal disease

of LC (viral, NASH-related, alcoholic, --)? c) CART (concentrated ascites reinfusion therapy) d) Doppler sonography (P.14) 3) Please define how liver cirrhosis was diagnosed.

Authors' response:

1.-A list of all abbreviations was added (page. Number.02)

2.-As per the suggestions, we have now added a brief description of following points in the revised manuscript

a. CKD+CKD association with malignancy (page no. 11-12, marked in red)

b. Change in the treatment options as per causal disease of LC (page no. 22-23, marked in red)

c. CART (page no. 21, marked in red)

-d. Doppler sonography (page no. 15-16, marked in red)

3. Diagnosis of cirrhosis was defined (page no. 13, marked in red)

3. Reviewer #3:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Chronic kidney disease in patients with cirrhosis is a new frontier, and the authors tried to review the new advance of the area and provide the overview of CKD in patients with cirrhosis.

Authors' response: Thank for your valuable opinion and accepting our manuscript. The revised manuscript has been edited for English language by a competent body (American Journal Experts: <http://www.aje.com>) and a high quality has now been reached.

4 LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

Authors' response: The revised manuscript has been edited for the English language by a competent body (American Journal Experts: <http://www.aje.com>) and a high quality has now been reached.

5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a frontier of the chronic renal dysfunction in cirrhosis. The topic is within the scope of the WJG. (1) Classification: Three Grades B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This manuscript is well written, and is worth reading. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There is 1 table and 2 figures. A total of 79 references are cited, including 13 references published in the last 3 years. There are 5 self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Three Grades B and Grade B. No language editing certificate was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJG. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Authors' response: Thank you for your opinions and comments.

-Language editing certificate is now being provided (from: American Journal Experts)

-We now prepared the same image on PowerPoint slide which can be reprocessed by the editors. Figure no 1 and 3 are the original photographs.

Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. However, the quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

Authors' response: Thank you for your valuable opinion and suggestions. In the revised manuscript, we have incorporated all the changes as suggested by the reviewers. Revised portion are marked in red in the paper. We have also got the revised manuscript edited for English language by a trustworthy body (American Journal Experts: <http://www.aje.com>) and a high quality has now been reached.

Best regards,

Ramesh Kumar,

MD, DM, Associate Professor, Head,

Department of Gastroenterology, 4t floor, OPD Block,

All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna-801507, India.

docrameshkr@gmail.com