

Thank you for your recognition of our work. We look forward to the article being accepted.

1. Issues raised: (1) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;

Yes, we have done. Add PMID and DOI numbers in the reference list.

(2) The "Case Presentation" section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision;

Yes, we have done. The "Case Presentation" section was re-written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation.

(3) The authors need to fill out the CARE Checklist (2016) with page numbers.

Yes, we have done.

2. Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must add a figure to the manuscript.

We don't know what figure we should provide.

3. LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

Miss DeAnn edited the paper for us again.

4. Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Well written article and an interesting case. However it might add more depth to the case if the authors are able to explain what might be the underlying cause for the neutropenia. This is highly unusual presuming that the child had

no ongoing illness at the point of vaccination. My advice would be to add more to the discussion about the potential underlying cause that may be driving the vaccine induced neutropenia.

We checked more information and add more depth to the case to explain what might be the underlying cause for the neutropenia.