Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: This is an interesting animal study for a surgery method of pancreaticogastrostomy. In this study, the Feng et al examined the safety of the “bridging” pancreaticogastrostomy. This surgery methods are very interesting. The manuscript is well written. The surgery procedures of the pigs and the follow up data are well described. The reviewer suggests to accept this manuscript for publication after a minor language editing.

Answering

The manuscript has been read through many times and the language has been revised again.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors: Damage controlling and secondary damage preventing are problems that deserve attention in surgery for severe pancreatic trauma. The new anastomosis method, “bridging” pancreaticogastrostomy for severe pancreatic injury is very interesting. This surgery has short length of surgery, low secondary trauma, and rapid construction of shunts for pancreatic fluid, it can prevent second surgeries, and achieves a good treatment outcome. In this study, the authors carried out an animal experiment to examine this method. Over all, the design of this study is very well, the experimental methods and procedures are described in detail. The observation indicators are reasonable, and well analyzed. The results are very interesting, and well discussed with updated references. Minor comments: 1. The manuscript required a minor editing. Some minor language polishing should be revised. 2. The title can be changed to: The feasibility and safety of “bridging” pancreaticogastrostomy for pancreatic trauma in Landrace pigs. 3. The figures shows the surgery well. Data in tables are good. However, the tables should be edited. Some unreadable symbols should be deleted.

Answering

1. The manuscript has been read through many times and the language has been revised again.
2. The title has been revised based on your comments.
3. Some unreadable symbols have been deleted.