World Journal of Gastroenterology

World J Gastroenterol 2021 April 21; 27(15): 1524-1663





Contents

Weekly Volume 27 Number 15 April 21, 2021

EDITORIAL

1524 Textbook outcomes in hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery

Tsilimigras DI, Pawlik TM, Moris D

REVIEW

1531 Impact of cytokine storm and systemic inflammation on liver impairment patients infected by SARS-CoV-2: Prospective therapeutic challenges

Ali FEM, Mohammedsaleh ZM, Ali MM, Ghogar OM

MINIREVIEWS

1553 Diagnostic approach to faecal incontinence: What test and when to perform?

Sbeit W, Khoury T, Mari A

1563 Prevention of late complications with coverage agents in endoscopic resection of colorectal lesions: Current landscape in gastrointestinal endoscopy

Lorenzo-Zúñiga V, Bustamante-Balén M, Pons-Beltrán V

1569 Current status of diagnosis and therapy for intraductal papillary neoplasm of the bile duct

Sakai Y, Ohtsuka M, Sugiyama H, Mikata R, Yasui S, Ohno I, Iino Y, Kato J, Tsuyuguchi T, Kato N

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

1578 Leucine-rich repeat-containing G protein-coupled receptor 5 marks different cancer stem cell compartments in human Caco-2 and LoVo colon cancer lines

Alharbi SA, Ovchinnikov DA, Wolvetang E

1595 Abelson interactor 1 splice isoform-L plays an anti-oncogenic role in colorectal carcinoma through interactions with WAVE2 and full-length Abelson interactor 1

Li K, Peng YF, Guo JZ, Li M, Zhang Y, Chen JY, Lin TR, Yu X, Yu WD

1616 Effects of CXCL12 isoforms in a pancreatic pre-tumour cellular model: Microarray analysis

Cecati M, Giulietti M, Righetti A, Sabanovic B, Piva F

Retrospective Cohort Study

1630 Resection of pancreatic cystic neoplasms in recurrent acute pancreatitis prevents recurrent pancreatitis but does not identify more malignancies

Muniraj T, Aslanian HR, Laine L, Jamidar PA, Farrell JF, Mitchell KA, Salem RR

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 27 Number 15 April 21, 2021

Retrospective Study

1643 Intestinal bacterial overgrowth in the early stage of severe acute pancreatitis is associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome

Liang XY, Jia TX, Zhang M

CASE REPORT

1655 Gastrointestinal cytomegalovirus disease secondary to measles in an immunocompetent infant: A case report

Yang QH, Ma XP, Dai DL, Bai DM, Zou Y, Liu SX, Song JM

Contents

Weekly Volume 27 Number 15 April 21, 2021

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Halina Cichoż-Lach, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology with Endoscopic Unit, Medical University of Lublin, Jaczewskiego 8, Lublin 20814, Poland. halina.lach@umlub.pl

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now indexed in Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports®, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2020 edition of Journal Citation Report® cites the 2019 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 3.665; IF without journal self cites: 3.534; 5-year IF: 4.048; Ranking: 35 among 88 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2019 is 7.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2019: Gastroenterology is 17/137.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yu-Jie Ma; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Ze-Mao Gong.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastroenterology

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

October 1, 1995

FREQUENCY

Weekly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Andrzej S Tarnawski, Subrata Ghosh

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm

PUBLICATION DATE

April 21, 2021

COPYRIGHT

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

PUBLICATION ETHICS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239

ONLINE SUBMISSION

https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Ш

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i15.1563

World J Gastroenterol 2021 April 21; 27(15): 1563-1568

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

MINIREVIEWS

Prevention of late complications with coverage agents in endoscopic resection of colorectal lesions: Current landscape in gastrointestinal endoscopy

Vicente Lorenzo-Zúñiga, Marco Bustamante-Balén, Vicente Pons-Beltrán

ORCID number: Vicente Lorenzo-Zúñiga 0000-0002-4072-3241; Marco Bustamante-Balén 0000-0003-2019-0158; Vicente Pons-Beltrán 0000-0001-8909-8929.

Author contributions: Lorenzo-Zúñiga V wrote the paper; Bustamante-Balén M and Pons-Beltrán V reviewed the paper.

Conflict-of-interest statement:

Authors declare no conflict of interest for this article.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Vicente Lorenzo-Zúñiga, Endoscopy Unit, Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La Fe, Valencia 46026, Spain

Vicente Pons-Beltrán, Digestive Diseases Department, La Fe Polytechnic University Hospital, Valencia 46026, Spain

Corresponding author: Vicente Lorenzo-Zúñiga, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Consultant Physician-Scientist, Endoscopy Unit, Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La Fe, Avenida Fernando Abril Martorell 106, Valencia 46026, Spain. vlorenzozuniga@gmail.com

Abstract

Endoscopic removal of large (≥ 20 mm) non-pedunculated colorectal lesions (LNPCLs) may result in major adverse events, such as delayed bleeding (DB) and delayed perforation (DP), despite closure of the mucosal defects with clips. Topical application of a coverage agent refers to the creation of a shield with a biocompatible medical device (tissue or hydrogel) with proven bioactive properties. Coverage of the eschar after endoscopic resection provides shielding protection to prevent delayed complications. The aim of the present review was to systematically collect and review the currently available literature regarding the prevention of DB and DP with coverage agents after endoscopic mucosal resection or endoscopic submucosal dissection of LNPCLs.

Key Words: Large colorectal lesions; Delayed bleeding; Topical application; Endoscopic mucosal resection; Endoscopic submucosal dissection

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: The use of coverage agents is the simplest and quickest technique to protect large mucosal defects. Published data have confirmed their efficacy in the prevention of delayed adverse events in patients with non-pedunculated colorectal lesions, especially in proximal lesions with an increased risk of bleeding of at least 2-fold. There are no comparative studies that address the best treatment. We herein review the Country/Territory of origin: Spain

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: December 27, 2020 Peer-review started: December 27,

First decision: January 23, 2021 Revised: February 5, 2021 Accepted: March 9, 2021 Article in press: March 9, 2021 Published online: April 21, 2021

P-Reviewer: Chiba H, Hidaka E

S-Editor: Zhang H L-Editor: Webster JR P-Editor: Li JH



current landscape of the available agents in gastrointestinal endoscopy.

Citation: Lorenzo-Zúñiga V, Bustamante-Balén M, Pons-Beltrán V. Prevention of late complications with coverage agents in endoscopic resection of colorectal lesions: Current landscape in gastrointestinal endoscopy. World J Gastroenterol 2021; 27(15): 1563-1568

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v27/i15/1563.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v27.i15.1563

INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic resection of precancerous colorectal lesions is one the most frequently performed medical interventions, which significantly decreases the risk of colorectal cancer incidence and death. Large (20 mm) non-pedunculated colorectal lesions (LNPCLs) show the highest cancer risk and their careful, complete, and timely removal is especially critical. Endoscopic removal of these lesions may result in major adverse events, such as delayed bleeding (DB) and delayed perforation (DP), especially in high-risk patients with a Spanish Endoscopy Society Endoscopic Resection Group score 6 or deep mural injury signs II-V, despite closure of the mucosal defects with clips^[1-3]. Complete clip closure is not possible in 40% cases due to large size or poor accessibility^[4]. The risk of DB ranges from 1% to 12% (1.5% with complete closure, 9% with partial closure and 12% with failed closure), whereas the risk of DP is around 1%^[5,6]. The routine use of prophylactic clipping does not reduce the risk of post-procedural bleeding overall^[7]. On the other, prophylactic endoscopic coagulation of visible vessels is not effective in the prevention of clinically significant $DB^{[8]}$

Topical application of a coverage agent refers to the creation of a shield with a biocompatible medical device (tissue or hydrogel) with proven bioactive properties. Coverage of the eschar after endoscopic resection provides shielding protection to prevent delayed complications [9]. A comprehensive understanding of the pathogenic mechanisms of action involved is mandatory to address these challenges. The aim of the present review was to systematically collect and review the currently available literature regarding the prevention of DB and DP with coverage agents after endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) or endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) of LNPCLs.

SEARCH STRATEGIES

A comprehensive search of PubMed was performed to identify articles in English. Search strategies and key words were as follows: (1) ("Endoscopy" [All Fields] AND ("topical application" [All Fields]); (2) ("Large colorectal lesions" [All Fields] AND "EMR" [All Fields] OR "ESD" [All Fields]); and (3) ("Delayed bleeding" [All Fields] OR "delayed perforation" [All Fields]). In addition, manually inspected relevant articles that were missed by the above search strategy were also included.

CLINICAL DATA

Following our search, 8 studies were identified with 191 patients included in case series, which are summarized in Table 1. Tested agents were: Polyglycocolic acid sheets with fibrin glue (PGA-FG), Surgicel, platelet-rich plasma (PRP), Purastat and cyanoacrylate. All these measures present biological safety after experience in clinical practice. The first report was published in 2014 to evaluate the shielding technique after ESD in 10 patients with LNPCLs, placing PGA sheets on the mucosal defect with biopsy forceps and then spraying FG through a special double-lumen tube[10]. PGA is an absorbent and hydrophilic suture reinforcement material, hydrolysed in vivo, with a degradation and absorption period within approximately 15 wk^[11]. To perform this technique, soft and elastic PGA sheets were cut into small pieces, held with biopsy forceps, and transported to the mucosal defect through the channel of the scope.

Table 1 Outcome of coverage agents to prevent delayed bleeding and perforation after endoscopic resection of colorectal lesions

Ref.	Year	Design	n	Size (mm)	Agent	Primary endpoint	Follow-up	Outcomes
Tsuji et al ^[10]	2014	SA	10	39.7	PGA-FG	Prevent late complications	2 wk	0% DB/0% DP
Myung et al ^[13]	2016	SA	49	38.8	Surgicel	Prevent late complications	1 wk	0% DB/0% DP/6% PPS
Lorenzo-Zúñiga et al ^[17]	2021	SA	4	53.7	PRP	Prevent late complications	4 wk	25% DB/0% DP/79% MHR
Pioche et al ^[18]	2016	SA	22	38.5	Purastat	Prevent delayed bleeding	4 wk	6.7% DB
Subramanian <i>et al</i> ^[19]	2019	SA	31	44.2	Purastat	Prevent delayed bleeding	4 wk	0% DB
Soons et al ^[20]	2020	SA	17	38.4	Purastat	Prevent delayed bleeding	4 wk	11.7% DB
Subramanian <i>et al</i> ^[21]	2021	RCT	43	33.7	Purastat	Prevent late complications	4 wk	5.5% DB
Martines et al ^[22]	2020	TA	15	25	NBCA-MS	Prevent delayed bleeding	-	0% DB

SA: Single-arm interventional case series study; TA: Two-arm interventional case series study; RCT: Randomized clinical trial; PGA-FG: Polyglycocolic acid sheet with fibrin glue; PRP: Platelet-rich plasma; NBCA-MS: N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate with methacrylosulfolane (Glubran 2); DB: Delayed bleeding; DP: Delayed perforation; MHR: Mucosal healing rate.

> Fibrinogen first and then thrombin were sprayed with different spray tubes to fix the sheets to the ulcer and to enhance the coating effect^[12]. The use of PGA-FG in LNPCLs achieved a success rate of 100%, but required a long-procedure time (a mean of 19 min). During follow-up colonoscopy, 80% of patients showed persistence of PGA sheets at 2 wk.

> Surgicel Fibrillar, an oxidized regenerated cellulose that swells into a gelatinous mass, was the second substance investigated to reduce late complications in a large case-series of 49 patients with colorectal ESD^[13], using one layer of this agent diluted in 10 mL of normal saline through a special spraying catheter. Surgicel aids in clot formation after blood saturation, serving as a haemostatic adjunct, and has a localized bactericidal effect due to a low pH of 3.4-3.7^[14]. To assess the effectiveness of Surgicel application, a retrospective comparison with another 52 patients with LNPCLs who underwent conventional ESD was performed. All lesions were successfully covered, and the covering procedure was less time-consuming (5 min). During the follow-up period, rebleeding occurred in 0 (0%) patients and 4 (7.7% in the control group) patients. Postpolypectomy syndrome (PPS) was observed in three patients (6.1%) who were treated with Surgicel, compared with 17 (32.7%) in the non-Surgicel group. In 20 patients treated with this product, a follow-up colonoscopy was performed the next day, and Surgicel remained on the defect in all cases. Based on this, the authors speculated that the reduction in the inflammatory reaction was associated with the shielding effect and reduced endotoxemia due to the bactericidal property of this agent, which acidifies the environment.

> PRP, also known as autologous platelet gel, has confirmed robust healing properties over the eschar after EMR in preclinical models[15]. Platelets play a fundamental role in haemostasis and are a natural source of growth factors. PRP fluid contains at least a 2fold peripheral blood platelet count and a large amount of pivotal growth factors for reepithelization, which are released from the alpha granules of activated platelets[16]. The use of PRP is justified in the exponential release of multiple pleiotropic factors, which enhances the physiological and haemostatic healing processes, with a very low risk of fibrotic healing or strictures. In clinical practice, PRP was used as a coverage agent to prevent late complications in a limited number of patients with very large lesions located in the rectum (mean size 54 mm)^[17]. PRP was obtained from a sample of patient's blood (18-36 mL) drawn at the time of endoscopy. DB occurred in 1 of 4 lesions with blood transfusion or endoscopic treatment not required. PRP also showed a very high mucosal healing rate after 4 wk (79%), the time to apply PRP was very quick (2 min), and the force required to pass the composition was appropriate, comparable to saline. Nevertheless, patient number is too small to draw any conclusions on efficacy, and controlled data are lacking.

PuraStat has also been tested to prevent DB after endoscopic resection of LNPCLs. This agent is a fully synthetic matrix scaffold built from a chain of three types of amino acids than bond together to form a peptide. It forms a transparent gel when it comes into contact with blood or tissue fluids, comprising a network of nanofibers that form an extracellular matrix, providing a physical barrier to stop bleeding by blocking blood vessels. Three case series (single-arm interventional studies) and one randomized clinical trial have been reported in 113 patients with LNPCLs^[18-21]. Total lesion surface was completely covered with a dose of 3 mL in a median time of 2 min. Clinically significant DB occurred in 4.4% of patients (range 0%-12%). The concerns with this gel are that it has to be applied through a special catheter, it is affected by gravity, and slowly slides from the ulcer bed after covering. Exsufflation after application seems to be effective in applying the gel to the whole area with less migration.

Recently, cyanoacrylate has been evaluated in a two-arm study^[22]. Two groups of fifteen patients with LNPCLs were compared to evaluate early and DB after EMR in association with a modified cyanoacrylate glue (N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate + methacryloxysulfolane-Glubran 2^{s}) vs EMR alone. Cyanoacrylate is a strong and fastacting synthetic glue with sealing, adhesive and haemostatic properties, which rapidly polymerizes in the presence of water to form long and strong chains. Based on these properties it has been widely used in surgery, and for primary and secondary prophylaxis of bleeding from gastric varices^[23]. This substance has been applied using a 7 Fr spray Teflon catheter. No case of early bleeding was reported in both groups. Two cases (13.3%) of DB with readmission to hospital and redo endoscopy with apposition of haemostatic clips were performed in patients with EMR alone, as compared with no cases of DB in the shielded group (P = 0.48).

DISCUSSION

Endoscopic shielding with coverage agents is a very promising method to prevent late complications in patients with LNPCLs, especially in proximal lesions with an increased risk of bleeding of at least 2-fold. The protective effect of clips is limited to those cases where complete closure was achieved (57% of cases), and the median number of clips to completely close the resection defect was four^[4-6]. The absence of efficacy in many cases of the clipping technique, with its cost and technical difficulties, induced the appearance of new endoscopic approaches to solve this unmet need.

The use of coverage agents is the quickest and simplest technique to cover large mucosal defects, and published data seem to confirm their efficacy in the prevention of late complications. However, most of the reports are case series, without a control arm and with a relatively short follow-up. There is a lack of randomized controlled trials and of head-to-head comparative studies of shielding products. Moreover, none of the published series can incorporate blinding, with considerable bias therefore inevitable.

Regarding the type of active treatment used, there is no ideal treatment, and all have pros and cons (Table 2). As options developed to prevent DB and DP it is important to consider the cost-effectiveness of each treatment. The overall rate of delayed adverse events is assessed as 10%, and the cost for management of these complications, including admission and additional therapies, is estimated at 5000 \$ per patient. The cost for an economical prophylactic measure for each patient without adding to the overall financial cost is around 500 \$ per cushion. Commercially available data show the price range per 1 mL to be 10-150 \$; thus, it is necessary to consider the economics, the upfront cost for the added prophylactic intervention, and the downstream cost savings for an avoided hospitalization. If the mean used volume is 3 mL, we can estimate the cost-effectiveness of each tested agent, from PRP, the cheapest, to cyanoacrylate, the most expensive.

Apart from efficacy, mucosal healing activity is another important issue to consider. All these prophylactic measures help and accelerate mucosal reepithelialisation, but the healing process has only been measured with PRP. The ideal coverage agent should have a chemical structure and physical properties showing an appropriate adhesion capacity to avoid migration against gravity and adherence failure, some refractoriness to bacterial degradation in order to increase the bioactive period and reduce the incidence of PPS, and healing activity to increase mucosal healing rate. Application should be straight with minimal force to pass the agent, ideally using standard devices accessible to all endoscopy units, inducing a small increase in the time of the resection procedure and with a short learning curve. Ideally, it should also be able to release bioactive drugs to treat specific conditions such as colorectal cancer

Table 2 Pros and cons of coverage agents based on ideal properties									
Property	PGA-FG	Surgicel	PRP	Purastat	Cyanoacrylate				
Appropriate adhesion capacity	+	+	+	+	+				
Absence of special device	-	+	+	-	+				
Not time-consuming	-	+	+	+	+				
Refractory to bacterial degradation	+	+	-	-	+				
Healing activity	+	+	+	+	+				
Price range of 1 mL (\$)	20-25	15-20	10-12	100-150	150				
Drug-release	-	-	-	-	-				

PGA-FG: Polyglycocolic acid sheet with fibrin glue; PRP: Platelet-rich plasma.

or inflammatory bowel disease. All these properties are still to be confirmed in proof of concept studies with robust data.

To obtain the ideal agent, larger prospective studies with control groups and a comparison of the different substances are needed.

CONCLUSION

The use of coverage agents is the quickest and simplest technique to cover large mucosal defects, and published data seem to confirm their efficacy in the prevention of late complications. However, most of the reports are case series, without a control arm and with a relatively short follow-up. There is a lack of randomized controlled trials and of head-to-head comparative studies of shielding products. Moreover, none of the published series can incorporate blinding, with considerable bias therefore inevitable.

REFERENCES

- Burgess NG, Metz AJ, Williams SJ, Singh R, Tam W, Hourigan LF, Zanati SA, Brown GJ, Sonson R, Bourke MJ. Risk factors for intraprocedural and clinically significant delayed bleeding after widefield endoscopic mucosal resection of large colonic lesions. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014; 12: 651-661. e1-3 [PMID: 24090728 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2013.09.049]
- Albéniz E, Fraile M, Ibáñez B, Alonso-Aguirre P, Martínez-Ares D, Soto S, Gargallo CJ, Ramos Zabala F, Álvarez MA, Rodríguez-Sánchez J, Múgica F, Nogales Ó, Herreros de Tejada A, Redondo E, Pin N, León-Brito H, Pardeiro R, López-Roses L, Rodríguez-Téllez M, Jiménez A, Martínez-Alcalá F, García O, de la Peña J, Ono A, Alberca de Las Parras F, Pellisé M, Rivero L, Saperas E, Pérez-Roldán F, Pueyo Royo A, Eguaras Ros J, Zúñiga Ripa A, Concepción-Martín M, Huelin-Álvarez P, Colán-Hernández J, Cubiella J, Remedios D, Bessa I Caserras X, López-Viedma B, Cobian J, González-Haba M, Santiago J, Martínez-Cara JG, Valdivielso E, Guarner-Argente C; Endoscopic Mucosal Resection Endoscopic Spanish Society Group. A Scoring System to Determine Risk of Delayed Bleeding After Endoscopic Mucosal Resection of Large Colorectal Lesions. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 14: 1140-1147 [PMID: 27033428 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2016.03.021]
- Burgess NG, Bassan MS, McLeod D, Williams SJ, Byth K, Bourke MJ. Deep mural injury and perforation after colonic endoscopic mucosal resection: a new classification and analysis of risk factors. Gut 2017; 66: 1779-1789 [PMID: 27464708 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309848]
- Ortiz O, Rex DK, Ian G, Moyer M, Hasan MK, Pleskow D, Elmunzer JB, Khashab MA, Sanaei O, Al-Kawas F, Gordon SR, Mathew A, Levenick J, Aslanian H, Antaki F, von Renteln D, Crockett S, Rastogi A, Gill J, Law RJ, Wallace MB, Elias P, MacKenzie TA, Pohl H, Pellisé M. Factors associated with complete clip closure after endoscopic mucosal resection of large colorectal polyps. Endoscopy 2020 [PMID: 33291159 DOI: 10.1055/a-1332-6727]
- Pohl H, Grimm IS, Moyer MT, Hasan MK, Pleskow D, Elmunzer BJ, Khashab MA, Sanaei O, Al-Kawas FH, Gordon SR, Mathew A, Levenick JM, Aslanian HR, Antaki F, von Renteln D, Crockett SD, Rastogi A, Gill JA, Law RJ, Elias PA, Pellise M, Wallace MB, Mackenzie TA, Rex DK. Clip Closure Prevents Bleeding After Endoscopic Resection of Large Colon Polyps in a Randomized Trial. Gastroenterology 2019; 157: 977-984. e3 [PMID: 30885778 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.03.019]
- Albéniz E, Álvarez MA, Espinós JC, Nogales O, Guarner C, Alonso P, Rodríguez-Téllez M, Herreros de Tejada A, Santiago J, Bustamante-Balén M, Rodríguez Sánchez J, Ramos-Zabala F, Valdivielso E, Martínez-Alcalá F, Fraile M, Elosua A, Guerra Veloz MF, Ibáñez Beroiz B, Capdevila F, Enguita-

- Germán M. Clip Closure After Resection of Large Colorectal Lesions With Substantial Risk of Bleeding. Gastroenterology 2019; 157: 1213-1221. e4 [PMID: 31362007 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.07.037]
- Spadaccini M, Albéniz E, Pohl H, Maselli R, Thoguluva Chandrasekar V, Correale L, Anderloni A, Carrara S, Fugazza A, Badalamenti M, Iwatate M, Antonelli G, Enguita-Germán M, Álvarez MA, Sharma P, Rex DK, Hassan C, Repici A. Prophylactic Clipping After Colorectal Endoscopic Resection Prevents Bleeding of Large, Proximal Polyps: Meta-analysis of Randomized Trials. Gastroenterology 2020; 159: 148-158. e11 [PMID: 32247023 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.03.051]
- Lee HS, Jeon SW, Kwon YH, Nam SY, Shin S, Kim R, Ahn S. Prophylactic endoscopic coagulation to prevent delayed post-EMR bleeding in the colorectum: a prospective randomized controlled trial (with videos). Gastrointest Endosc 2019; 90: 813-822 [PMID: 31175874 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2019.05.039]
- Bon I, Bartolí R, Lorenzo-Zúñiga V. Endoscopic shielding technique, a new method in therapeutic endoscopy. World J Gastroenterol 2017; 23: 3761-3764 [PMID: 28638215 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i21.3761]
- Tsuji Y, Ohata K, Gunji T, Shozushima M, Hamanaka J, Ohno A, Ito T, Yamamichi N, Fujishiro M, Matsuhashi N, Koike K. Endoscopic tissue shielding method with polyglycolic acid sheets and fibrin glue to cover wounds after colorectal endoscopic submucosal dissection (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2014; 79: 151-155 [PMID: 24140128 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2013.08.041]
- Hiroyuki T, Kohki Y, Hiroe M, Tsunehito H, Rie A, Shota T, Hiroko T, Yuki O, Takagi T, Kengo T, Takashi T, Hideyuki K, Hideki T, Akeo H. A basic study of the effect of the shielding method with polyglycolic acid fabric and fibrin glue after endoscopic submucosal dissection. Endosc Int Open 2016; 4: E1298-E1304 [PMID: 27995192 DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-118208]
- Shinya N, Oka S, Miyabashira S, Kaetsu H, Uchida T, Sueyoshi M, Takase K, Akuzawa M, Miyamoto A, Shigaki T. Improvement of the tissue-adhesive and sealing effect of fibrin sealant using polyglycolic acid felt. J Invest Surg 2009; 22: 383-389 [PMID: 19842894 DOI: 10.1080/08941930903214743]
- 13 Myung YS, Ko BM, Han JP, Hong SJ, Jeon SR, Kim JO, Moon JH, Lee MS. Effectiveness of Surgicel® (Fibrillar) in patients with colorectal endoscopic submucosal dissection. Surg Endosc 2016; **30**: 1534-1541 [PMID: 26201411 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-015-4369-5]
- Spangler D, Rothenburger S, Nguyen K, Jampani H, Weiss S, Bhende S. In vitro antimicrobial activity of oxidized regenerated cellulose against antibiotic-resistant microorganisms. Surg Infect (Larchmt) 2003; 4: 255-262 [PMID: 14588160 DOI: 10.1089/109629603322419599]
- 15 Lorenzo-Zúñiga V, Boix J, Moreno de Vega V, Bon I, Marín I, Bartolí R. Efficacy of platelet-rich plasma as a shielding technique after endoscopic mucosal resection in rat and porcine models. Endosc Int Open 2016; 4: E859-E864 [PMID: 27540573 DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-109170]
- Zhou B, Ren J, Ding C, Wu Y, Chen J, Wang G, Gu G, Li J. Protection of colonic anastomosis with platelet-rich plasma gel in the open abdomen. Injury 2014; 45: 864-868 [PMID: 24552769 DOI: 10.1016/j.injury.2014.01.018]
- 17 Lorenzo-Zúñiga V, Moreno de Vega V, Bartolí R. Endoscopic Shielding With Platelet-rich Plasma After Resection Of Large Colorectal Lesions. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2021; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 33538545 DOI: 10.1097/SLE.000000000000898]
- Pioche M, Camus M, Rivory J, Leblanc S, Lienhart I, Barret M, Chaussade S, Saurin JC, Prat F, Ponchon T. A self-assembling matrix-forming gel can be easily and safely applied to prevent delayed bleeding after endoscopic resections. *Endosc Int Open* 2016; **4**: E415-E419 [PMID: 27092320 DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-102879]
- Subramaniam S, Kandiah K, Thayalasekaran S, Longcroft-Wheaton G, Bhandari P. Haemostasis and prevention of bleeding related to ER: The role of a novel self-assembling peptide. United European Gastroenterol J 2019; 7: 155-162 [PMID: 30788128 DOI: 10.1177/2050640618811504]
- Soons E, Turan A, van Geenen E, Siersema P. Application of a novel self-assembling peptide to prevent hemorrhage after EMR, a feasibility and safety study. Surg Endosc 2020 [PMID: 32804267 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-020-07819-71
- Subramaniam S, Kandiah K, Chedgy F, Fogg C, Thayalasekaran S, Alkandari A, Baker-Moffatt M, Dash J, Lyons-Amos M, Longcroft-Wheaton G, Brown J, Bhandari P. A novel self-assembling peptide for hemostasis during endoscopic submucosal dissection: a randomized controlled trial. Endoscopy 2021; 53: 27-35 [PMID: 32679602 DOI: 10.1055/a-1198-0558]
- Martines G, Picciariello A, Dibra R, Trigiante G, Jambrenghi OC, Chetta N, Altomare DF. Efficacy of cyanoacrylate in the prevention of delayed bleeding after endoscopic mucosal resection of large colorectal polyps: a pilot study. Int J Colorectal Dis 2020; 35: 2141-2144 [PMID: 32577871 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-020-03678-91
- Weilert F, Binmoeller KF. Cyanoacrylate glue for gastrointestinal bleeding. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2016; **32**: 358-364 [PMID: 27380462 DOI: 10.1097/MOG.000000000000294]



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

