

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 62113

Title: Is dose modification or discontinuation of nilotinib necessary in nilotinib-induced

hyperbilirubinemia?

Reviewer's code: 05432362

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-29

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-02 01:07

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-02 02:35

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This report is nicely presented and possesses a clinical value, so I recommend publication after dealing with the following issues, - Please double-check the English language. -Please add one more section about tyrosine kinase inhibitors and their generations in detail. -It should be nice if you can discuss the current approaches used for minimizing nilotinib cytotoxicity. - Please add a conclusion and recommendations.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 62113

Title: Is dose modification or discontinuation of nilotinib necessary in nilotinib-induced

hyperbilirubinemia?

Reviewer's code: 03434021

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-29

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-04 09:28

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-16 13:22

Review time: 12 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1)Part The main syptom of Nilotinib "A spontaneous decrease.... what does it mean? not clear and should be clarified. 2) Part Nilotinib induced hyperbilirubinemia" It' very disorganised. The authors should clarify their hypothesis in an understandable manner. And should be well organised. 3) Part Conclusion,... noclear enough. Difficult to understand the conclusion . should be clarified and a bit shortened. 4)Page 5, In another case report.... What about the other case reports? what did the authors mean is very confusing. 5) Page 7 Here we report a case ..... What does it mean? When did they report the case? Or do they want to cite an another article? should be clarified. 6) The whole manuscript should be re-evaluated by an experienced author experienced in medical writing



# **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 62113

Title: Is dose modification or discontinuation of nilotinib necessary in nilotinib-induced

hyperbilirubinemia?

Reviewer's code: 03434021

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-29

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-18 08:58

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-18 18:30

**Review time:** 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



The authors have answered my questions and 1 think it is now suitable for the publication in the journal. Best regards