

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 62162

Title: The disappeared intralenticular foreign body: a special case report

Reviewer's code: 05741582

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-05

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-02 10:02

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-14 13:28

Review time: 12 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case report introduces a magnet-based way to eliminate Intralenticular foreign body in the anterior cortex. Generally speaking, the work of this report is complete and effective. However, there are some issues that need to be further improved: (1) The Abstract and Introduction are not comprehensive and need to be polished. Please add more appropriate citations and highlight the importance of your work. (2) More motivation/context regarding the application side of your treatment should be added, particularly on the aspects that make this technique particularly suited for clinical application scenarios. (3) The problems encountered in the process of treatment should be summarized and analysed in the Discussion, since these information are crucial for the readers. (4) Review the details of the entire text. For example, the explanation is needed when the abbreviation appears firstly in the report (e.g., the FB was pushed to the equator of the lens).