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**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Gastric cancers can be categorized into diffuse- and intestinal-type cancers based on the Lauren histopathological classification. These two subtypes show distinct differences in metastasis frequency, treatment application, and prognosis. Therefore, accurately assessing the Lauren classification before treatment is crucial. However, studies on the gastritis endoscopy-based Kyoto classification have recently shown that endoscopic diagnosis has improved.

AIM

To investigate patient characteristics including endoscopic gastritis associated with diffuse- and intestinal-type gastric cancers in *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*)-infected patients.

METHODS

Patients who underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy at the Toyoshima Endoscopy Clinic were enrolled. The Kyoto classification included atrophy, intestinal metaplasia, enlarged folds, nodularity, and diffuse redness. The effects of age, sex, and Kyoto classification score on gastric cancer according to the Lauren classification were analyzed. We developed the Lauren predictive background score based on the coefficients of a logistic regression model using variables independently associated with the Lauren classification. Area under the receiver operative characteristic curve and diagnostic accuracy of this score were examined.

RESULTS

A total of 499 *H. pylori*-infected patients (49.6% males; average age: 54.9 years) were enrolled; 132 patients with gastric cancer (39 diffuse- and 93 intestinal-type cancers) and 367 cancer-free controls were eligible. Gastric cancer was independently associated with age ≥ 65 years, high atrophy score, high intestinal metaplasia score, and low nodularity score when compared to the control. Factors independently associated with intestinal-type cancer were age ≥ 65 years (coefficient: 1.98), male sex (coefficient: 1.02), high intestinal metaplasia score (coefficient: 0.68), and low enlarged folds score (coefficient: -1.31) when compared to diffuse-type cancer. The Lauren predictive background score was defined as the sum of +2 (age ≥ 65 years), +1 (male sex), +1 (endoscopic intestinal metaplasia), and -1 (endoscopic enlarged folds) points. Area under the receiver operative characteristic curve of the Lauren predictive background score was 0.828 for predicting intestinal-type cancer. With a cut-off value of +2, the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the Lauren predictive background score were 81.7%, 71.8%, and 78.8%, respectively.

CONCLUSION

Patient backgrounds, such as age, sex, endoscopic intestinal metaplasia, and endoscopic enlarged folds are useful for predicting the Lauren type of gastric cancer.
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**Core Tip:** Accurately assessing the Lauren classification before the treatment of gastric cancer is crucial. Factors independently associated with intestinal-type cancer were age ≥ 65 years, male sex, high endoscopic intestinal metaplasia score, and low endoscopic enlarged folds score when compared to diffuse-type cancer. The Lauren predictive background score was defined as the sum of +2 (age ≥ 65 years), +1 (male), +1 (intestinal metaplasia), and -1 (enlarged folds) points. Area under the curve of the Lauren predictive background score was 0.828 (cut-off: +2) for predicting intestinal-type cancer. Age, sex, intestinal metaplasia, and enlarged folds are useful for predicting tumor type.

**INTRODUCTION**

The International Agency for Research on Cancer reported in GLOBOCAN 2018 that stomach cancer was the third leading cause of mortality worldwide[1]. Gastric cancers are epidemiologically crucial and can be categorized into two types based on the Lauren histopathological classification: diffuse and intestinal-types[2]. Intestinal-type cancers are associated with a *Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori)*-induced chronic inflammatory process, known as the Correa pathway, which includes atrophy, metaplasia, dysplasia, and cancer[3], whereas diffuse-type gastric cancers directly undergo a highly active inflammation-based carcinogenesis without having to pass through the Correa pathway[4,5]. The two histological subtypes of gastric tumors proposed by Lauren exhibit several distinct clinical and molecular characteristics[6-8]. Depending on the Lauren type, the frequency of lymph node metastasis[2,9,10] and peritoneal metastasis[11,12], application of endoscopic mucosal dissection[13,14], recommended surgical margin[15], response to chemotherapy[16], and prognosis[2,16,17] differ. The Lauren classification is diagnosed by pathology; however, it would be useful if subtypes could be endoscopically predicted.

In recent years, advancement in endoscopy has enabled diagnosis that is highly consistent with histology[18,19]. In 2013, the endoscopy-based Kyoto classification of gastritis was advocated by the Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society with the aim of unifying the endoscopic diagnosis of gastritis in clinical practice and match it with the pathological diagnosis of gastritis[20]. The Kyoto classification adopted and scored atrophy, intestinal metaplasia, enlarged folds, nodularity, diffuse redness, and the regular arrangement of collecting venules (RAC) as endoscopic findings of gastritis. Among them, the Kyoto score, which is the sum of the scores of these factors, has been vigorously reported to be associated with gastric cancer[21,22], gastric cancer risk[20,23], and *H. pylori* infections[24]. Evaluating the risk of gastric cancer on the basis of endoscopic findings is an important alternative to biopsy.

Since there are few reports regarding the relationship between the Lauren classification and endoscopic findings based on the Kyoto classification[21,22], we investigated the background patient characteristics and endoscopic gastritis of patients with diffuse- and intestinal-type gastric cancers, focusing on *H. pylori* infected patients. Based on these outcomes, a score was created to predict the Lauren classification, and its accuracy was examined.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Study design and oversight***

We conducted a retrospective case-control study at the Toyoshima Endoscopy Clinic, which is an outpatient endoscopy-specialized clinic located in Tokyo, an urban area in Japan. This study was approved by the certificated review board of the Hattori Clinic on September 4, 2020 (approval no. S2009-U04, registration number UMIN000018541). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. All clinical investigations were conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. This study received no financial support.

***Study population***

Eligibility criteria included patients with gastric cancer and an *H. pylori* infection who underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy at the Toyoshima Endoscopy Clinic from September 2008 to February 2020. We excluded patients who did not have *H. pylori* infection*,* patients in whom *H. pylori* was successfully eradicated, and those whose *H. pylori* status was unavailable. Patients with gastric cancer and past gastrectomy were also excluded. As control group, patients with *H. pylori*-positive gastritis and without gastric cancer were enrolled. This criterion included patients who underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy and initial assessments for an *H. pylori* infection from December 2013 to March 2016 and from January 2018 to February 2019.

***Diagnosis of Lauren classification and H. pylori infection***

The Lauren classification was diagnosed from resected specimens or, if unresectable, biopsy specimens.

An *H. pylori* infection was diagnosed using pathology (hematoxylin and eosin staining) or the urea breath test.

***Endoscopic gastritis based on the Kyoto classification***

The Kyoto score for endoscopic gastritis, which ranges from 0 to 8, is based on the total scores of the following five endoscopic findings: atrophy, intestinal metaplasia, enlarged folds, nodularity, and diffuse redness. A high score represents an increased risk of gastric cancer[25-27] and *H. pylori* infection[28-32].

Endoscopic atrophy was classified based on the extent of mucosal atrophy (the Kimura Takemoto classification)[33]. Non-atrophy and C1 atrophy were scored as atrophy score 0, C2, and C3 atrophies as atrophy score 1, and O1 to O3 atrophies as atrophy score 2.

Endoscopically, intestinal metaplasia typically appears as grayish-white and slightly elevated plaques surrounded by mixed patchy pink and pale areas of the mucosa, forming an irregular uneven surface. A villous appearance, whitish mucosa, and rough mucosal surface are useful indicators for the endoscopic diagnosis of intestinal metaplasia. Intestinal metaplasia score 0 was defined as the absence of intestinal metaplasia, score 1 as the presence of intestinal metaplasia within the antrum, and score 2 as intestinal metaplasia extending into the corpus. The intestinal metaplasia score was calculated based on the diagnosis of metaplasia using white-light imaging.

An enlarged fold is defined as ≥ 5 mm width that is not flattened or is only partially flattened by stomach insufflation. The absence and presence of enlarged folds were scored as enlarged fold scores of 0 and 1, respectively.

Nodularity is a condition in which a miliary pattern similar to “goosebumps” is mainly located in the antrum. The absence and presence of nodularity were scored as nodularity scores of 0 and 1, respectively.

Diffuse redness refers to uniformly reddish mucosa with continuous expansion located in the non-atrophic mucosa, mainly in the corpus. The RAC is a condition in which collecting venules are arranged in the corpus. From a distance, the venules look like numerous dots; however, up close, the venules appear like a regular pattern of starfish-like shapes. The absence of diffuse redness, presence of mild diffuse redness or diffuse redness with RAC, and severe diffuse redness or diffuse redness without RAC were scored as diffuse redness scores of 0, 1, and 2, respectively.

***Data collection and outcomes***

We obtained data for cancer and participants background information from the endoscopic database of the Toyoshima Endoscopy Clinic from September 2008 to February 2020. Two expert endoscopists reviewed all images and scored them according to the Kyoto classification.

Clinical data of this study consisted of variables including gastric cancer type according to the Lauren classification, age, sex, and endoscopic gastritis score based on the Kyoto classification (Kyoto score, atrophy score, intestinal metaplasia score, enlarged folds score, nodularity score, and diffuse redness score).

The main outcome of this study was the differences in patient backgrounds and the endoscopic gastritis between patients with diffuse- and intestinal-type gastric cancers. To predict the Lauren type of cancer, this study developed a Lauren predictive background score using variables associated with the Lauren classification. We assessed the discrimination of the Lauren predictive background score using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, the corresponding area under the ROC curve (AUC), and the diagnostic accuracy of predicting the Lauren type of tumor.

We also compared *H. pylori*-infected patients with cancer (whole, diffuse-, and intestinal-type cancers, respectively) and cancer-free *H. pylori*-infected controls.

***Statistical analyses***

Univariate and multivariate analyses were conducted using a binomial logistic regression analysis. The multivariate analysis included age, sex, and each score of the Kyoto classification, excluding the Kyoto score. Age was categorized based on the average number of patients with gastric cancer. A multivariate analysis was conducted, using a backward stepwise logistic regression, for variables with *P* values < 0.1; these values were determined by a univariate analysis. Regarding missing data, we used complete case analysis.

We developed the Lauren predictive background score based on the coefficients of a logistic regression model, using variables with *P* values < 0.05 in a multivariate analysis. The AUC for predicting intestinal-type cancer and the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the Lauren predictive background score were measured. The optimal cut-off value of the ROC curve was calculated using the Youden index.

A two-sided *P* value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using Ekuseru-Toukei 2015 (Social Survey Research Information company, Limited, Tokyo, Japan).

**RESULTS**

***Patient characteristics***

A total of 132 patients with *H. pylori*-positive gastric cancers (39 diffuse- and 93 intestinal-type, 105 early, and 27 advanced cancers) were included; 11 patients were excluded as they did not have an *H. pylori* infection, 104 due to successful eradication, and 16 due to an unavailable *H. pylori* status. The control group comprised 367 patients with *H. pylori*-positive gastritis (gastric cancer free controls). A total of 499 patients were enrolled in this study. We show patient flowchart in Figure 1. The mean age in this study was 54.9 ± 14.1 (range: 23-89) years, and 49.6% of patients were male; the Kyoto score was 4.93 ± 1.58, (atrophy: 1.53 ± 0.61; intestinal metaplasia: 0.83 ± 0.92; enlarged folds: 0.42 ± 0.49; nodularity: 0.33 ± 0.47; and diffuse redness: 1.83 ± 0.48).

***H. pylori-positive gastritis with vs without gastric cancer***

Univariate analysis showed that patients with *H. pylori*-infected cancer patients were older (66.4 *vs* 50.9 years) and had a higher Kyoto score (5.63 *vs* 4.69) than *H. pylori*-infected non-cancer patients. Among the scores of the items of the Kyoto classification, atrophy and intestinal metaplasia scores for gastric cancer were higher than those for cancer-free gastritis; however, nodularity scores for gastric cancer were lower than those for cancer-free gastritis. There was no significant difference in the enlarged folds and diffuse redness scores. Based on the results of a multivariate analysis, *H. pylori*-infected gastric cancer was independently associated with an age of 65 years or more [odds ratio (OR): 4.01], a high atrophy score (OR: 2.80), high intestinal metaplasia score (OR: 1.57), and a low nodularity score (OR: 0.51, Table 1).

***H. pylori-infected gastritis with diffuse-type gastric cancer vs without gastric cancer***

On comparing *H. pylori*-infected patients with diffuse-type cancer and those without gastric cancer (gastric cancer-free controls), a univariate analysis showed that patients with diffuse-type cancer were older (58.0 *vs* 50.9 years) and had a higher Kyoto score (5.33 *vs* 4.69), higher atrophy score, and higher intestinal metaplasia score than gastric cancer-free patients. In a multivariate analysis, a high atrophy score was independently associated with diffuse-type gastric cancer (Table 2).

***H. pylori-infected gastritis with intestinal-type gastric cancer vs without gastric cancer***

*H. pylori*-infected intestinal-type gastric cancer and *H. pylori*-infected non-cancer gastritis were compared. Univariate analysis showed that *H. pylori*-infected patients with intestinal-type gastric cancer were older (69.9 *vs* 50.9 years), comprised more of males (62.4% *vs* 47.7%), and had a higher Kyoto score (5.75 *vs* 4.69), higher atrophy score, higher intestinal metaplasia score, lower enlarged folds score, and lower nodularity score than those with non-cancer gastritis. Similar results were obtained in multivariate analysis (Table 3).

***H. pylori-infected gastritis with diffuse- vs intestinal-type gastric cancer***

Table 4 shows a comparison of endoscopic background gastritis between *H. pylori*-infected patients with diffuse- and intestinal-type cancers. Univariate analysis showed that patients with intestinal-type cancer were older (69.9 *vs* 58.0 years), comprised more of males (61.5% *vs* 37.6%), had a higher atrophy score (1.95 *vs* 1.69), higher intestinal metaplasia score (1.58 *vs* 0.97), lower enlarged folds score (0.28 *vs* 0.56), and lower nodularity score (0.10 *vs* 0.28). There was no significant difference in the Kyoto and diffuse redness scores. In a multivariate analysis, factors independently associated with intestinal-type cancer were an age of 65 years or more (coefficient: 1.98; OR: 7.26), male sex (coefficient: 1.02; OR: 2.78), high intestinal metaplasia score (coefficient: 0.68; OR: 1.97), and low enlarged folds score (coefficient: -1.31; OR: 0.27).

Based on the coefficients of a multivariate analysis, the equation for the scoring system was calculated based on an assumption that patients receive +2 points if they were aged 65 years or more, +1 point if they were male, +1 point if they had intestinal metaplasia, and -1 point if they had enlarged folds. We defined the Lauren predictive background score as the sum of these points, ranging from -1 to +4.

The ROC curve based on the Lauren predictive background score in 132 patients with diffuse- or intestinal-type cancer is shown in Figure 2. AUC of the Lauren predictive background score for predicting intestinal-type cancer was 0.828 (95% confidence interval: 0.744-0.912). The optimal cut-off value of the Lauren predictive background score for correlation with intestinal-type gastric cancer was +2, based on the Youden index. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the Lauren predictive background score were 81.7%, 71.8%, and 78.8%, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed that old age, male sex, the presence of endoscopic intestinal metaplasia, and the absence of endoscopic enlarged folds were independently associated with intestinal-type gastric cancer compared to diffuse-type cancer among *H. pylori*-infected patients. The Lauren predictive background score created based on these variables was good, with AUC of 0.828, sensitivity of 81.7%, and accuracy of 78.8%. It is well known that old age, male sex[2,27], and endoscopic intestinal metaplasia[28] are indicators of intestinal-type cancers and that endoscopic enlarged folds[5,29] are characteristics of diffuse-type tumors. The strength of this study is that independent variables related to cancer type were investigated using the currently vigorously studied endoscopic gastritis evaluation method (Kyoto classification), and Lauren predictive background score was newly created using these variables; moreover, the score was accurate. Predicting cancer types without a biopsy may lead to faster treatment choices. A pathological diagnosis before endoscopic resection, surgery, or chemotherapy is vital to determine the line of treatment of lesions[13-16]. However, cases in which there are differences between the histological diagnoses of biopsy and resected specimens amount to 20%–30% of all cases[30-32]. Biopsy results are supported when the Lauren predictive background score is consistent with the biopsy diagnosis; however, the treatment should be carefully selected when discrepancies are observed. Furthermore, some endoscopic features of cancer are indicated by the Lauren classification. For example, diffuse-type cancers are frequently located in the proximal stomach[33]. The endoscopic gross appearance of an elevated-type cancer predominantly indicated intestinal-type cancer, whereas flat and depressed types of cancers indicated difuse-type cancer[34,35]. In the early stages of gastric cancer, intestinal-type cancer is usually reddish, whereas diffuse-type cancer is pale. While magnifying with narrow-band imaging, a well-demarcated area[36] and a white opaque substance[37] serve as an indicator of intestinal-type cancer, an ill-defined area[36] and a high proportion of the area with an absent microsurface pattern[38] are specific markers for diffuse-type cancer. In contrast, our study is unique in predicting the Lauren classification from background information rather than tumor information. In the future, a combination of both background and tumor information may allow for more accurate predictions, and a diagnosis by artificial intelligence may help.

We previously showed that corpus-predominant gastritis (5.96) has a higher Kyoto score than pangastritis (5.21)[20]. Corpus-predominant gastritis and pangastritis are risk factors for intestinal- and diffuse-type cancers, respectively[39], and a similar tendency was observed in this study.

Next, this study demonstrated that the Kyoto score of gastric cancer patients was higher than that of cancer-free patients among *H. pylori*-infected participants, regardless of whether the cancer was diffuse- or intestinal-type. This result is concordant with that of a previous report by Sugimoto *et al*[21]. While examining each item of the Kyoto classification, atrophy and intestinal metaplasia showed a positive association with gastric cancer; however, nodularity was negatively correlated with gastric cancer. This tendency is also the same as that reported in a previous study[21]. Nodularity has been reported as a risk factor for stomach cancer in young patients[40]; however, our observation might indicate a negative association since it covers all ages. When we previously investigated the association of the ABC classification, which consisted of a combination of serum *H. pylori* antibody and pepsinogen, with endoscopic gastritis, the simplified Kyoto score using only atrophy and intestinal metaplasia scores was more dramatically related to the ABC classification[27]. Combined with the results of this study, we suggest that nodularity and diffuse redness scores be not included in the gastric cancer risk score. Particularly, enlarged folds scores should be excluded from the risk score for intestinal-type cancer. However, further verifications are required for this matter.

This study has some limitations. The subjects of our study were limited to *H. pylori*-infected patients. Gastric cancer is detected even after *H. pylori* eradication[41]. Take *et al*[42] described an increased incidence of diffuse-type cancer more than 10 years after *H. pylori* eradication. Studying subjects after *H. pylori* eradication or *H. pylori*-uninfected subjects in the future is warranted. The gastric cancer-free control group in this study was extracted from a shorter period than the gastric cancer group. In the future, comparisons between the endoscopic background diagnosis of patients with gastric cancer (especially according to the Lauren classification) and that of non-cancer controls during the same period is desired. In addition, further investigations using prospective study designs are needed to evaluate the accuracy of the Lauren predictive background score. The sample size for that study would be 26 (8 patients with diffuse type cancer, and 19 patients with intestinal type cancer).

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, patient backgrounds, such as age, sex, endoscopic intestinal metaplasia, and endoscopic enlarged folds is useful for predicting tumor type.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

***Research background***

The accurate diagnosis of gastric cancer using the Lauren classification is crucial.

***Research motivation***

The relationship between the Lauren classification and endoscopic findings based on the Kyoto classification is not clear.

***Research objectives***

To investigate the background patient characteristics and endoscopic gastritis of patients with diffuse- and intestinal-type gastric cancers, focusing on *Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori)*-infected patients.

***Research methods***

This study included participants who underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy at the Toyoshima Endoscopy Clinic. The endoscopy-based Kyoto classification of gastritis consisted of atrophy, intestinal metaplasia, enlarged folds, nodularity, and diffuse redness. The effects of age, sex, and Kyoto classification score on gastric cancer according to the Lauren classification were analyzed.

***Research results***

A total of 499 *H. pylori*-infected patients (49.6% males; average age, 54.9 years) were enrolled. A total of 132 patients with gastric cancer (39 diffuse- and 93 intestinal-type) and 367 cancer-free controls were eligible. Gastric cancer was independently associated with age ≥ 65 years, high atrophy score, high intestinal metaplasia score, and low nodularity score when compared to the control. Factors independently associated with intestinal-type cancer were age ≥ 65 years, male sex, high intestinal metaplasia score, and low enlarged folds score when compared to diffuse-type cancer. The Lauren predictive background score was defined as the sum of the following points: +2 points for an age of ≥ 65 years, +1 point for male sex, +1 point for intestinal metaplasia, and -1 point for enlarged folds. The area under the curve of the Lauren predictive background score was 0.828 for predicting intestinal-type tumors. With a cut-off of +2, the sensitivity and specificity of the Lauren predictive background score were 81.7% and 71.8%, respectively.

***Research conclusions***

Patient backgrounds such as age, sex, endoscopic intestinal metaplasia, and endoscopic enlarged folds are useful for predicting tumor type.

***Research perspectives***

Studying subjects after *H. pylori* eradication or *H. pylori*-uninfected subjects in the future is warranted. Furthermore, comparisons between the endoscopic background diagnosis of patients with gastric cancer (especially according to Lauren classification) and that of non-cancer controls is desired.
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**Figure Legends**



**Figure 1 Patient flowchart.** *H. pylori: Helicobacter pylori.*

****

**Figure 2 Receiver operating characteristic curve for predicting intestinal-type gastric cancer.** Receiver operating characteristics curve was based on the Lauren predictive background score in 132 patients with diffuse- or intestinal-type gastric cancer according to Lauren classification. The Lauren predictive background score was defined as a sum of the following points: +2 points for an age of 65 years or older, +1 point for male sex, +1 point for endoscopic intestinal metaplasia, and -1 point for endoscopic enlarged folds.

**Table 1 Endoscopic gastritis based on Kyoto classification of *Helicobacter pylori*-infected patients with *vs* without gastric cancer**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Gastric cancer (+)** | **Cancer (-)** | **Univariate analysis** | **Multivariate analysis** |
|  |  |  | **Odds ratio** | **95%CI** | ***P* value** | **Odds ratio** | **95%CI** | ***P* value** |
| *n* | 132 | 367 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age, mean (SD), yr | 66.4 (12.4) | 50.9 (12.4) | 1.099 | 1.078-1.120 | < 0.001 |  |  |  |
| Age ≥ 65 yr, % | 60.6 | 15.3 | 8.544 | 5.446-13.405 | < 0.001 | 4.010 | 2.436-6.603 | < 0.001 |
| Male sex, % | 55.3 | 47.7 | 1.357 | 0.910-2.024 | 0.134 |  |  |  |
| Atrophy score, mean (SD) | 1.871 (0.336) | 1.411 (0.642) | 6.173 | 3.635-10.486 | < 0.001 | 2.800 | 1.583-4.954 | < 0.001 |
| Intestinal metaplasia score, mean (SD) | 1.402 (0.809) | 0.624 (0.878) | 2.570 | 2.031-3.253 | < 0.001 | 1.567 | 1.188-2.067 | 0.001 |
| Enlarged folds score, mean (SD) | 0.364 (0.483) | 0.441 (0.497) | 0.723 | 0.480-1.090 | 0.121 |  |  |  |
| Nodularity score, mean (SD) | 0.152 (0.360) | 0.387 (0.488) | 0.283 | 0.168-0.476 | < 0.001 | 0.508 | 0.282-0.913 | 0.024 |
| Diffuse redness score, mean (SD) | 1.841 (0.507) | 1.823 (0.466) | 1.085 | 0.706-1.667 | 0.709 |  |  |  |
| Kyoto score, mean (SD) | 5.629 (1.149) | 4.687 (1.637) | 1.568 | 1.342-1.831 | < 0.001 |  |  |  |

*P* value was calculated using the binomial logistic regression analysis. CI: Confidence interval; SD: Standard deviation.

**Table 2 Endoscopic gastritis based on Kyoto classification of *Helicobacter pylori*-infected patients with diffuse-type gastric cancer *vs* without gastric cancer**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Diffuse-type cancer (+)** | **Cancer (-)** | **Univariate analysis** | **Multivariate analysis** |
|  |  |  | **Odds ratio** | **95%CI** | ***P* value** | **Odds ratio** | **95%CI** | ***P* value** |
| *n* | 39 | 367 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age, mean (SD), yr | 58.00 (13.00) | 50.88 (12.41) | 1.044 | 1.018-1.072 | 0.001 |  |  |  |
| Age ≥ 65 yr, % | 28.2 | 15.3 | 2.182 | 1.027-4.634 | 0.042 | 1.434 | 0.633-3.246 | 0.388 |
| Male sex, % | 38.5 | 47.7 | 0.686 | 0.348-1.349 | 0.275 |  |  |  |
| Atrophy score, mean (SD) | 1.692 (0.468) | 1.411 (0.642) | 2.327 | 1.223-4.428 | 0.010 | 2.327 | 1.223-4.428 | 0.010 |
| Intestinal metaplasia score, mean (SD) | 0.974 (0.903) | 0.624 (0.878) | 1.516 | 1.065-2.158 | 0.021 | 1.313 | 0.905-1.906 | 0.152 |
| Enlarged folds score, mean (SD) | 0.564 (0.502) | 0.441 (0.497) | 1.638 | 0.842-3.186 | 0.146 |  |  |  |
| Nodularity score, mean (SD) | 0.282 (0.456) | 0.387 (0.488) | 0.622 | 0.300-1.290 | 0.202 |  |  |  |
| Diffuse redness score, mean (SD) | 1.821 (0.556) | 1.823 (0.466) | 0.990 | 0.495-1.978 | 0.976 |  |  |  |
| Kyoto score, mean (SD) | 5.333 (1.402) | 4.687 (1.637) | 1.306 | 1.044-1.632 | 0.019 |  |  |  |

*P* value was calculated using the binomial logistic regression analysis. CI: Confidence interval; SD: Standard deviation.

**Table 3 Endoscopic gastritis based on Kyoto classification of *Helicobacter pylori*-infected patients with intestinal-type gastric cancer *vs* without gastric cancer**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Intestinal-type cancer (+)** | **Cancer (-)** | **Univariate analysis** | **Multivariate analysis** |
|  |  |  | **Odds ratio** | **95%CI** | ***P* value** | **Odds ratio** | **95%CI** | ***P* value** |
| *n* | 93 | 367 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age, mean (SD), yr | 69.86 (10.29) | 50.9 (12.4) | 1.138  | 1.107-1.169 | < 0.001 |  |  |  |
| Age ≥ 65 yr, % | 74.2  | 15.3  | 15.967  | 9.261-27.527 | < 0.001 | 6.220 | 3.394-11.400 | < 0.001 |
| Male sex, % | 62.4  | 47.7  | 1.818 | 1.140-2.900 | 0.012 | 1.794 | 0.955-3.372 | 0.069 |
| Atrophy score, mean (SD) | 1.946 (0.227) | 1.411 (0.642) | 15.312 | 6.147-38.144 | < 0.001 | 6.167 | 2.321-16.382 | < 0.001 |
| Intestinal metaplasia score, mean (SD) | 1.581 (0.697) | 0.624 (0.878) | 3.368 | 2.499-4.539 | < 0.001 | 1.683 | 1.166-2.430 | 0.005 |
| Enlarged folds score, mean (SD) | 0.280 (0.451) | 0.441 (0.497) | 0.491 | 0.299-0.808 | 0.005 | 0.453 | 0.237-0.867 | 0.017 |
| Nodularity score, mean (SD) | 0.097 (0.297) | 0.387 (0.488) | 0.170 | 0.083-0.348 | < 0.001 | 0.323 | 0.141-0.742 | 0.008 |
| Diffuse redness score, mean (SD) | 1.849 (0.488) | 1.823 (0.466) | 1.135 | 0.681-1.891 | 0.626 |  |  |  |
| Kyoto score, mean (SD) | 5.753 (1.007) | 4.687 (1.637) | 1.696 | 1.407-2.004 | < 0.001 |  |  |  |

*P* value was calculated using the binomial logistic regression analysis. CI: Confidence interval; SD: Standard deviation.

**Table 4 Endoscopic gastritis based on Kyoto classification of *Helicobacter pylori*-infected patients with diffuse- *vs* intestinal-type gastric cancer**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Diffuse-type** | **Intestinal-type** | **Univariate analysis** | **Multivariate analysis** |
|  |  |  | **Odds ratio** | **95%CI** | ***P* value** | **Coefficient** | **95%CI** | **Odds ratio** | **95%CI** | ***P* value** |
| *n* | 39 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age, mean (SD), yr | 58.00 (13.00) | 69.86 (10.29) | 1.091 | 1.051-1.132 | < 0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age ≥ 65 yr, % | 28.2 | 74.2 | 7.318 | 3.166-16.917 | < 0.001 | 1.983 | 1.045, 2.921 | 7.263 | 2.843-18.553 | < 0.001 |
| Male sex, % | 38.5 | 62.4 | 2.651 | 1.228-5.724 | 0.013 | 1.021 | 0.069, 1.973 | 2.776 | 1.071-7.193 | .036 |
| Atrophy score, mean (SD) | 1.692 (0.468) | 1.946 (0.227) | 7.822 | 2.530-24.188 | < 0.001 | 0.727 | -0.927, 2.381 | 2.069 | 0.396-10.816 | .389 |
| Intestinal metaplasia score, mean (SD) | 0.974 (0.903) | 1.581 (0.697) | 2.473 | 1.544-3.959 | < 0.001 | 0.678 | 0.128, 1.228 | 1.970 | 1.136-3.413 | 0.016 |
| Enlarged folds score, mean (SD) | 0.564 (0.502) | 0.280 (0.451) | 0.300 | 0.138-0.653 | 0.002 | -1.308 | -2.261, -0.356 | 0.270 | 0.104-0.701 | 0.007 |
| Nodularity score, mean (SD) | 0.282 (0.456) | 0.097 (0.297) | 0.273 | 0.102-0.726 | 0.009 | -0.237 | -1.621, 1.147 | 0.789 | 0.198-3.149 | 0.737 |
| Diffuse redness score, mean (SD) | 1.821 (0.556) | 1.849 (0.488) | 1.116 | 0.545-2.288 | 0.764 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyoto score, mean (SD) | 5.333 (1.402) | 5.753 (1.007) | 1.355 | 0.987-1.860 | 0.060 |  |  |  |  |  |

*P* value was calculated using the binomial logistic regression analysis. CI: Confidence interval; SD: Standard deviation.