

Dear Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Macular ganglion cell complex injury in different stages of anterior ischemic optic neuropathy" (Manuscript NO: 62207).

Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. Revised portion are marked by highlighting in the paper. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as follows:

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1:

Response to comment: (This reviewer suggests the authors to briefly discuss and include a similar article in the reference list, which is relevant due to methodical issue (Octopus perimetry, prospective OCT follow-up of acute ON inflammation.)

Response: Thank you very much for your detailed comments concerning our manuscript. Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have revised thoroughly this paper according to the Reviewer's suggestion. Revised portion are marked by highlighting in the paper. Page 9, lines 25 to 30; Page 10, lines 1 to 16.

We added an article about the document of retinal ganglion cell Layer thinning within one month of presentation for non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy, similar to this research conclusion, this article further support for this study, on the recommendation of the reviewers has added another article on optic neuritis retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in different measuring methods of literature, has carried on the brief explanation and discussion, this paper introduces the another higher

sensitivity and specificity of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measurement method of SLP, points out that the 3 D - OCT with SLP may be a useful tool for diagnosis of the optic nerve disease.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. We appreciate for Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Sincerely

Dr. Wei Zhang