

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 62313

Title: The first case of forearm crisscross injury in children: A rare case report

Reviewer's code: 05088164

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-26 17:35

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-02 21:02

Review time: 6 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I enjoyed reading the article presenting an interesting case of forearm crisscross injury in a child. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript, emphasizing the priority of presenting such a case in children. The abstract summarizes the case report well, but maybe it would be better to be slightly improved as there are aspects repeated in the Background and Conclusions paragraph. The keywords were well chosen and reflected the focus of the manuscript correctly. The authors adequately describe in the Background section the field and significance of their case report. The Case presentation respects the steps needed to present the evolution of the case, from diagnosis to treatment and prognostic. The authors interpret the characteristics of their patients compared to data from the literature regarding the adult patients. They highlighted in the Discussions section the critical points of the case logically. The discussion is accurate and discusses the paper's relevance to clinical practice. The case report includes four figures of good quality and appropriately illustrative of the preoperative and postoperative evolution. The authors cited few papers on this type of fracture, not very recent (more than 9 years old). Overall the manuscript is organized, correctly written with an accurate style and language. Still, there are some typos and editing that should be done. On the last page, some sentences repeated data (the fact that tendons, ligaments, and joint capsules are stronger than the epiphyseal plates). I also would separate the title Discussion from the Conclusions. The authors mentioned informed consent, but the place of writing this in the manuscript seems not logical.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 62313

Title: The first case of forearm crisscross injury in children: A rare case report

Reviewer's code: 05906308

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-25 10:28

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-06 16:27

Review time: 11 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is surely interesting and the discussion well developed. The case is rare in children and the manuscript is well and coherently organized. In my opinion the indication to use of 3-dimensional computed tomography should be better explain in the paper: being a pediatric patient, was CT really necessary? Could it have been avoided or replaced with an MRI? The analysis of the pathological mechanism of the fracture is interesting, especially for the comparative analysis with respect to the adult patient.

Recommendation: Minor Revisions