

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for your email dated Jan 24, 2021. We have revised the paper, according to the reviewer, and we also responded point by point to the comments as listed below. We hope that the revised manuscript is acceptable for publication.

Thank you.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Yu-Ming Shen.

Minor comments: 1. The manuscript is very well written. However, a minor language editing is required. Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 2. Is there a detailed inclusion criteria for the patients? Please make a short clarify. 3. Tables and figures are well done. Please take attention about the format in the journal's guideline. 4. References are appropriate.

Replies to Reviewer

We would like to thank the reviewers for the constructive and positive comments.

*1. The manuscript is very well written. However, a minor language editing is required.*

*Some minor language polishing should be corrected.*

1. We have polished the language by the editing service to improve readability of the manuscript and we have double-checked the English language throughout the manuscript.

*2. Is there a detailed inclusion criteria for the patients? Please make a short clarify.*

2. The inclusion criteria in this study was as follows: 1. The study was aimed at hospitalized patients with burns; 2. Patients with specimen from the wound secretions, blood and catheters sent for microbiological examination.

*3. Tables and figures are well done. Please take attention about the format in the journal's guideline.*

3. Tables and figures have been modified according to the format of the journal's guideline.

*4. References are appropriate.*

4. We have provided PMID and DOI citation numbers to the reference list according to the editor office's instruction.