

Dear editor:

We really appreciate your letter and the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Efficacy of roxadustat in the treatment of peritoneal dialysis patients with renal anaemia**" (Manuscript ID: 62552). All the comments are valuable, constructive and helpful for improving the quality of the article. We have studied the comments carefully and revised the manuscript accordingly which we hope meet with approval. We would like to re-submit this revised manuscript and hope it is acceptable for publication in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any additional questions or concerns.

## **1 MANUSCRIPT REVISION DEADLINE**

We request that you submit your revision in no more than **14 days**. **Please note that you have only two chances for revising the manuscript.**

Response: Thanks for your reminder. We submit our revision in no more than 14 days according to your kindest suggestion.

## **2 PLEASE SELECT TO REVISE THIS MANUSCRIPT OR NOT**

Please login to the F6Publishing system at <https://www.f6publishing.com> by entering your registered E-mail and password. After clicking on the "Author Login" button, please click on "Manuscripts Needing Revision" under the "Revisions" heading to find your manuscript that needs revision. Clicking on the "Handle" button allows you

to choose to revise this manuscript or not. If you choose not to revise your manuscript, please click on the “Decline” button, and the manuscript will be WITHDRAWN.

Response: We have decided to re-submit this revised manuscript.

### **3 SCIENTIFIC QUALITY**

Please resolve all issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report and make a point-by-point response to the issues raised in the peer review report. Authors must resolve all issues in the manuscript that are raised in the peer-review report(s) and make point-by-point responses to the issues raised in the peer-review report(s), which are listed below:

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** This is an interesting study about the roxadustat in the treatment of peritoneal dialysis patients with renal anaemia. The results have some clinical significances, and well discussed. A minor editing is required for the manuscript.

Response: Thanks very much for your professional advice. We have made minor editing according to your requests.

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** At present, recombinant human erythropoietin is mainly used to treat renal anaemia. The peritoneal dialysis patients tend to use oral iron agents; therefore, iron deficiency is more prevalent and affects the therapeutic effect in these patients with anaemia. Roxadustat is a new generation of oral anaemia treatment drug that can effectively correct renal anaemia in non-dialysis and dialysis patients with chronic kidney disease. Few studies researched on the use of roxadustat in patients on regular peritoneal dialysis. In this study, the authors analysed the therapeutic effect of roxadustat on renal anaemia in peritoneal dialysis patients. The study design is good. The inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria of the subjects are reasonable and clear. The results are interesting and well discussed. The limit of the study is also discussed. The reviewer suggests to accept this manuscript after a minor language editing. Thank you.

Response: We really appreciate your kindest suggestion. This manuscript had been edited by a language editing company which was recommended by the journal (the verification code: 5B3B-F957-DD95-94E8-D7EA). In addition, we also asked help from a native English speaker to revise our paper again. We hope our changes will meet the requirements. If not, please don't hesitate to contact us.

#### **4 LANGUAGE QUALITY**

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report.

Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

Response: Many thanks for your suggestion. This manuscript had been edited by a language editing company which was recommended by the journal (the verification code: 5B3B-F957-DD95-94E8-D7EA). In addition, we also asked help from a native English speaker to revise our paper again. We hope our changes will meet the requirements. If not, please don't hesitate to contact us.

## 5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

- (1) *Science editor*: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a retrospective cohort study of the efficacy of roxadustat in the treatment of peritoneal dialysis patients with renal anaemia. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade B and Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This is an interesting study about the roxadustat in the treatment of peritoneal dialysis patients with renal anaemia. The results have some clinical significances, and well discussed. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There is 1 table and 3 figures; (4)

References: A total of 31 references are cited, including 13 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to [editorialoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:editorialoffice@wjgnet.com). The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately.

2 Language evaluation: Classification: Two Grades B. A language editing certificate issued by AJE was provided.

3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the Institutional Review Board Approval Form, and the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search.

4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by Liaoning Province Key R&D Guidance Program, Liaoning Province Grassroots Health and Family Planning Appropriate Technology Promotion Project. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC.

5 Issues raised:

(1) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

Response: Many thanks for your suggestion. We want to express the depth of my gratitude to you. We have uploaded the approved grant application form of Liaoning Province Key R&D Guidance Program (2019JH8/10300016) and Liaoning Province Grassroots Health and Family Planning Appropriate Technology Promotion Project (LHATP-201801).

The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Response: We really appreciate your constructive suggestion. We have re-prepared our original figure using PowerPoint and uploaded them.

and (3) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text.

Response: We really appreciate your constructive suggestion. We have added the formatted “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text.

6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

**(2) Editorial office director:**

**(3) Company editor-in-chief:** I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

## **6 STEPS FOR SUBMITTING REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

### **Step 1: Author Information**

Please click and download the [Format for authorship, institution, and corresponding author guidelines](#), and further check if the authors names and institutions meet the requirements of the journal.

**Response:** We have checked all the authors names and made some changes according to the Format for authorship, institution, and corresponding author guidelines.

### **Step 2: Manuscript Information**

Please check if the manuscript information is correct.

**Response:** We have checked the manuscript information and made sure it's correct.

### **Step 3: Abstract, Main Text, and Acknowledgements**

(a) **Guidelines for revising the content:** Please download the guidelines for Original articles; Review articles; and Case report articles for your specific manuscript type (Retrospective Cohort Study) at: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/291>. Please further revise your manuscript according to the guidelines for revising the content.

Response: We have downloaded the files of Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision-Clinical Trials Study and used the checklist to ensure that every requirement is fully met.

(b) **Format for Manuscript Revision:** Please update the format of your manuscript according to the guidelines and requirements for manuscript revision and the format for manuscript revision. Please visit <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/291> for the article type-specific guidelines and formatting examples.

Response: We have downloaded the files of Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision-Clinical Trials Study and formatted the manuscript according to Format for Manuscript Revision-Clinical Trials Study.

(b) **Requirements for article highlights:** If your manuscript is an original study (basic study or clinical study), meta-analysis, or systemic review, the “Article Highlights” section should be provided. Detailed writing requirements for



“Article Highlights” can be found in the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

Response: We have added formatted “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text.

#### **Step 4: References**

Please revise the references according to the [Format for references guidelines](#), and be sure to edit the reference using the reference auto-analyser.

Response: We have revised the references according to the Format for references guidelines.

#### **Step 5: Footnotes and Figure Legends**

(a) **Requirements for figures:** Please provide decomposable Figures (whose parts are all movable and editable), organize them into a single PowerPoint file, and submit as “**62552-Figures.ppt**” on the system. The figures should be uploaded to the file destination of “Image File”.

Response: Many thanks for your suggestion. We have organized figures into a new file “62552-Figures.ppt”. Moreover, we have also provided the original files created using the GraphPad prim 8 and have uploaded them.

(b) **Requirements for tables:** Please provide decomposable Tables (whose parts are all movable and editable), organize them into a single Word file, and

submit as **“62552-Tables.docx”** on the system. The tables should be uploaded to the file destination of “Table File”.

Response: We have organized the table into a new file **“62552-Tables.docx”** and uploaded it to system “Table File”.

#### **Step 6: Automatically Generate Full Text Files**

Please download the “Full Text File” or click “Preview” to ensure all the contents of the manuscript automatically generated by the system are correct and meet the requirements of the journal.

Response: We have checked the “Full Text File” and ensured it was corrected.

#### **Step 7: Upload the Revision Files**

For all required accompanying documents (listed below), you can begin the uploading process via the F6Publishing system. Then, please download all the uploaded documents to ensure all of them are correct.

(1) 62552-Answering Reviewers

(2) 62552-Audio Core Tip

(3) 62552-Biostatistics Review Certificate

(4) 62552-Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form

(5) 62552-Copyright License Agreement

(6) 62552-Approved Grant Application Form(s) or Funding Agency Copy of any Approval Document(s)

- (7) 62552-Signed Informed Consent Form(s) or Document(s)
- (8) 62552-Institutional Review Board Approval Form or Document
- (9) 62552-Non-Native Speakers of English Editing Certificate
- (10) 62552-Video
- (11) 62552-Image File
- (12) 62552-Table File
- (13) 62552-STROBE Statement
- (14) 62552-Supplementary Material

If your manuscript has supportive foundations, the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s) must be provided. Otherwise, we will delete the supportive foundations.

If your manuscript has no “Video” or “Supplementary Material”, you don’t need to submit those two types of documents.

## **7 COPYRIGHT LICENSE AGREEMENT**

Please click and download the [Copyright License Agreement Form](#). Subsequently, a PDF (scanned) version of the Copyright License Agreement Form that has been signed by all authors should be uploaded to the file destination of ‘Copyright License Agreement’.

## **8 CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM**

Please click and download the fillable [ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest](#) (PDF), and fill it in. The Corresponding Author is responsible for filling out this form. Once filled out completely, the Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure

Form should be uploaded to the file destination of 'Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form'.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper.

We sincerely appreciate for reviewers' warm work, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Sincerely,

Dr. Yao

Dear editor:

We really appreciate your letter and the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Efficacy of roxadustat in the treatment of peritoneal dialysis patients with renal anaemia**" (Manuscript ID: 62552). Please do not hesitate to contact us with any additional questions or concerns.

1. Please provide the decomposable figure of figures, whose parts are all movable and editable, organize them into a PowerPoint file, and submit as "Manuscript No. -Figures.ppt" on the system, we need to edit the text in the figures. All submitted figures, including the text contained within the figures, must be editable. Please provide the text in your figure(s) in text boxes.

We re-formatted and produced the ppt file according to your requirements, provided all the texts in text boxes, edited the format according to the articles published in your journal.

2. Please don't include any \*, #, †, §, ‡, ¥, @ ....in your manuscript; Please use superscript numbers for illustration; and for statistical significance, please use

superscript letters. Statistical significance is expressed as <sup>a</sup>P <0.05, <sup>b</sup>P <0.01 (P > 0.05 usually does not need to be denoted). If there are other series of P values, cP <0.05 and dP <0.01 are used, and a third series of P values is expressed as eP <0.05 and fP <0.01.

We refilled the statistical significance according to your request, expressed as <sup>a</sup>P <0.05, <sup>b</sup>P <0.01

We sincerely appreciate for reviewers' warm work, and hope that the correction will meet with approval. Thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Sincerely,

Dr. Yao