

World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2022 May 16; 10(14): 4327-4712



OPINION REVIEW

- 4327 Emerging role of biosimilars in the clinical care of inflammatory bowel disease patients
Najeeb H, Yasmin F, Surani S

MINIREVIEWS

- 4334 Practical insights into chronic management of hepatic Wilson's disease
Lynch EN, Campani C, Innocenti T, Dragoni G, Forte P, Galli A
- 4348 Adipose-derived stem cells in the treatment of hepatobiliary diseases and sepsis
Satilmis B, Cicek GS, Cicek E, Akbulut S, Sahin TT, Yilmaz S

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**Clinical and Translational Research**

- 4357 Learning curve for a surgeon in robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy through a "G"-shaped approach: A cumulative sum analysis
Wei ZG, Liang CJ, Du Y, Zhang YP, Liu Y
- 4368 Clinical and prognostic significance of expression of phosphoglycerate mutase family member 5 and Parkin in advanced colorectal cancer
Wu C, Feng ML, Jiao TW, Sun MJ

Case Control Study

- 4380 Significance of preoperative peripheral blood neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in predicting postoperative survival in patients with multiple myeloma bone disease
Xu ZY, Yao XC, Shi XJ, Du XR

Retrospective Study

- 4395 Association between depression and malnutrition in pulmonary tuberculosis patients: A cross-sectional study
Fang XE, Chen DP, Tang LL, Mao YJ
- 4404 Pancreatic cancer incidence and mortality patterns in 2006-2015 and prediction of the epidemiological trend to 2025 in China
Yin MY, Xi LT, Liu L, Zhu JZ, Qian LJ, Xu CF
- 4414 Evaluation of short- and medium-term efficacy and complications of ultrasound-guided ablation for small liver cancer
Zhong H, Hu R, Jiang YS

- 4425 Hematopoiesis reconstitution and anti-tumor effectiveness of Pai-Neng-Da capsule in acute leukemia patients with haploidentical hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Yuan JJ, Lu Y, Cao JJ, Pei RZ, Gao RL

- 4436 Oral and maxillofacial pain as the first sign of metastasis of an occult primary tumour: A fifteen-year retrospective study

Shan S, Liu S, Yang ZY, Wang TM, Lin ZT, Feng YL, Pakezhati S, Huang XF, Zhang L, Sun GW

- 4446 Reduced serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels and aberrantly expressed cholesterol metabolism genes in colorectal cancer

Tao JH, Wang XT, Yuan W, Chen JN, Wang ZJ, Ma YB, Zhao FQ, Zhang LY, Ma J, Liu Q

Observational Study

- 4460 Correlation of pressure gradient in three hepatic veins with portal pressure gradient

Wang HY, Song QK, Yue ZD, Wang L, Fan ZH, Wu YF, Dong CB, Zhang Y, Meng MM, Zhang K, Jiang L, Ding HG, Zhang YN, Yang YP, Liu FQ

- 4470 Multi-slice spiral computed tomography in diagnosing unstable pelvic fractures in elderly and effect of less invasive stabilization

Huang JG, Zhang ZY, Li L, Liu GB, Li X

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 4480 Distribution and changes in hepatitis C virus genotype in China from 2010 to 2020

Yang J, Liu HX, Su YY, Liang ZS, Rao HY

CASE REPORT

- 4494 Bow hunter's syndrome successfully treated with a posterior surgical decompression approach: A case report and review of literature

Orlandi N, Cavallieri F, Grisendi I, Romano A, Ghadirpour R, Napoli M, Moratti C, Zanichelli M, Pascarella R, Valzania F, Zedde M

- 4502 Histological remission of eosinophilic esophagitis under asthma therapy with IL-5 receptor monoclonal antibody: A case report

Huguenot M, Bruhm AC, Essig M

- 4509 Cutaneous mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma complicating Sjögren's syndrome: A case report and review of literature

Liu Y, Zhu J, Huang YH, Zhang QR, Zhao LL, Yu RH

- 4519 Plexiform neurofibroma of the cauda equina with follow-up of 10 years: A case report

Chomanskis Z, Jusky R, Cepkus S, Dulko J, Hendrixson V, Ruksenas O, Rocka S

- 4528 Mixed porokeratosis with a novel mevalonate kinase gene mutation: A case report

Xu HJ, Wen GD

- 4535 Isolated pancreatic injury caused by abdominal massage: A case report

Sun BL, Zhang LL, Yu WM, Tuo HF

- 4541** Bronchiolar adenoma with unusual presentation: Two case reports
Du Y, Wang ZY, Zheng Z, Li YX, Wang XY, Du R
- 4550** Periodontal-orthodontic interdisciplinary management of a “periodontally hopeless” maxillary central incisor with severe mobility: A case report and review of literature
Jiang K, Jiang LS, Li HX, Lei L
- 4563** Anesthesia management for cesarean section in a pregnant woman with odontogenic infection: A case report
Ren YL, Ma YS
- 4569** Convulsive-like movements as the first symptom of basilar artery occlusive brainstem infarction: A case report
Wang TL, Wu G, Liu SZ
- 4574** Globe luxation may prevent myopia in a child: A case report
Li Q, Xu YX
- 4580** Computer tomography-guided negative pressure drainage treatment of intrathoracic esophagojejunal anastomotic leakage: A case report
Jiang ZY, Tao GQ, Zhu YF
- 4586** Primary or metastatic lung cancer? Sebaceous carcinoma of the thigh: A case report
Wei XL, Liu Q, Zeng QL, Zhou H
- 4594** Perianesthesia emergency repair of a cut endotracheal tube’s inflatable tube: A case report
Wang TT, Wang J, Sun TT, Hou YT, Lu Y, Chen SG
- 4601** Diagnosis of cytomegalovirus encephalitis using metagenomic next-generation sequencing of blood and cerebrospinal fluid: A case report
Xu CQ, Chen XL, Zhang DS, Wang JW, Yuan H, Chen WF, Xia H, Zhang ZY, Peng FH
- 4608** Primary sigmoid squamous cell carcinoma with liver metastasis: A case report
Li XY, Teng G, Zhao X, Zhu CM
- 4617** Acute recurrent cerebral infarction caused by moyamoya disease complicated with adenomyosis: A case report
Zhang S, Zhao LM, Xue BQ, Liang H, Guo GC, Liu Y, Wu RY, Li CY
- 4625** Serum-negative Sjogren's syndrome with minimal lesion nephropathy as the initial presentation: A case report
Li CY, Li YM, Tian M
- 4632** Successful individualized endodontic treatment of severely curved root canals in a mandibular second molar: A case report
Xu LJ, Zhang JY, Huang ZH, Wang XZ

- 4640 Successful treatment in one myelodysplastic syndrome patient with primary thrombocytopenia and secondary deep vein thrombosis: A case report
Liu WB, Ma JX, Tong HX
- 4648 Diagnosis of an extremely rare case of malignant adenomyoepithelioma in pleomorphic adenoma: A case report
Zhang WT, Wang YB, Ang Y, Wang HZ, Li YX
- 4654 Management about intravesical histological transformation of prostatic mucinous carcinoma after radical prostatectomy: A case report
Bai SJ, Ma L, Luo M, Xu H, Yang L
- 4661 Hepatopulmonary metastases from papillary thyroid microcarcinoma: A case report
Yang CY, Chen XW, Tang D, Yang WJ, Mi XX, Shi JP, Du WD
- 4669 PD-1 inhibitor in combination with fruquintinib therapy for initial unresectable colorectal cancer: A case report
Zhang HQ, Huang CZ, Wu JY, Wang ZL, Shao Y, Fu Z
- 4676 Cutaneous metastasis from esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: A case report
Zhang RY, Zhu SJ, Xue P, He SQ
- 4684 Rare pattern of Maisonneuve fracture: A case report
Zhao B, Li N, Cao HB, Wang GX, He JQ
- 4691 Suprasellar cistern tuberculoma presenting as unilateral ocular motility disorder and ptosis: A case report
Zhao BB, Tian C, Fu LJ, Zhang XB
- 4698 Development of plasma cell dyscrasias in a patient with chronic myeloid leukemia: A case report
Zhang N, Jiang TD, Yi SH
- 4704 Ovarian growing teratoma syndrome with multiple metastases in the abdominal cavity and liver: A case report
Hu X, Jia Z, Zhou LX, Kakongoma N

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 4709 Perfectionism and mental health problems: Limitations and directions for future research
Nazari N

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Jamir Pitton Rissardo, MD, Academic Research, Adjunct Associate Professor, Research Associate, Department of Medicine, Federal University of Santa Maria, Santa Maria 97105110, Brazil. jamirrissardo@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (*WJCC*, *World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The *WJCC* is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2021 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for *WJCC* as 1.337; IF without journal self cites: 1.301; 5-year IF: 1.742; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.33; Ranking: 119 among 169 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q3. The *WJCC*'s CiteScore for 2020 is 0.8 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: General Medicine is 493/793.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: *Hua-Ge Yan*, Production Department Director: *Xu Guo*, Editorial Office Director: *Jin-Lei Wang*.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja Hyeon Ku

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

May 16, 2022

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Clinical and Translational Research

Learning curve for a surgeon in robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy through a “G”-shaped approach: A cumulative sum analysis

Zhi-Gang Wei, Chao-Jie Liang, Yang Du, Yong-Ping Zhang, Yu Liu

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.**Peer-review model:** Single blind**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): 0

Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): D

Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Silano F, Brazil;
Yamanaka K, Japan**Received:** February 22, 2021**Peer-review started:** February 22, 2021**First decision:** September 28, 2021**Revised:** October 19, 2021**Accepted:** March 24, 2022**Article in press:** March 24, 2022**Published online:** May 16, 2022**Zhi-Gang Wei**, Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, The First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan 030001, Shanxi Province, China**Chao-Jie Liang**, Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, The First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan 030001, Shanxi Province, China**Yang Du**, Operating Room, The First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan 030001, Shanxi Province, China**Yong-Ping Zhang, Yu Liu**, Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, The First Hospital/First Clinical Medical College of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan 030001, Shanxi Province, China**Corresponding author:** Zhi-Gang Wei, MD, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist, Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, The First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, No. 85 Jiefangnan Road, Yingze Strict, Taiyuan 030001, Shanxi Province, China.sdywzg2018@126.com**Abstract****BACKGROUND**

Robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy (RPD) can achieve similar surgical results to open and PD; however, RPD has a long learning curve and operation time (OT). To address this issue, we have summarized a surgical path to shorten the surgical learning curve and OT.

AIM

To investigate the effective learning curve of a “G”-shaped surgical approach in RPD for patients.

METHODS

A total of 60 patients, who received “G”-shaped RPD (GRPD) by a single surgeon in the First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University from May 2017 to April 2020, were included in this study. The OT, demographic data, intraoperative blood loss, complications, hospitalization time, and pathological results were recorded, and the cumulative sum (CUSUM) analysis was performed to evaluate the learning curve for GRPD.

RESULTS

According to the CUSUM analysis, the learning curve for GRPD was grouped into two phases: The early and late phases. The OT was 480 ± 81.65 min *vs* 331 ± 76.54 min, hospitalization time was 22 ± 4.53 d *vs* 17 ± 6.08 d, and blood loss was 308 ± 54.78 mL *vs* 169.2 ± 35.33 mL in the respective groups. Complications, including pancreatic fistula, bile leakage, reoperation rate, postoperative death, and delayed gastric emptying, were significantly decreased after this surgical technique.

CONCLUSION

GRPD can improve the learning curve and operative time, providing a new method for shortening the RPD learning curve.

Key Words: Robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy; “G”-shaped approach; Learning curve; Cumulative sum analysis

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy (RPD) can achieve similar surgical results to open and PD; however, RPD has a long learning curve and operation time. A total of 60 patients, who received “G”-shaped RPD (GRPD) by a single surgeon in the First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University from May 2017 to April 2020, were included in this study. GRPD can improve the learning curve and operative time, providing a new method for shortening the RPD learning curve.

Citation: Wei ZG, Liang CJ, Du Y, Zhang YP, Liu Y. Learning curve for a surgeon in robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy through a “G”-shaped approach: A cumulative sum analysis. *World J Clin Cases* 2022; 10(14): 4357-4367

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i14/4357.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i14.4357>

INTRODUCTION

Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) is one of the most difficult abdominal surgical procedures[1], as it involves a large range of resections and there is a need to complete the tumor-free, vascular skeletal, gastrointestinal, pancreaticojejunostomy, and biliary anastomosis during the operation. Therefore, the rate of complications in PD is high. In recent years, laparoscopic techniques have been widely used. Since the first report of laparoscopic PD (LPD) in 1994[2], an increasing number of people have investigated its postoperative efficacy, but the mortality rate was as high as 30% in early reports[3]. With the improvement in perioperative management and surgical skills, the mortality rate decreased significantly to 2% in large sample center reports, but the incidence of complications, such as pancreatic fistula, bleeding, and delayed gastric emptying, was more than 30%. During the treatment of tumors around the head of the pancreas or ampulla, the mortality associated with LPD was almost five times higher than that after open surgery, and there was no significant difference in the recovery time between the two groups. Furthermore, a meta-analysis comparing open PD (OPD) and LPD indicated that LPD has no advantage over OPD[4], and new research[5] on LPD suggested that even when LPD is conducted by a well-trained pancreatic surgeon who has performed at least 20 surgeries, there is an increased risk and no significant benefit.

The robot system has developed rapidly in recent years. Compared with the laparoscopic system, the robot system has a tremor filter system, a 3D high-definition zoom lens, and 7 free arms. As a result, robot-assisted surgery is a complicated operation that is associated with a fine operation and anatomical difficulty. In 2003, Giulianotti *et al*[6] reported for the first time that PD was performed using the Da Vinci robotic surgery system, and this procedure has since made great progress. A meta-analysis[7] showed that robotic PD (RPD) is associated with fewer intraoperative abdominal hemorrhages and a shorter postoperative hospital stay compared to OPD, although the operation time (OT) is longer.

However, RPD is a very complicated operation, and surgeons are worried about its long learning curve. Zhang *et al*[8] reported that RPD was a safe and effective operation in 100 cases of RPD surgery, and the learning curve was ultimately completed after 40 cases. The last 60 cases that underwent RPD had significantly less OT, hospitalization time, intraoperative bleeding, and fewer perioperative complications, such as hemorrhage, pancreatic fistula, reoperation rate, and delayed gastric emptying, compared to the first 40 cases. Napoli *et al*[9] studied the perioperative data of 70 patients treated with RPD and found that the OT was significantly shortened after 33 cases. Boone *et al*[10] found that after completing 20 RPD procedures, the learning curve improved significantly in terms of bleeding volume

and conversion to open surgery; after 40 cases, the pancreatic surgery rate was decreased; and after 80 cases, the OT was shortened. However, the overall learning curve is still much longer than that of OPD. Moreover, the sample size of RPD surgery in single-center studies is small, and some physicians may not have any experience in robotic surgery in the early stage. There is an increase in the length of the learning curve; therefore, it should be considered whether this difficulty can be overcome through relatively simple surgical paths. Thus, the current study aims to analyze the learning curve of the G-path in RPD and provide some new methods for shortening the RPD learning curve.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

A total of 60 patients who underwent a “G”-shaped surgical approach for RPD from May 2017 to April 2020 in the First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University were included in this study. Patients with obstructive jaundice were given intervention measures to improve their liver function before surgical treatment. Patients were included in this study if they met the following criteria: (1) Patients diagnosed with a benign or low-malignant tumor of the pancreatic head, early ampullary and periampullary tumor, pancreatic head cancer with T1/T2 stage (less than 3 cm in diameter), common bile duct carcinoma, duodenal papillary carcinoma based on abdominal computed tomography or magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography examination; (2) Patients without mesenteric vascular invasion; (3) Patients without distant metastasis; (4) American Society of Anesthesiologists grade I/II/ III; and (5) Patients who could tolerate the surgery. Patients were excluded if one of the following conditions was present: (1) Distant metastasis (including celiac trunk and/or abdominal aorta); (2) Tumor encasement of the superior mesenteric artery (SMA) or celiac artery; (3) SMA/photovoltaic occlusion; (4) Surrounded aorta or inferior vena cava invasion; and (5) SMA invasion below the transverse mesocolon. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University. The patients had a good understanding of the study, and they signed the informed consent form.

Surgical procedure

After anesthesia and tracheal cannulation, the patients were placed in the supine small position and their legs were placed in a split position. “Five trocars” in the shape of the letter “V” were used for the surgical incision, and the position of the trocars and patients are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The surgical assistant stood on the left side of the patient. A laparoscope was placed into the abdominal cavity through an incision at the inferior navel ring edge, with a pneumoperitoneum of 12 mmHg pressure (1 mmHg = 0.133 kPa). The remaining trocars were also placed in their appropriate positions under direct vision according to Figure 1, and the #1, #2, and #3 robotic arms were connected to the laparoscopic instrument. Laparoscopy was conventionally used to probe the liver, abdominal cavity, omentum majus, and other organs to identify whether there was a tumor, knot, or metastasis.

Step 1 (Figure 3A): The omentum and transverse ligament were isolated using an intestinal or stomach clamp and dissected using an ultrasonic knife. The dorsal pancreatic membrane was carefully exposed, the right gastroepiploic vein and artery were ligated, the small omentum was freed, and the gastric antrum was lifted and cut off with the Endo-GIA cutting closure. The partial stomach was isolated for the gastrojejunal anastomosis later.

Step 2 (Figure 3B): The gastric antrum was turned to the left side to expose the common hepatic artery, hepatic artery, hepatoduodenal ligament, and gastroduodenal artery. The surrounding loose tissues and lymph nodes were thoroughly cleaned. Then, the common hepatic artery was suspended, the gastroduodenal artery was ligated, and 8 pairs of lymph nodes near the proximal end of the common hepatic artery were cleaned. The portal vein in the posterior part was exposed, and 12 pairs of lymph nodes on the left front of the hepatoduodenal ligament were cleaned along the hepatic artery and the common bile duct. The superior mesenteric vein was separated and exposed along the inferior margin of the pancreas.

Step 3 (Figure 3C): The cystic duct and the common bile duct were isolated using an ultrasonic scalpel, the cystic duct and the cystic artery were closed and isolated, and the gallbladder was dissected from the gallbladder bed. The bile duct was freed and temporarily suspended with a vascular sling to reduce bile contamination.

Step 4 (Figure 3D): The Kocher incision was performed, and the inferior vena cava and the left renal vein were exposed. The ascending part of the duodenum was completely freed at the posterior part of the vascular roots in the mesentery.


Step 5 (Figure 3E): The jejunum was cut off at a distance of 15 cm from the ligament of Treitz by a linear cutting closure. An ultrasonic scalpel was used to dissect the jejunum and the duodenum to free the proximal jejunum completely and push the freed proximal jejunum to the right side.

Step 6 (Figure 3F): The superior mesenteric vein was separated along the inferior margin of the pancreas under direct vision. The small branch of the vein originating from the superior mesenteric vein and portal vein was carefully clamped until the upper edge of the pancreas, and the pancreatic neck tunnel was successfully established. The pancreatic neck was transected on the left side of the superior



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i14.4357 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 1 Trocar placement for robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy.



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i14.4357 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 2 The location of the robot and patient.


mesenteric vein, and the pancreas section was carefully stopped. Subsequently, the duodenal end was pulled to the upper right, the superior mesenteric vein and the portal vein were suspended, and the SMA was fully exposed. The pancreatic neck was isolated on the left side of the superior mesenteric vein or portal vein, and the pancreatic duct was cut off with scissors. An ultrasound knife was used to stop bleeding at the cut end of the pancreas. Furthermore, the ascending part of the duodenum and proximal jejunum were pulled from the rear side of the superior mesenteric vein root to the upper right, and then the duodenal end was pulled to the upper right to increase the distance from the pancreatic sulcus to the superior mesenteric vein and portal vein. The superior mesenteric vein was suspended and pulled to the left of the SMA with a vascular band. The SMA and its right branches were then double dissected from the bottom up with biological clips. The pancreatic uncinata process membrane was detached along the right side of the SMA to ensure complete removal of the uncinata process.

Step 7 (Figure 3G): The common hepatic duct above the confluence of the cystic duct and the common bile duct was transected to clearly expose the portal vein. Then, lymph node dissection was conducted on the right and rear sides of the hepatoduodenal ligament and behind the common liver artery, and, using this simple and complicated way to remove each tissue, the shape achieved was a G-type.

Accordingly, this method was called the “G-shaped” approach, and the surgical images are shown in Figure 3. The anastomosis technique was basically the same as that in a previously published article by Liu *et al* [11], and the method of pancreaticojejunostomy was explained in our previous article.

Postoperative management of RPD

Nutritional support is the most important strategy during postoperative care after RPD. A conventional gastric tube was placed in the patients instead of a jejunal nutrition tube. Patients were given a small liquid diet 2-3 d after surgery and a normal diet 5-7 d after surgery. Intravenous nutrition was performed in patients who were unable to eat. The stomach tube was pulled out without a gastrointestinal emptying obstacle. Pancreatic fistula was diagnosed when the fluid amylase level in



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i14.4357 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 3 The surgical procedure for the “G-shaped” approach. A: Disconnected stomach; B: Dissociated gastric duodenal artery; C: Retrograde removal of the gallbladder; D: Perform the Kocher incision, fully free duodenum; E: Disconnected duodenum; F: Establishment of a pancreatic tunnel and cutting the neck of the pancreas and removal of the uncinate process; G: Dissociated bile duct.

drainage output was more than three times the serum amylase level after the third postoperative day [12].

Observation indices

OT; blood loss; complications, including pancreatic fistula, bile leakage, gastric fistula, delayed gastric emptying, wound healing, 30-d reoperation, and 30-d mortality; and hospitalization time were observed.

Cumulative sum analysis of the learning curve

The learning curve was constructed based on the results of cumulative sum (CUSUM) analysis, and this method has been widely used to determine the learning curve to explore different stages of the learning process. The difference between the OT of each patient and the average OT was calculated by chronological order, and then the difference in the first patient was accumulated to the next patient to obtain the CUSUM OT. Finally, the CUSUM OT was calculated as zero. The calculation formula was $CUSUM\ OT = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)$, where x_i represents an individual OT, and μ represents the mean overall OT.

Statistical analysis

The SPSS 21.0 statistics software was used to calculate the results of the χ^2 test, t test, and Fisher's exact test. Mean \pm SD deviation was used for continuous variables, the difference between two groups was assessed by Student's t test, and χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test was used to compare the categorical variables. When the P value was < 0.05 , the result was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The characteristics of all patients are shown in Table 1. The patients enrolled in this study included 25 females and 35 males with an average age of 57 years. According to imaging, pathological, and other laboratory examinations, 13 patients were diagnosed with pancreatic cancer, 5 patients were diagnosed with a solid pseudopapillary tumor, 22 patients were diagnosed with cholangiocarcinoma, 15 patients

Table 1 Preoperative characteristics of all the patients in the two phases

Variable	Total	Early (n = 16)	Late (n = 44)	P value
Gender (male/female)	35/25	8/8	27/17	
Age	57.32 ± 1.58	55.74 ± 3.45	57.89 ± 1.77	0.55
ASA score				
1	42	13	29	
2	18	3	15	
3	0	0	0	
Preoperative				
BMI	22.91 ± 0.38	22.99 ± 0.86	22.88 ± 0.42	0.90
CA 19-9 (U/mL)	311.4 ± 81.24	313.4 ± 148.6	337.9 ± 97.66	0.89
ALT	184.1 ± 22.78	103.0 ± 28.08	213.6 ± 28.20	0.03
AST	123.1 ± 13.19	89.79 ± 24.12	135.5 ± 15.45	0.12
Total bilirubin	186.3 ± 17.08	94.45 ± 30.06	218.3 ± 18.29	0.001
Direct bilirubin	110.9 ± 11.33	45.11 ± 16.31	134.0 ± 12.32	0.0003
Pathological parameters				0.53
Pancreatic cancer	13	2	11	
Solid pseudopapillary tumor	5	0	5	
Cholangiocarcinoma	22	6	16	
Ampulla cancer	15	7	8	
Neuroendocrine tumor	2	0	2	
IPMN	2	1	1	
Chronic pancreatitis	1	0	1	

ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI: Body mass index; CA: Carbohydrate antigen; ALT: Alanine transaminase; AST: Aspartate transaminase; IPMN: Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm.

were diagnosed with ampullary cancer, 2 patients were diagnosed with a neuroendocrine tumor, 2 patients were diagnosed with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasia, and 1 patient was diagnosed with chronic pancreatitis.

The intraoperative and postoperative outcomes are summarized in [Table 2](#). The OT ranged from 340 to 498 min with an average OT of 378.55 ± 94.30 min, and the mean estimated blood loss was 223 mL. A total of 39 patients developed complications, which included pancreatic fistula ($n = 17$, 28.3%), bile leakage ($n = 4$, 6.67%), delayed gastric emptying ($n = 16$, 26.67%), and wound healing ($n = 1$, 1.67%). Only one patient could not be diagnosed accurately by preoperative imaging examination. Grade B pancreatic leakage occurred in six patients after surgery. Fasting, somatostatin injection, drainage, nutritional support, and other intervention measures were implemented in a timely manner until the patient was discharged. No secondary surgery, postoperative bleeding, or other serious complications occurred in any patients. Postoperative pathology confirmed that all patients underwent R0 resection. During the follow-up period, none of the patients died and the survival rate was 100%.

All perioperative outcomes were analyzed in this study, and a graph of OT was plotted according to the order of each patient ([Figure 4](#)), where the OT gradually shortened as the number of cases increased. Two distinct phases of the learning process were found according to the CUSUM analysis learning curve ([Figure 5](#)), and there was a significant reduction in the OT after the first 16 patients (480 min *vs* 331 min, $P < 0.01$). The two slopes, which included the upward slope ($y = -7.3762x^2 + 223.08x + 31.538$, $R^2 = 0.994$) and the downward slope ($y = -0.965x^2 + 35.539x + 1364.2$, $R^2 = 0.9723$), are shown in [Figure 6](#), and the results showed shorter operative times after the first 16 patients.

A comparison of the operative and postoperative outcomes between the two phases was performed, and the results are summarized in [Table 2](#). The operative time (mean, 480 min *vs* 331 min, $P < 0.01$), estimated blood loss (mean, 308 mL *vs* 169.2 mL, $P < 0.01$), postoperative stay (mean, 22 d *vs* 17 d, $P < 0.01$), bile leakage ($P = 0.024$), and delayed gastric emptying ($P = 0.01$) were significantly lower after the first 16 patients ($P < 0.05$), and there were no significant differences in conversion to OPD, pancreatic fistula, gastric fistula, wound healing, 30-d reoperation, or 30-d mortality.

Table 2 Details of operation-related information in the two phases

Variable	Total	Early (n = 16)	Late (n = 44)	P value
Operative time (min)	378.55 ± 94.30	480 ± 81.65	331 ± 76.54	< 0.01
Estimated blood loss (mL)	223.3 ± 31.94	308 ± 54.78	169.2 ± 35.33	< 0.01
Conversion to OPD	3 (5%)	2 (12.5%)	1 (2.27%)	0.11
Postoperative stay (d)	19 ± 6.09	22 ± 4.53	17 ± 6.08	< 0.01
Overall complication				
Pancreatic fistula	17 (28.3%)	6 (37.5%)	11 (25%)	0.34
Grade A	11 (18.3%)	3 (18.75%)	8 (18.2%)	0.95
Grade B	6 (10%)	3 (18.75%)	3 (6.82%)	0.17
Grade C	0	0	0	
Bile leakage	4 (6.67%)	3 (18.75%)	1 (2.27%)	0.024
Gastric fistula	0	0	0	
Delayed gastric emptying	16 (26.67%)	8 (50%)	8 (18.18%)	0.01
Wound healing	1	0	1	
30-d reoperation	0	0	0	
30-d mortality	0	0	0	
Postoperative hospital stay (d)	18.8 ± 11.8	22.5 ± 14.5	17.5 ± 9.3	< 0.01

OPD: Open pancreaticoduodenectomy.




Figure 4 Graph of operative times plotted for all consecutive 60 patients.

DISCUSSION

Despite being first reported by Gagner and Pomp[2] in 1994, LPD is still not a widely accepted surgical procedure due to its complex dissection and digestive tract reconstruction. Concerns regarding LPD application were focused on the long operative time, requirement for advanced laparoscopic skills, and lack of advantages compared with OPD[13]. Studies also showed that LPD has an advantage in improving perioperative inflammation and the quality of life of patients[14]. However, recent studies indicated that LPD shows no advantage over OPD. With the rapid development of science and technology, robotic surgery has gradually become a hot trend[15-19].

Zhang *et al*[16] demonstrated that RPD has a shorter learning curve compared to LPD. Several studies have focused on the learning curve of RPD, although the learning curve is still long. Therefore, the RPD surgical approach needs to constantly be improved to overcome the existing shortcomings. Compared with the other study, the learning curve could be completed after 16 patients in our study, and after 16 patients, the outcomes of RPD included less operative time, estimated blood loss, postoperative stay, bile leakage, and delayed gastric emptying compared to that in previous reports[8-10]. Postoperative pathology confirmed that all cases included in our study achieved R0 resection. There were no cases of




Figure 5 Cumulative sum-operation time curve for the two learning phases of “G”-shaped robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy. CUSUM: Cumulative sum; OT: Operative time.

conversion or death, which indicated that the prognosis may be better.

Currently, the standard surgical approach (Kocher incision approach) and the arterial priority approach are the main ways of performing minimally invasive PD[16]. The traditional anterior approach is initiated by freeing the descending duodenum. However, this intestinal segment is found deep in the retroperitoneum, in which the transverse colon and its mesentery are covered and cannot be easily reached. This operation requires highly skilled operators. The arterial priority approach can determine whether the artery is involved to avoid palliative resection. Puntambekar *et al*[18] reported on the SMA approach-based LPD in 38 cases, in which the tumor was resected completely and the negative margin rate was over 94.74%. However, in early patients with ampullary and periampullary tumors, preoperative imaging diagnosis can determine the exact relationship between the tumor and blood vessel. There is no need for repeated confirmation. Moreover, this surgical approach also requires a high level of skill. For young doctors or surgical beginners, it is difficult to directly and accurately identify and isolate the mesenteric blood vessels.

The “G”-shaped surgical approach proposed in this study is conducive to a free Kocher incision. The treatment of the uncinate process and the superior mesenteric vessels is considered the last step of the process, which makes it easy for beginners to master the technique. Studies have shown that patients with ampullary carcinoma had a high metastasis rate at No. 13, 14, 8, and 12 group lymph nodes[18]. In “G”-shaped RPD, the stomach was isolated first and turned to the left to reveal the common hepatic artery and hepatoduodenal ligament, which was conducive for a three-dimensional lymph node dissection of the 8a and 8p lymph nodes. After lymph node cleansing, the common hepatic artery was suspended to isolate the hepatic artery and gastroduodenal artery. The hepatoduodenal ligament was divided into three parts consisting of the left front, left posterior, and right sides, and then cleansing was completed. In addition, the small branches imported to the portal vein system were managed. Good exposure of the upper edge of the pancreatic portal vein was conducive for establishing the posterior pancreatic tunnel. In some patients, the establishment of the posterior pancreatic tunnel was more difficult due to inflammation caused by tumor compression. In these patients, the forcible establishment of the posterior pancreatic tunnel might lead to a portal vein and superior mesenteric vein tear. To avoid uncontrollable bleeding caused by blood vessel tear, we recommend isolating the upper and lower edges of the pancreas first instead of creating a posterior pancreatic tunnel forcibly. We isolated the neck of the pancreas to expose the superior mesenteric vein. We pulled and suspended the superior mesenteric vein to the left with a vessel band and dragged the superior mesenteric vein to the left of the SMA. Then, we isolated the pancreatic tissue and branch of the blood vessels close to the blood vessel wall to completely resect the whole pancreatic membrane. Maximum retention of nerve tissues on the left side of the SMA is essential to avoid postoperative refractory diarrhea. This approach is based on the “periphery to center, easy to difficult, small vessel ligation first, and large vessel ligation last” principle to reduce intraoperative bleeding throughout the process. It might also avoid the spread of tumor cells, reduce abdominal harassment, and accelerate the postoperative recovery of intestinal function, although this requires further research.

There are some limitations in this study that need to be addressed. Firstly, the conclusion was verified in a single surgical center; hence, more cases from other surgical centers are needed to support the conclusion. Secondly, in this study, we chose patients diagnosed with ampullary and periampullary cancer, pancreatic head cancer less than 3 cm in diameter, and benign and low malignant tumors without vascular invasion. A tumor with a diameter greater than 3 cm was not included in this study, as it increased the difficulty of laparoscopic resection and anastomosis. Thus, this modified technique for RPD was only suitable for benign or low-grade malignant tumors. Thirdly, we preoperatively screened




Figure 6 The phases present an upward slope. A: The first phase; B: The second phase. CUSUM: Cumulative sum; OT: Operative time.

the patients with predictable resection through imaging and other data. Therefore, we did not explore the relationship between the pancreas and mesenteric arteries and veins during surgery. Although the situation observed during surgery was consistent with the preoperative assessment, there may be some inconsistencies as the number of cases increase. This may be a drawback of this modified technique for RPD. As such, more detailed preoperative and postoperative assessments are necessary.

CONCLUSION

The “G”-shaped surgical approach is effective, and this approach can shorten the surgical learning curve.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy (RPD) can achieve similar surgical results to open and PD; however,

RPD has a long learning curve and operation time (OT).

Research motivation

To address this issue, we have summarized a surgical path to shorten the surgical learning curve and OT.

Research objectives

This study aimed to investigate the efficacy and learning curve of a “G”-shaped surgical approach in RPD.

Research methods

A total of 60 patients, who received “G”-shaped RPD (GRPD) by a single surgeon in the First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University from May 2017 to April 2020, were included in this study. OT, demographic data, intraoperative blood loss, complications, hospitalization time, and pathological results were recorded, and the cumulative sum (CUSUM) analysis was performed to evaluate the learning curve for GRPD.

Research results

According to the CUSUM analysis, the learning curve for GRPD was grouped into two phases (early and late phases). The OT was 480 ± 81.65 min *vs* 331 ± 76.54 min, hospitalization time was 22 ± 4.53 d *vs* 17 ± 6.08 d, and blood loss was 308 ± 54.78 mL *vs* 169.2 ± 35.33 mL in the respective groups. The complications, including pancreatic fistula, bile leakage, reoperation rate, postoperative death, and delayed gastric emptying, were significantly decreased after this surgical technique.

Research conclusions

GRPD can improve the learning curve and operative time, and this will provide a new method for shortening the RPD learning curve.

Research perspectives

GRPD can improve the learning curve and operative time, and this will provide a new method for shortening the RPD learning curve.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Wei ZG designed the research study; Liang CJ and Zhang YP performed the research; Wei ZG and Zhang YP analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; Du Y drew the image; and all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Supported by Shanxi Provincial Science and Technology Department Social Development Fund, No. 201903D321144.

Institutional review board statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University Institutional Review Board (Approval No. 2019SK13).

Clinical trial registration statement: This study is registered at Chinese Clinical Trial Registry. The registration identification number is ChiCTR210053744.

Informed consent statement: All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Zhi-Gang Wei 0000-0003-3658-9817; Chao-Jie Liang 0000-0002-2866-7566; Yang Du 0000-0002-2020-0005; Yong-Ping Zhang 0000-0003-2893-1758; Yu Liu 0000-0002-2190-213X.

S-Editor: Wang JJ

L-Editor: Webster JR

P-Editor: Wang JJ

REFERENCES

- 1 **Torres OJ**, Moraes Junior JM, Moraes AM, Torres CC, Oliveira AT. Performance of Laparoscopic Pancreatoduodenectomy for Solid Pseudopapillary Tumor of Pancreas. *Am J Case Rep* 2016; **17**: 894-898 [PMID: 27890912 DOI: 10.12659/ajcr.900792]
- 2 **Gagner M**, Pomp A. Laparoscopic pylorus-preserving pancreatoduodenectomy. *Surg Endosc* 1994; **8**: 408-410 [PMID: 7915434 DOI: 10.1007/BF00642443]
- 3 **Nakamura M**, Nakashima H. Laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy and pancreatoduodenectomy: is it worthwhile? *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* 2013; **20**: 421-428 [PMID: 23224732 DOI: 10.1007/s00534-012-0578-7]
- 4 **Ausania F**, Landi F, Martínez-Pérez A, Fondevila C. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials comparing laparoscopic vs open pancreaticoduodenectomy. *HPB (Oxford)* 2019; **21**: 1613-1620 [PMID: 31253428 DOI: 10.1016/j.hpb.2019.05.017]
- 5 **van Hilst J**, de Rooij T, Bosscha K, Brinkman DJ, van Dieren S, Dijkgraaf MG, Gerhards MF, de Hingh IH, Karsten TM, Lips DJ, Luyer MD, Busch OR, Festen S, Besselink MG; Dutch Pancreatic Cancer Group. Laparoscopic vs open pancreatoduodenectomy for pancreatic or periampullary tumours (LEOPARD-2): a multicentre, patient-blinded, randomised controlled phase 2/3 trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; **4**: 199-207 [PMID: 30685489 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30004-4]
- 6 **Giulianotti PC**, Coratti A, Angelini M, Sbrana F, Cecconi S, Balestracci T, Caravaglios G. Robotics in general surgery: personal experience in a large community hospital. *Arch Surg* 2003; **138**: 777-784 [PMID: 12860761 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.138.7.777]
- 7 **Zhao W**, Liu C, Li S, Geng D, Feng Y, Sun M. Safety and efficacy for robot-assisted vs open pancreaticoduodenectomy and distal pancreatectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Oncol* 2018; **27**: 468-478 [PMID: 30217304 DOI: 10.1016/j.suronc.2018.06.001]
- 8 **Zhang T**, Zhao ZM, Gao YX, Lau WY, Liu R. The learning curve for a surgeon in robot-assisted laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy: a retrospective study in a high-volume pancreatic center. *Surg Endosc* 2019; **33**: 2927-2933 [PMID: 30483970 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-018-6595-0]
- 9 **Napoli N**, Kauffmann EF, Palmeri M, Miccoli M, Costa F, Vistoli F, Amorese G, Boggi U. The Learning Curve in Robotic Pancreatoduodenectomy. *Dig Surg* 2016; **33**: 299-307 [PMID: 27215422 DOI: 10.1159/000445015]
- 10 **Boone BA**, Zenati M, Hogg ME, Steve J, Moser AJ, Bartlett DL, Zeh HJ, Zureikat AH. Assessment of quality outcomes for robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy: identification of the learning curve. *JAMA Surg* 2015; **150**: 416-422 [PMID: 25761143 DOI: 10.1001/jamasurg.2015.17]
- 11 **Liu Q**, Zhao Z, Zhang X, Zhao G, Tan X, Gao Y, Lau WY, Liu R. Robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy in elderly and younger patients: A retrospective cohort study. *Int J Surg* 2020; **81**: 61-65 [PMID: 32750491 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijssu.2020.07.049]
- 12 **Zhu HY**, Cui WP, Zhang XN, Dong Y, Wei ZG. Application of "double-U" three-step pancreaticojejunostomy in robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Chin J Open Proc Gen Surg* 2020; **14** (4): 374-377 [DOI: 10.3877/ema.j.issn.1674-3946.2020.04.016]
- 13 **Pratt WB**, Callery MP, Vollmer CM Jr. Risk prediction for development of pancreatic fistula using the ISGPF classification scheme. *World J Surg* 2008; **32**: 419-428 [PMID: 18175170 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-007-9388-5]
- 14 **Asbun HJ**, Stauffer JA. Laparoscopic vs open pancreaticoduodenectomy: overall outcomes and severity of complications using the Accordion Severity Grading System. *J Am Coll Surg* 2012; **215**: 810-819 [PMID: 22999327 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2012.08.006]
- 15 **Feng Q**, Xin Z, Qiu J, Xu M. Laparoscopic vs. Open Pancreatoduodenectomy After Learning Curve: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Single-Center Studies. *Front Surg* 2021; **8**: 715083 [PMID: 34568416 DOI: 10.3389/fsurg.2021.715083]
- 16 **Zhang Y**, Hong D, Zhang C, Hu Z. Total laparoscopic vs robot-assisted laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Biosci Trends* 2018; **12**: 484-490 [PMID: 30473556 DOI: 10.5582/bst.2018.01236]
- 17 **Nagakawa Y**, Hosokawa Y, Sahara Y, Takishita C, Nakajima T, Hijikata Y, Tago T, Kasuya K, Tsuchida A. A Novel "Artery First" Approach Allowing Safe Resection in Laparoscopic Pancreatoduodenectomy: The Uncinate Process First Approach. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2015; **62**: 1037-1040 [PMID: 26902052]
- 18 **Puntambekar S**, Kenawadekar R, Pandit A, Nadkarni A, Bhat NA, Joshi S, Joshi GA. Laparoscopic Supracolic Pancreatoduodenectomy: A Novel Technique For Complete Uncinate Process Resection. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2014; **61**: 1118-1123 [PMID: 26158174]
- 19 **Fujita T**, Konishi M, Gotohda N, Takahashi S, Nakagohri T, Kojima M, Kinoshita T. Invasive micropapillary carcinoma of the ampulla of Vater with extensive lymph node metastasis: Report of a case. *Surg Today* 2010; **40**: 1197-1200 [PMID: 21110170 DOI: 10.1007/s00595-010-4330-0]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

