



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 62663

Title: Stem cell therapy in ocular pathologies in the past 20 years

Reviewer's code: 05084565

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-23 06:43

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-29 12:21

Review time: 6 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review, the authors reviewed stem cell therapy for the ophthalmic disorders. Major concerns

1. The review is not well organized. The review is presented as the “what, why, which, how, when and where” structure, which seems to be not very satisfactory since it results in many repeated contents in different parts and less logical of the context. Table 1 should be modified or removed according to the change of the structure. In my opinion, detailed and well-organized Tables presenting the clinical trials of different stem cells in different ophthalmic diseases might be more meaningful than the outline Table. Besides, some information seems to be not very consistent with its subtitle, for example, most of the information in part 4 seems to be not very consistent with the title of this part, and some information seems to be not appropriate to be put in this part, such as the last two paragraphs, which might be more appropriate to be put in the last part of the manuscript or in the corresponding section of the part 5.
2. It is confusing that the authors considered only studies referring to humans and the title of part 4 is “how ... in clinical research”, while they mentioned in the abstract “literatures have reported limited success of clinical trials to date”, and they actually referred few clinical trials and repeatedly mentioned “in animals” in part 5, such as “Most ... are on animal models”, “limited ... in animals”, “Promising ... in animals, ... have not been confirmed in human trials to date”, “only a few studies on animals have been reported in literature”, and so on.
3. There are too many reviews of the stem cells (especially in the parts of “what are stem cells” and “which stem cells can be considered for treatment in ophthalmology”), the current situation of stem cell therapy (in the introduction) and the basic knowledge of eyes and ophthalmic diseases (in part 5).
4. The category of stem cells seems to be not precise enough and there are repetition and confounding in different categories.
5. Much information is provided, while not logical (the whole context and in each single part and the above and the following sentences) and rigorous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

(for example, most of the information in the first paragraph of part is not specific to stem cell therapy for ophthalmic disorders, and injection seems to could be considered as a approach of transplantation) enough makes the keypoint seems to be not prominent enough and the reading not fluent enough. 6. The abstract, core tip and the key words are not recapitulative enough. 7. There are many grammatical (preposition, word form, phrases & collocations, etc.) and sentence pattern and structure errors. For example, a native English speaking(should be speaker); in centred on; the main goals ... include the possibility of preventing ..., restoring ..., recreating..., and regenerate(should be regenerating) eye tissues; in addition to ..., ..., dentistry etc(I think there should be an "and" before dentistry); and so on. Minor concerns 1. As the authors mentioned, this manuscript is not a meta-analysis, thus the "MATERIAL AND METHODS" part and Table 2 seem to be not necessary. In addition, "MATERIAL" should be "MATERIALS". 2. Of the phrases "ocular pathologies" and "eye pathology", it might be more appropriate to change the word "pathology/pathologies" to "disease/diseases" or "disorder/disorders" or change the phrase to "ophthalmopathy" when describe the disease; the phrases "animal clinical trials" and "animal trials" need to be reconsidered and the concepts of "animal experiment" and "clinical trial" should be distinguished. 3. In the subtitle "when can stem cells be used and in what ocular pathologies", "when can stem cells be used" is the same meaning as "in what ocular pathologies can stem cells be used". 4. There should be references for some parts of the manuscript, and more appropriate references should be chosen for some parts of the manuscript. For example, in the second paragraph of the introduction, there is only one reference and it could be changed to a more appropriate one.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 62663

Title: Stem cell therapy in ocular pathologies in the past 20 years

Reviewer's code: 02897448

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-25 09:41

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-30 03:54

Review time: 4 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Stem cells play an important role in the treatment of refractory eye diseases and bring hope to patients. It is very necessary and valuable to summarize the research results and development trend of stem cell therapy in this field. I'm very glad that this manuscript summarizes the results of stem cell therapy in ocular pathologies in the past 20 years, which provides some useful information for researchers and clinicians. However, based on the analysis of these existing research results, the authors should also put forward their own points. Some issues should be interpreted carefully before this manuscript is acceptable for publication considering the high standards of World Journal of Stem Cells:

1. In "3. Which stem cells can be considered for treatment in Ophthalmology", the authors introduce many types of stem cells used in eye diseases in this manuscript. However, the authors should discuss what are the main criteria for selecting stem cell type in clinical application, and which stem cell type has the most clinical application prospect, rather than just in animal experiments. 2. In "4. How can stem cells be used in clinical research", the authors introduce several methods of stem cell therapy in the treatment of ophthalmology, but the summary is not comprehensive enough. Due to the existence of inflammatory factors and other adverse factors in the damaged tissue microenvironment, the survival rate of directly transplanted stem cells is very low. In addition, three-dimensional grafts with stem cells might be better to be implanted for the treatment of certain diseases. Therefore, biomaterial scaffolds play an increasingly important role in the treatment of eye diseases with stem cells. The authors only mention the use of biomaterials and nanomaterials in the manuscript, but do not provide some necessary information about the main materials, scaffold types, research results, key problems and the development trend. Therefore, it is suggested that the authors summarize the combination of biomaterials and stem cells used in the treatment of eye diseases in the revised manuscript. 3. In "5. When can stem cells be used and in what



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

ocular pathologies”, the authors introduce the application of stem cells in ocular pathologies, however, it is better that the authors can point out which stem cell type might be more suitable for the treatment of a specific disease based on the analysis of the existing research results. This is very helpful to choose the most suitable stem cell type in clinical application. 4. In “6. Limits and why not”, the authors suggest that researchers should pay attention to the possible side effects of stem cell therapy. It is suggested that the authors should summarize the side effects that have been found in stem cell therapy, and give some suggestions about how to reduce these side effects and improve the safety of stem cell therapy. In addition, the authors may put forward their own points about the possible methods to reduce the high cost of stem cell therapy, which is very helpful to promote the large-scale clinical application of stem cells.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 62663

Title: Stem cell therapy in ocular pathologies in the past 20 years

Reviewer's code: 02909322

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Director, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-27 02:25

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-01 10:05

Review time: 5 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review is well organized to present a brief and clear overview of the main types of treatments based on stem cells in the field of human ophthalmic pathologies following these subtitles: 1. What are stem cells; 2. Why use stem cells in ocular pathologies; 3. Which stem cells can be considered for treatment in ophthalmology; 4. How can stem cells be used in clinical research; 5. When can stem cells be used and in what ocular pathologies; 6. Limits and why not; and 7. Future prospective. Up to now, the brief overview about stem cell therapies on the ophthalmic disease have been rarely summarized. However, several minor points should be noted as below: 1)Some important experiments in other research groups should not be omitted and need to be discussed. For example, in “5.1 Ocular surface, cornea and limbus” section, as to talking about MSCs, Ma et al. were the first to expand MSCs on HAM and subsequently transplant the construct onto the ocular surface of LSCD rats (Ma Y., Xu Y., Xiao Z., et al. Reconstruction of chemically burned rat corneal surface by bone marrow-derived human mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells*. 2006;24(2):315-321.) ; In 2011, Reinshagen et al. injected enriched MSCs under an AMT in LSCD rabbits (Reinshagen H., Auw-Haedrich C., Sorg R. V., et al. Corneal surface reconstruction using adult mesenchymal stem cells in experimental limbal stem cell deficiency in rabbits. *Acta Ophthalmologica*. 2011;89(8):741-748.) Gu et al. succeeded in differentiating rabbit-derived bone marrow MSCs into corneal epithelial-like cells (Gu S., Xing C., Han J., Tso M. O. M., Hong J. Differentiation of rabbit bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells into corneal epithelial cells in vivo and ex vivo. *Molecular Vision*. 2009;15:99-107.) 2)As we know, retinal degeneration is one of the dominant causes of irreversible vision impairment. So, the table about stem cell-based clinical trials for RD treatment should be provided, and some clinical trials as the following published review should be cited (Wang Y, et al. Stem/progenitor cell-based transplantation for retinal degeneration: a



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

review of clinical trials. Cell Death Dis. 2020.).



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 62663

Title: Stem cell therapy in ocular pathologies in the past 20 years

Reviewer's code: 05084565

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-15 11:29

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-16 13:38

Review time: 1 Day and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. About the category of stem cells in “3. Which stem cells can be considered for



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

treatment in ophthalmology”, I think “3.3 Multipotent stem cells: mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) ” and “3.4 Eye stem cells” belong to “3.2 Adult stem cells”, and multipotent stem cells are not only include mesenchymal stem cells. 2. In the previous manuscript, Table 1 was “Outline of the review”, which was removed in the revised manuscript, but the last sentence of the first paragraph of the “MATERIALS AND METHODS” was still “The detailed outline of the review can be found in Table 1”. In addition, in the revised manuscript, it seems more appropriate to change Table 1 to Figure 1.