

Answering reviewers

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 62747

Title: A rare complication of inflammatory bowel disease-like colitis from glycogen storage disease type 1b and its surgical management: A case report and review of literatures

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- **ABSTRACT and INTRODUCTION** – it should read “We herein describe...”, not described.

Response – the corresponding wordings have been changed

- **INTRODUCTION** – “neutrophil dysfunction”, not “neutrophils dysfunction”

Response – the corresponding wordings have been changed

- **CASE PRESENTATION** - Line 3: Please check if the authors really want to say mucopolysaccharidosis? - It would be useful to add a few sentences about what happened during the first 10 years of life, for example 1) whether or not the patient was diagnosed of inflammatory bowel disease and what kind of treatment she received

Response – further details have been added to the case presentation part under past medical history

- 2) whether or not the patient had neutropenia - Para 3: “July 2017” is not helping the audiences to understand how old the patient was at that time. I suggest replacing it with the patient’s age.

Response – Age has been included as recommended

- **DISCUSSION** - para 2, last line: please provide the range of the patient's platelet count.
- para 5: "In practice.....were corrected" is too detailed and could be removed.

Response – the corresponding part has been cut and rephrased.

- Throughout the manuscript - "granulocyte-colony stimulating factor" not "granulocytes-colony stimulating factor" - Please be consistent in using G-CSF, and not GCS-F in some places.

Response – G-CSF has been corrected included

- **Table 2** - Information in Table 2 are in repetition with the discussion. The way Table 2 is presented is below standard as compared to the main text manuscript. There are many typo errors and misplacing of the results and discussion. I personally think that it could be removed, otherwise it needs major correction and shorten some of the redundant information in the **DISCUSSION** section.

Response – Table 2 has been renewed and simplified with salient findings only

Reponses to science editor's comments

- (1) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Response – A separate powerpoints file is attached as suggested with figures included

- (2) I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

throughout;

Response – The reference part has been modified with DOI and PMID included.

(3) I found the "Case Presentation" did not meet our requirements. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

Response – The case presentation part has been rewritten with format suggested.