

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 62925

**Title:** Colorectal cancer screening in the COVID-19 era

**Reviewer's code:** 05562085

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FRCS (Gen Surg)

**Professional title:** Academic Fellow

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Canada

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-01-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-02-17 04:50

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-02-19 05:50

**Review time:** 2 Days and 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Thank you for this important review. The version submitted was a draft, with comments from the senior author embedded in the word document. It is unclear if changes need to be made based on those comments, but certainly a re-submitted version would need those comments removed. Additionally, some of the language seems repetitive and can be minimized. This is a review paper which addresses different components to screening, barriers and potential options for screening. It highlights important issues and makes recommendations. There are no unique findings, but the authors do make some strong suggestions based on available evidence. Style is organized and easy to follow. The figures do not seem appropriate -for example figure 2 is a flow chart- while it is not describing flow or a process, therefore another type of image or table may be more appropriate and understandable for the reader to interpret.