



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 62925

Title: Colorectal cancer screening in the COVID-19 era

Reviewer's code: 05562085

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRCS (Gen Surg)

Professional title: Academic Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Canada

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-21

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-17 04:50

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-19 05:50

Review time: 2 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for this important review. The version submitted was a draft, with comments from the senior author embedded in the word document. It is unclear if changes need to be made based on those comments, but certainly a re-submitted version would need those comments removed. Additionally, some of the language seems repetitive and can be minimized. This is a review paper which addresses different components to screening, barriers and potential options for screening. It highlights important issues and makes recommendations. There are no unique findings, but the authors do make some strong suggestions based on available evidence. Style is organized and easy to follow. The figures do not seem appropriate -for example figure 2 is a flow chart- while it is not describing flow or a process, therefore another type of image or table may be more appropriate and understandable for the reader to interpret.