

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 62981

Title: Gastric schwannoma treated by endoscopic full-thickness resection and endoscopic purse-string suture: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05374991

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BM BCh

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Syria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-22 12:22

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-24 11:30

Review time: 1 Day and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have reported the minimally invasive management of a gastric schwannoma in a 45-year-old female. The tumor was resected endoscopically and the patient was followed-up for an adequate time. The case is interesting and informative as it mentioned explicitly all the diagnostic and therapeutic steps in the management course of the gastric schwannoma. The manuscript is well-written and well-structured. The abstract is brief and concise. The introduction gives a good background about the disease. The case presentation is clear and the authors reported almost all the relevant information concerning the patient's case. The discussion is comprehensive. The authors managed to discuss all the relevant aspects of the gastric schwannoma diagnosis and management. All the ethical considerations are covered. The figures are informative and have good resolution. The written language is very good. I only have minor comments that hopefully could further improve the manuscript. **Comments:** 1. In the case presentation section: could you please explain what caused the patients to undergo an abdominal CT scan? In other words, why did the other hospital requested the CT scan? Are there any complains or comorbidities that coexisted or could be related to the gastric schwannoma? 2. In the case presentation section (page 4, line 30): I suggest writing "the patient had an abdominal CT scan" instead of "she was given an abdominal CT scan". 3. In the case presentation section (page 6, line 25): I suggest writing the patient was followed up for 16 months" instead of "the patient was followed up at 16 mo".

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 62981

Title: Gastric schwannoma treated by endoscopic full-thickness resection and endoscopic purse-string suture: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05374991

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BM BCh

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Syria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-04 19:19

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-06 12:43

Review time: 1 Day and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

The authors have provided a clear explanation of the patient's case and carried out the requested revisions. The figures are very informative and the scheme clarifies the management course in a brief manner. The authors further improved the manuscript's quality. I only have one comment: 1) The first phrase of figure 7 should be revised. I suggest writing: "The patient was diagnosed spontaneously with a gastric mass upon an abdominal CT scan". Otherwise, the manuscript is acceptable for publication in its current form.