

Response to reviewers

Title: Progress in the comprehensive management of chronic viral hepatitis, where we are and which we are missing to achieve WHO's goals by 2030

Number ID	Review Info	Specific comments to authors	Response to reviewers
03261372	<p>Conclusion: Minor revision Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good) Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)</p>	<p>The progress in the comprehensive management of chronic viral hepatitis, where we are and which we are missing to achieve WHO 's goals by 2030 The authors propose an interesting and well written review on a timely topic. The are no major issues to review. Minor comments: -Please consider not to write the exact same sentences in the abstract and in the introduction section: "Viral hepatitis affects more than 320 million people...". The abstract should summarize the review, and the introduction is meant to present the main concepts that the reader should know to understand the review, and the importance of the work they are presenting. As they these sections have different purposes, it is recommended not to include the same sentences.</p> <p>-It would be interesting to add in the Methods section how many manuscripts were found with the search strategy, and how many were selected/included for the narrative review.</p> <p>-Please consider to review the following sentences as they are difficult to understand or have some typos: Page 5: "Although an increase in harm reduction programs ...new infections". Page 7: "After the availability of DAAs HCV as an indicator of liver transplant...survival". It seems like some comas (punctuation marks) are missing. Page 7: Already in 1992, the WHO.." Page 10-11: "According to the World Hepatitis Alliance...the crisis". Please review this long sentence to improve</p>	<p>Thank you very much, we appreciate so much your review.</p> <p>-We made the following corrections to the manuscript: We rewrote the abstract considering your kind suggestions.</p> <p>- Using these terms, we found a total of 13,497 articles, no study design or language restrictions were applied. We focused on full-text articles, but abstracts were considered if relevant, finally we selected the most those with the most relevant content.</p> <p>-We performed a complete review of the grammar and spelling of the manuscript using a professional program provided by our Institution, please find attached the new revised well written English version.</p>

		understanding. Page 12: Conclusions: "If well, notably advances...infections". Please review this long sentence to improve understanding.	
05121553	Conclusion: Accept (General priority) Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good) Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)	Well summarised review	Thank you very much, we appreciate so much your review