



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Reviewer's code: 03722267

This review article is interesting and well written. It is better to present the indication and contraindication criteria of one-session and two-session treatment. • Is one-session treatment contraindicated in patients with severe acute cholangitis? • Is choledochotomy contraindicated in patients with non-dilated common bile duct to avoid postoperative biliary stenosis? • In patients with primary bile duct stones, stone recurrence may be more than that of secondary stones after laparoscopic stone removal in one-session treatment. Is two-session treatment better than one-session treatment for suspected primary stones such as cholesterol stones in preoperative diagnostic modality?

Dear Reviewer, thank you for your comments. We have tried to follow your suggestions bearing in mind that in this manuscript we mainly talk about cholecysto-choledocholithiasis and not primary lithiasis. Our corrections have been written in red.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Reviewer's code: 05397187

This is a very general topic and lots of reviews have been published. The authors may re-focus on recent updates and guideline changes, and provide more information on updates on surgical treatments indications / follow ups in tables.

Dear Reviewer, thank you for your comments. We have tried to follow your suggestions, we have inserted tables, new references, and we have tried to insert recent updates. Our corrections have been written in red.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Reviewer's code: 05710028

This is a review article regarding GB stones and CBD stones. I think it is well-written, however, I have some concern to be revised. Minor issue: 1. Title: The title of this manuscript included only surgical management, however, endoscopic procedures were also included in the main text. Please reconsider your title. 2. Key Words: There are no key words observed. 3. In the section of "RADIOLOGICAL EXAMINATIONS", 4th paragraph, you mentioned "MRC may allow for providing a higher spatial resolution than EUS". Is that true? You mentioned above "This was mainly due to the significantly higher sensitivity of EUS in the identification of small stones. 4. This manuscript should be checked by a native English speaker.

Dear Reviewer, thank you for your comments. We have tried to follow your suggestions, we have revised the title of the manuscript, corrected the inaccuracies you pointed out and the keywords. Our corrections have been written in red.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Reviewer's code: 02938811

the manuscript describes on of the most important problem in surgery. the topic is not new and it did not add something new. It did not have anything new. the limitations that the study is review without adding anything new and also most of the references used are old and I suspected in such review is to include new references with new suggested techniques for diagnoses and management

Dear Reviewer, thank you for your comments. We are sorry for your negative judgment, but you know that the subject is so vast that perhaps only a book could contain all the necessary information. However we have added some recent updates, new references and tried to make the manuscript more interesting. Our corrections have been written in red.