



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Methodology*

**Manuscript NO:** 63376

**Title:** Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 pandemic related morbidity and mortality in patients with pediatric surgical diseases: A concerning challenge

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 05420162

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** China

**Author’s Country/Territory:** Greece

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-02-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-05-09 06:39

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-05-13 08:27

**Review time:** 4 Days and 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I read the manuscript with great interest. In this article, the author comprehensively reviewed the influence of the pandemic on morbidity and mortality in children with surgical diseases. However, there are still some problems that need to be further resolved: 1. Introduction: update data on burden of SARS-CoV-2 worldwide. 2. The format is not uniform, many details need to be improved. For instance, "SARS-CoV-2" and "COVID-19". 3. The manuscript is not well arranged and the logic is not clear. The writer should consider the connection among paragraph in a text to get the paragraph cohesion.



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Methodology*

**Manuscript NO:** 63376

**Title:** Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 pandemic related morbidity and mortality in patients with pediatric surgical diseases: A concerning challenge

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 05848970

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** United States

**Author’s Country/Territory:** Greece

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-02-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-05-08 14:28

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-05-17 02:01

**Review time:** 8 Days and 11 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

COVID-19 pandemic related morbidity and mortality in patients with pediatric surgical diseases: A concerning challenge. I have reviewed the above titled manuscript for possible publication in World Journal of Methodology. Vaos et al review several articles on the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on patients with pediatric surgical diseases. The authors specifically explored public data bases to catalogue different studies associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection and pediatric surgery and morbidity. Vaos et al were able to pinpoint significant influence of the pandemic on morbidity and mortality in children with surgical diseases. This information is useful for the scientific community. This review is interesting because it provides a clue to current challenge in pediatric surgical patients which could guide future disease prevention and treatment. The authors also provide a table that shows the list of different studies from several authors and their conclusion. All references are up to date, the results are clear, and the interpretation is correct and adequate. However, I am worried that so many terms are misused in this review. For example, SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. See the manuscript for my comments. The English expression is also poor throughout the paper and it is difficult to follow at some points. I will recommend that the author should engage a native English for thorough revision of the manuscript.