

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 63444

**Title:** Chronic hepatitis B in pregnant women: Current trends and approaches

**Reviewer's code:** 03538959

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Russia

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-01-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-01-30 15:44

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-02-09 13:30

**Review time:** 9 Days and 21 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Belopolskaya et al summarized the major trends in the management of CHB in pregnant women and provided useful recommendations for clinical practice in this review. The study is convincing and detailed which epitomized a great many of updating relative researches. Although there are some issues needed to be solved, the article should be accepted after the minor revision. Minor concerns: 1. In page 2, the first section was entitled "Screening for HBsAg". This section mainly stating the current limitation and deficiency on just only screening for HBsAg for pregnant women. I think this title was not proper and may cause a logical confusion while reading the subsequent contents. So I suggest for a more concise title such as "Current limitation on screening for HBsAg in pregnant women." 2. In page 3, table 2: what does the numbers with a comma such as "9,4", "9,2", "7,9", "1,09", "0,63", "0,5" in the "HBsAg - positive" column mean? Does that mean "94", "92", "79", "109", "63", "5"? 3. In page 5, table 3: the title "Phase of CHB" was not integrated. This table mainly showed the clinical features in different phases of CHB and its relative vertical transmission risk. So I suggest that a more integrated title should be given to this table. 4. Some citations of reference paper were not standard, such as in page 6, line 17 "For example, in [39]" , page 6, line 19 "In the study reported in [40]". 5. In page 7, line 4: the full name of the abbreviate "GDM" should be given when it first appeared.