

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade A (Excellent)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Excellent and unique area of research. Although, a little more extensive survey could have been better as no amount of survey is ever enough.

[Response: Thank you for your comments. The manuscript has been thoroughly edited as advised.](#)

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** The manuscript on adult pancreatoblastoma is very good. I found very instructional specifically because it is a rare event in adults. The author should expand the discussion on serum alpha fetoprotein (AFP), which can be used to monitor the effectiveness of treatment. It is indeed frequently elevated in children. In contrast, AFP is not consistently elevated in adults. Since AFP can be elevated in HCC of adults. It may be important to study this enzyme in detail and with non-congenital tumors. The Japanese colleagues use also some isoenzymes and it may be important to discuss this aspect as well.

[Response: Thank you for your comments. I have expanded the discussion on AFP and mentioned the diagnostic utility of \*Lens culinaris\* agglutinin - reactive alpha fetoprotein \(AFP-L3\) in hepatocellular carcinoma.](#)

#### 4 LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

[Response: Thank you for your comments. The manuscript has been thoroughly edited as advised.](#)

#### 5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) *Science editor:* 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a minireview of the adult pancreatoblastoma. The topic is within the scope of the WJG. (1) Classification: Grade A and Grade B;

(2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The manuscript on adult pancreatoblastoma is very good and very instructional specifically because it is a rare event in adults. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There is 1 table and 4 figures; (4) References: A total of 34 references are cited, including 5 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is 1 self-cited reference. The self-referencing rates should be less than 10%. Please keep the reasonable self-citations (i.e. those that are most closely related to the topic of the manuscript) and remove all other improper self-citations. If the authors fail to address the critical issue of self-citation, the editing process of this manuscript will be terminated; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to [editorialoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:editorialoffice@wjgnet.com). The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A and Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJG. 5 Issues raised: (1) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (3) If an author of a submission is re-using a figure or figures published elsewhere, or that is copyrighted, the author must provide documentation that the previous publisher or copyright holder has given permission for the figure to be re-published; and correctly indicating the reference source and copyrights. For example, "Figure 1 Histopathological examination by hematoxylin-eosin staining (200 ×). A: Control group; B: Model group; C: Pioglitazone hydrochloride group; D: Chinese herbal medicine group. Citation: Yang JM, Sun Y, Wang M, Zhang XL, Zhang SJ, Gao YS, Chen L, Wu MY, Zhou L, Zhou YM, Wang Y, Zheng FJ, Li YH. Regulatory effect of a Chinese herbal medicine formula on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol 2019; 25(34): 5105-5119. Copyright ©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc[6]". And please cite the reference source in the references list. If the author fails to properly cite the published or copyrighted picture(s) or table(s) as described above, he/she will be subject to withdrawal of the article from BPG publications and may even be held liable. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Response: Thank you for your comments. The author contributions section has been added. All figures provided are original pictures. They have not been published elsewhere. The pictures have been prepared using PowerPoint.

**(2) Editorial office director:**

**(3) Company editor-in-chief:** I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the

World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ..." .

Response: Thank you for your comments. The figures have been labelled as advised.