

Dear Editor,

We wish to thank the Reviewers and the Editors who made an effort to improve the quality of the manuscript entitled „Low grade chondrosarcoma of larynx- a case report“ (Manuscript NO.: 63795, Case Report) with their useful suggestions.

Sincerely,

Aleksandra Klisic, MD, PhD

Primary Health Care Center University of Montenegro-Faculty of Medicine, Podgorica, Montenegro

Address: Trg Nikole Kovacevica 6, 81000 Podgorica, Montenegro

Phone and Fax: +382 20 481 999

E-mail: aleksandraklisic@gmail.com

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This manuscript reported a rare disease. Chondrosarcoma is not uncommon clinically, but primary laryngeal chondrosarcoma is rare. The case report in this paper is detailed and accurate which has a certain guiding significance for clinical practice. It may be more convincing if it can be accompanied by preoperative and postoperative endoscopic photos.

Answer: We wish to thank the Reviewer for this comment. The initiative for the publication of this paper was taken by a pathologist who participated in the diagnosis of chondrosarcoma in the presented patient. Since the otolaryngologist did not consider the importance of publishing the case at the time of preoperative preparation, he did not take preoperative endoscopic photographs. Since the patient comes for periodic check-ups, where there are no signs of local recurrence, we thought that postoperative endoscopic imaging would not be illustrative.

LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

Answer: We wish to thank Reviewer for this observation. Indeed, a native-English speaker has edited the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so we hope that the manuscript's language will meet your direct publishing needs.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the low grade chondrosarcoma of larynx. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This manuscript reported a rare disease. Chondrosarcoma is not uncommon clinically, but primary laryngeal chondrosarcoma is rare. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There are 2 figures; (4) References: A total of 11 references are cited, including 3 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (2) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Answer: We wish to thank Editor for these comments. In line with that we have prepared and arranged the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. Indeed, those figures are original and in case you need TIF or JPG format, we will send to you. We have also provided PMID and DOI numbers that were missing in the reference list. We have listed all authors of the references.

(2) Editorial office director:

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing

requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

Answer: We wish to thank Editor for reviewing the manuscript.