

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**Manuscript NO:** 63834

**Title:** Is Branched-Chain Amino Acid Nutritional Supplementation Beneficial or Detrimental in Heart Failure?

**Reviewer's code:** 03846820

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACC, MD

**Professional title:** Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Netherlands

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-02-05

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-02-10 05:33

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-02-10 05:48

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear author, The paper represents mini-review which is answering the question is branched-chain amino acid (BCAA) nutritional supplementation beneficial or detrimental in heart failure. The article is written with the good English-speaking adduction of the arguments. The article is sufficiently novel and very interesting to warrant publication. All the key elements are presented and described clearly. The most discussable options in the article are: 1) Would you please kindly correct all your typos and grammar errors throughout the manuscript. 2) Please provide some statistics for phenomenon of sarcopenia in heart failure. Maybe any value for clinical outcomes (with numbers, please)?! 3) Please, elaborate on the style of your data representation. If you mention any fact from the clinical trials, there must be some numbers/ per cent of the prevalence or outcome. 4) Your figure is great, but can you draw a table just to collect all the studies in the field to one place?

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**Manuscript NO:** 63834

**Title:** Is Branched-Chain Amino Acid Nutritional Supplementation Beneficial or Detrimental in Heart Failure?

**Reviewer's code:** 03846820

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACC, MD

**Professional title:** Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Netherlands

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-02-05

**Reviewer chosen by:** Man Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-15 17:16

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-03-15 17:23

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, The paper was properly optimized.