

Thank you for your comments. We have revised our manuscript in accordance with your suggestions.

3. SCIENTIFIC QUALITY

Comment from Reviewer 1: They should only discuss the mechanism of absorption of the new formula since it was also administered via the enteral feeding tube.

Response: Thank you for your positive feedback and insightful suggestions. We have added the following sentences to address your comments. Kindly refer page 8, first paragraph.

“As the new formula was also given via PEG-J, copper seems to be absorbed only via the upper jejunum and below, but not via the stomach and the duodenum. Thus, a copper intake higher than the dietary reference intake of copper is required for prevention of copper deficiency, as shown in our patient.”

ABBREVIATIONS

- (1) Kindly note that we have ensured that there are no abbreviations in the title.
- (2) Running title: Kindly note that we have included a running title that meets the word limit.
- (3) Abstract, (4) Key words, (5) Core tip, (6) Mail text, (8) Figures, and (9) Table: All guidelines referring to the usage of abbreviations in these sections have been adhered to.

6. Editorial Office's comments.

1 Scientific Quality:

(2) Comment: they should only discuss the mechanism of absorption of the new formula since it was also administered via the enteral feeding tube.

Response: Thank you for your insightful suggestion. We have added the following

sentences to address your comments. Kindly refer page 8, first paragraph.

“As the new formula was also given via PEG-J, copper seems to be absorbed only via the upper jejunum and below, but not via the stomach and the duodenum. Thus, a copper intake higher than the dietary reference intake of copper is required for prevention of copper deficiency, as shown in our patient.”

(5) Self-cited reference:

Response: Please note that there is only one self-cited reference, and we have ensured that the rate of self-citation is less than 10%, as mandated.

(6) References recommendations.

Response: No improper references were recommended by the peer reviewers.

3. Academic norms and rules:

Response: Thank you for flagging this with us. We have provided the CARE checklist and the signed informed consent form, signed COI Disclosure Form, and Copyright Licence Agreement.

4. Supplementary comments:

Response: The absence of financial support has been stated on the title page.

5. Issues raised.

(1) The “Author Contributions” section is missing. Please provide the author contributions

Response: Thank you for flagging this with us. We have now described the author contributions. Kindly see page 2.

(2) The “Case Presentation” section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation.

Response: The manuscript has been revised to correct the “Case Presentation” section, and all necessary information has been provided.

(3) “Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the

same or similar contents; for example, “Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...”

Response: Kindly note that the manuscript has been checked to ensure uniform presentation of the figures and other elements throughout.