

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 64235

Title: The Effect of Pancreatic Endotherapy on Quality of Life in Chronic Pancreatitis Patients: A Systematic Review

Reviewer's code: 03699975

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-12

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-04 14:26

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-09 15:55

Review time: 5 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have done a noteworthy and laudable effort to address the effect of different pancreatic endotherapy modalities on quality of life in patients suffering chronic pancreatitis. There are few studies in the literature that have focused on the quality of life after pancreatic endotherapy. This is a well-designed and presented study, fulfilling mostly the requirements of reporting a review, according to the PRISMA statement. Two minor issues need to be addressed: 1. In Introduction, on page 3 in the last paragraph “Despite these advances in PET, published studies have largely focused on technical success outcomes such as stricture resolution or stone clearance. Furthermore, the few randomized studies have centered on pain improvement as the primary outcome, which while important, does not capture the holistic impact of PET on patients” references need to be added. 2. In Results, on first line of page 6 the abbreviations for “PAN26” are not given.