

The authors have done a noteworthy and laudable effort to address the effect of different pancreatic endotherapy modalities on quality of life in patients suffering chronic pancreatitis. There are few studies in the literature that have focused on the quality of life after pancreatic endotherapy. This is a well-designed and presented study, fulfilling mostly the requirements of reporting a review, according to the PRISMA statement.

Two minor issues need to be addressed:

1. In Introduction, on page 3 in the last paragraph “*Despite these advances in PET, published studies have largely focused on technical success outcomes such as stricture resolution or stone clearance. Furthermore, the few randomized studies have centered on pain improvement as the primary outcome, which while important, does not capture the holistic impact of PET on patients*” references need to be added.

**We thank the reviewer for noting this. We have added the references as suggested.**

2. In Results, on first line of page 6 the abbreviations for “*PAN26*” are not given.

**We thank the reviewer for bringing this to our attention. We have now spelled out the abbreviation.**