



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 64443

**Title:** Update on the management of the gastrointestinal effects of radiation

**Reviewer's code:** 02543955

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FEBS, MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Senior Researcher, Surgical Oncologist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Germany

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-02-18

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-02-22 17:16

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-02-22 18:59

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In general, the review gives an interesting overview about a significant clinical problem. However, some points might be addressed: 1. The manuscript need significant editing of language quality. In fact, the meaning of some sentences is not clear and a number of grammatical mistakes should be corrected. 2. What is figure 1? There is no figure included but a table. 3. The authors state that radiation proctopathy can be “observed following therapy for a range of primary sites including prostate, cervix, urinary bladder, testes and uterus.” What about the use in anal or rectal cancer? 4. A figure or maybe a table that summarizes the treatment modalities for certain complication in each part of the GI tract might improve the value, clarity and information content of the review.