

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 64640

**Title:** Combating sarcopenia with prehabilitation, a paradigm shift – a multimodal review of clinical outcome, scientific data and resource management

**Reviewer's code:** 05038630

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Slovenia

**Author's Country/Territory:** Singapore

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-02-22

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-02-22 17:35

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-02-28 15:39

**Review time:** 5 Days and 22 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a well written manuscript that focuses on a very important topic needed. A lot of studies have been reviewed, and also a lot of published works referenced (170). Language and the grammar are accurate and appropriate. However, due to the extensive nature of the manuscript, the key findings are not readily apparent and the key points are not concisely highlighted. Thus in my opinion the relevance to clinical practice, which exists, is not sufficiently shown or is rather hidden due to extensive nature of the manuscript. I strongly suggest rewriting mostly the introduction part of the paper, omitting mainly a lot of pathophysiology concepts/explanations etc, that, I believe, are not the focus of this study. Authors should rather focus on the topic, that is promised in the title and try to be as concise, brief as possible.