

8 May 2021

Dear Editorial Board,

Re: Revision of Submission – “Combating sarcopenia with prehabilitation, a paradigm shift – a multimodal review of clinical outcome, scientific data and resource management”

On behalf of the authors, I would like to re-submit the enclosed manuscript for your consideration for publication. We have addressed the points raised by the peer reviewer, science editor and company editor-in-chief. The following are the point-by-point responses to their recommendations:

Peer Reviewer:

1. “I strongly suggest rewriting mostly the introduction part of the paper, omitting mainly a lot of pathophysiology concepts/explanations etc, that, I believe, are not the focus of this study.”
 - a. We have shortened the introduction to make it more succinct, focusing on the topic as suggested by the title and aims.

Science Editor:

1. “The “Author Contributions” section is missing.”
 - a. We have added this section to the manuscript and in the submission portal.
2. “PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references.”

- a. We have since added in the PMID and DOI numbers to all references
 - b. All authors were also added into the references
- 3. Please add table/figure to this review.
 - a. After review by our authors, we deem that there is no appropriate table or figure that is necessary or can illustrate the points being raised in the manuscript more concisely than what is already presented.

Company Editor-in-Chief:

- 1. The title of the manuscript is too long and must be shortened to meet the requirement of the journal
 - a. We have revised the title to keep within the limit of 18 words.

We hope that all points have been addressed and the manuscript is more impactful than what it was previously. We would also like to thank all reviewers and the editorial board for your recommendation and consideration of this manuscript.

Thank you for considering this manuscript and we look forward to your favourable reply

Yours Truly,

Frederick H Koh