



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 64669

Title: Blue LED as a new treatment to vaginal stenosis due pelvic radiotherapy: Two case reports

Reviewer’s code: 05223442

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FICS, MD, MSc

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Lecturer, Senior Researcher, Surgeon

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Liberia

Author’s Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-24 18:22

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-03 00:52

Review time: 6 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors mentioned improvement in all areas of the examiner's criteria. However, the manuscript should be clear about which parameters improved. Where there significant improvement in function after therapy comparing both patients? Are these changes clinically significant? Please base your discussion around these points above.