

ANSWER TO REVIEWER 1:

1. In key words, "prognosis" should be deleted:
We replaced the keyword "prognosis" with "growth".
2. In core tip, line 42, "pancreatic cancer" did not apply to this article:
We replaced "pancreatic cancer" with "pancreatic neoplasms"
3. The authors noted that PPPD might worsen the pancreatic function. Did the "PD" in Table 2 and 4 include PPPD?
Almost all of our patients underwent PPPD surgery (7/8 PD), we added this data in Table 2. While in the studies selected for the review it wasn't possible to find this data in all of the articles.
4. Vitamin D was an important result in this article, should be added in Table 2?
We add this data Table 2, but in the main text we have underlined how vitamin D deficiency is present in a high percentage even in the general healthy population, therefore we cannot assert with certainty that it is related to pancreatic resection.

SCIENCE EDITOR:

1. Academic norms and rules: The authors didn't provide the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the Institutional Review Board Approval Form, and the written informed consent:

We provide the Biostatistics Review Certificate.

Regarding Review Board Approval Form and the written informed consent our Hospital is an Institute authorized by the Ministry of Health care for research and clinical study. Therefore to enroll patients in clinical studies all the parents or tutors give their consent by signature of a specific document when the children are admitted to our Hospital. Therefore we have the consent in line with the indications of our Ethical Committee when the patients are admitted to the hospital. Anyway we have submitted the study to our ethics committee and we are awaiting approval.

2. The "Author Contributions" section is missing.

We added this section.

3. The authors did not provide original pictures.

We provided original pictures and tables

4. PMID numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references.

We modified the references list as requested

5. The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text.

We added the “Article Highlights” section in the text