

Answering Reviewers

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for submitting this valuable case report. The results look true and authentic. The hypothesis is validated. Place the corresponding letter (a, b, c, etc.) directly within each panel of the figures. Need to define this abbreviation ^{99m}Tc . Added information in tables or images are very helpful and necessary for better understanding. The bibliography is chosen and presented correctly. The language in this article is correct and needs some proofreading. This case report represents an effective and very beneficial treatment option for extra-hepatic bile duct injury

Dear Reviewers:

Thank you for your review of my manuscript and suggestions for revision. Your comments are correct and valuable, and I have revised part of the manuscript in view of these comments. Now I would like to elaborate on your opinions:

(1) You mentioned that the schematics of the manuscript need to be edited and added with appropriate text description. It has been revised.

(2) You mentioned that ^{99m}Tc , an imaging technology containing technetium-99 radioisotope labeling, should be appropriately annotated. It has been widely used in clinical imaging diagnosis and has been added to the paper.

(3) The manuscript has been polished in language. If there is any deficiency, please point out so that I can revise it again.

Thanks to the editors and reviewers for their valuable comments.

Best wishes

Jian Zhao

04.21.2021