World Journal of *Clinical Oncology*

World J Clin Oncol 2021 June 24; 12(6): 393-506





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJC0

World Journal of **Clinical Oncology**

Contents

Monthly Volume 12 Number 6 June 24, 2021

OPINION REVIEW

Health Council of the Netherlands and evaluation of the fifth generation, 5G, for wireless communication 393 and cancer risks

Hardell L

REVIEW

404 Breast cancer: Muscarinic receptors as new targets for tumor therapy

Español A, Salem A, Sanchez Y, Sales ME

MINIREVIEWS

Review of 10 years of research on breast cancer patients: Focus on indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 429 Asghar K, Farooq A, Zulfiqar B, Loya A

437 Imaging diagnosis of bronchogenic carcinoma (the forgotten disease) during times of COVID-19 pandemic: Current and future perspectives

Reddy R

458 Chemotherapy-induced neurotoxicity in the treatment of gynecological cancers: State of art and an innovative approach for prevention

Oneda E, Abeni C, Zanotti L, Zaina E, Bighè S, Zaniboni A

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical and Translational Research

Impact of community-based exercise program participation on aerobic capacity in women with and 468 without breast cancer

Lee JT, Wagoner CW, Sullivan SA, Amatuli DJ, Nyrop KA, Hanson ED, Stoner L, Jensen BC, Muss HB, Battaglini CL

META-ANALYSIS

482 Phytochemically rich dietary components and the risk of colorectal cancer: A systematic review and metaanalysis of observational studies

Borgas P, Gonzalez G, Veselkov K, Mirnezami R

CASE REPORT

500 Long-term complete response in metastatic poorly-differentiated neuroendocrine rectal carcinoma with a multimodal approach: A case report

Della Torre S, de Nucci G, Lombardi PM, Grandi S, Manes G, Bollina R



Contents

Monthly Volume 12 Number 6 June 24, 2021

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Clinical Oncology, Ozkan Kanat, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Medical Oncology, Acıbadem Bursa Hospital, Fatih Sultan Mehmet Street, Bursa 16059, Turkey. ozkanat@uludag.edu.tr

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Oncology (WJCO, World J Clin Oncol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of oncology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of oncology and covering a wide range of topics including art of oncology, biology of neoplasia, breast cancer, cancer prevention and control, cancer-related complications, diagnosis in oncology, gastrointestinal cancer, genetic testing for cancer, gynecologic cancer, head and neck cancer, hematologic malignancy, lung cancer, melanoma, molecular oncology, neurooncology, palliative and supportive care, pediatric oncology, surgical oncology, translational oncology, and urologic oncology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Science and Technology Journal Database (CSTJ), and Superstar Journals Database.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Ying-Yi Yuan; Production Department Director: Yu-Jie Ma; Editorial Office Director: Ze-Man Gong,

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Clinical Oncology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2218-4333 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
November 10, 2010	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Hiten RH Patel, Stephen Safe	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
June 24, 2021	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



Π

WJC0

World Journal of **Clinical Oncology**

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Oncol 2021 June 24; 12(6): 458-467

DOI: 10.5306/wjco.v12.i6.458

ISSN 2218-4333 (online)

MINIREVIEWS

Chemotherapy-induced neurotoxicity in the treatment of gynecological cancers: State of art and an innovative approach for prevention

Ester Oneda, Chiara Abeni, Laura Zanotti, Elisabetta Zaina, Sara Bighè, Alberto Zaniboni

ORCID number: Ester Oneda 0000-0002-7861-7882; Chiara Abeni 0000-0002-4912-8457; Laura Zanotti 0000-0003-1326-5676; Elisabetta Zaina 0000-0002-9767-1788; Sara Bighè 0000-0003-1727-1141; Alberto Zaniboni 0000-0002-2140-7040.

Author contributions: Oneda E wrote the paper; Abeni C, Zanina E and Bighè S collected data; Zanotti L analyzed the data; Zaniboni A revised the paper.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Authors have no conflict of interest

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Ester Oneda, Chiara Abeni, Laura Zanotti, Elisabetta Zaina, Sara Bighè, Alberto Zaniboni, Department of Clinical Oncology, Fondazione Poliambulanza, Brescia 25124, Italy

Corresponding author: Ester Oneda, MD, Doctor, Department of Clinical Oncology, Fondazione Poliambulanza, Via Bissolati 57, Brescia 25124, Italy. dott.ester.oneda@gmail.com

Abstract

Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) is a common side effect that occurs in 20% of ovarian cancer patients treated with the combination of carboplatin/paclitaxel (CP). This toxicity is directly correlated with the dose of paclitaxel administered. Several studies have investigated whether different formulations of taxane can induce this side effect at a lower rate, but, unfortunately, no significant improvement was obtained. CIPN can be disabling in the daily lives of patients and can cause dose reduction or early termination of the treatment. Neuropathy can last for months and even years after its onset. Moreover, patients responsive to CP treatment are candidates for a reintroduction of the same drugs when disease relapse occurs, and residual neuropathy can affect the continuation of treatment. There are no approved drugs that mitigate or prevent the onset of CIPN. In this review, we summarize the evidence regarding the incidence of CIPN with different taxane formulations, regimen schedules and prevention systems. In particular, the Hilotherm® Chemo care device is a regional cooling system that lowers the temperature of the hands and feet to reduce the flow of chemotherapy into the capillaries. We used hilotherapy during chemotherapy infusion to prevent the onset of CIPN. Updated data from 44 ovarian cancer patients treated with 6 cycle of CP show that hilotherapy was well tolerated; only two patients (4.5%) stopped hilotherapy because of cold intolerance, and only one patient (2.2%) experienced grade \geq 2 CIPN.

Key Words: Peripheral neuropathy; Ovarian cancer; Paclitaxel; Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy prevention; Hilotherapy

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.



WJCO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Specialty type: Oncology

Country/Territory of origin: Italy

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: February 26, 2021 Peer-review started: February 26, 2021 First decision: April 27, 2021 Revised: April 29, 2021 Accepted: June 4, 2021 Article in press: June 4, 2021 Published online: June 24, 2021

P-Reviewer: Wu X, Zheng Z S-Editor: Gao CC L-Editor: A P-Editor: Yuan YY



Core Tip: The association of carboplatin and paclitaxel is the standard chemotherapy regimen for ovarian cancer women. One of the most common and disabling side effect of this treatment is the peripheral neuropathy caused by paclitaxel, it can occur in 20% of patients and can last months and even years after the end of chemotherapy. In this review we collected the evidence from several studies with different schedules or formulation of paclitaxel and the systems of prevention and propose a mechanical way of prevention adopted in our center through the use of the cooling system of the hilotherm device.

Citation: Oneda E, Abeni C, Zanotti L, Zaina E, Bighè S, Zaniboni A. Chemotherapy-induced neurotoxicity in the treatment of gynecological cancers: State of art and an innovative approach for prevention. World J Clin Oncol 2021; 12(6): 458-467

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/full/v12/i6/458.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v12.i6.458

INTRODUCTION

Carboplatin/paclitaxel (CP) is the chemotherapy of choice for ovarian cancer, in neo/adjuvant, first-line and in platinum-sensitive recurrence, and moreover, in advance stage a reintroduction of paclitaxel (PTX) monotherapy can be considered[1]. A significant proportion of recurring patients, with a platinum-free interval longer than 6-12 mo, are classified as platinum-sensitive and are candidates for retreatment with the same drugs used in first-line therapy^[2]. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) is one of the most life altering chemotherapy side effects [3,4] and it is frequently related to taxane treatment. The prevalence of CIPN ranges from 11% to over 87%^[5]. In an online survey, 78% of 1360 women with ovarian cancer experienced CIPN 2.3 years after completing chemotherapy[6]. CIPN leads to peripheral nerve dysfunction or damage of varying intensity, duration and permanence. Symptoms range from acute transient paraesthesia or chronic pain to permanent peripheral nerve damage[4]. CIPN can occurs days after chemotherapy and gradually progresses to cumulative doses. Symptoms typically present as a "glove and stocking" neuropathy, with tingling, loss of dexterity in the fingers, hypoesthesia of the feet, and paraesthesia in the limbs. In addition, skin burns, electric shocks, as well as altered perception of pain to touch or heat may be felt[7-10]. In the worst case, sensory perception can be completely lost. CIPN can also manifest with motor symptoms with distal weakness, gait and balance disturbances, and impaired movements. Daily physical functioning can be impaired such as firmly grasping objects, unfastening jacket buttons, climbing steps or driving, it affects patient's the quality of life (QoL)[11,12]. Health-related QoL can be impaired[6,11,13] whit psychological consequences[14] such as major depression[15].

Due to this adverse event, treatment must unfortunately be interrupted or continued at a reduced dose, limiting the effectiveness of chemotherapy. To date, none of the numerous drugs tested to prevent or relieve the symptoms of CIPN has obtained evidence of efficacy. Antidepressants (such as nortriptyline), duloxetine, gabapentin, baclofen-containing gel, amitriptyline, ketamine, lidocaine, tramadol, tapentadol, buprenorphine, and lithium were ineffective[16-25]. These agents have a record of efficacy for other common neuropathic pain conditions, but CIPN has a different pathological origin. The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) does not recommend any agent for the prevention of CIPN[16]. A number of nonpharmacologic interventions, such as acupuncture, limb hypothermia, surgical glove (SG) compression and electrocutaneous nerve stimulation, have also been investigated. However, the paucity of randomized controlled trial evidence prohibited the inclusion of those studies in this systematic review^[16].

Reducing the penetration of chemotherapeutic agents into the capillaries of hands and feet and lowering the temperature of the patient's extremities can be a way to slow blood circulation and cell metabolism in order to prevent the onset of CIPN. In fact, the tissue metabolic rate can be reduced by 50% dropping the tissue temperature by up to 10 °C. Hilotherapy is an effective method to constantly cool the temperature of the hands and feet during treatment and prevents the development of CIPN and polyneuropathy, giving patients a better QoL. In this review, we will discuss the



incidence of CIPN in gynecologic cancer patients, the clinical features related to the treatment and the way to minimize or to prevent its onset.

TAXANE-RELATED CIPN

Taxane-induced CIPN is predominantly sensory (SPN) and rarely presents with motor and autonomic changes[4]. Symptoms may arise early and even after the first administration, they are dose dependent, but tend to improve after discontinuation of treatment. However, the onset and severity are highly variable from individual to individual; no anticipatory signal can suggest a dose reduction, and there are no indications of a de-escalation of therapy to mitigate the symptoms once they arise. Furthermore, CIPN even after the end of chemotherapy can persist for months or years afterwards and in rare cases be permanent[9]. The only certain risk factor is the dose administered, with increases in risk proportional to the cumulative dose[4]. Pignata et al[26] found that approximately three fourths of patients treated with CP experienced peripheral neuropathy, and 7% had ≥ grade 3 CIPN. Residual neurotoxicity occurs frequently in patients after completion of CP, with a long-lasting pattern of recovery and 14% of patients still suffer residual neuropathy 1 year after treatment^[27]. Such toxicity still persists in a significant proportion of patients who experience relapse and therefore, affects second-line treatment choice.

The Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG) reported that approximately 20% of ovarian cancer, patients treated with the combination of carboplatin and PTX at 175 mg/mq every 3 wk in first-line therapy experienced grade 2 or higher neuropathy, and this percentage was increased among older patients^[4]. Considering a similar total dose, the MITO-7 study showed that in patients with advanced ovarian cancer the regimen with carboplatin area under the curve (AUC) 2 mg/mL per min plus weekly PTX at 60 mg/mq was less neurotoxic than carboplatin AUC 6 mg/mL per min plus PTX at 175 mg/mq every 3 wk (grade 2 or higher neuropathy of 6% vs 17%, respectively). However, despite the better toxicity profile and the incremented QoL, the weekly regimen did not prolong progression free-survival (PFS)[28]. The Japanese Gynecologic Oncology Group (JGOG) randomized patients to receive carboplatin AUC 6 mg/mL per min every 3 wk plus dose dense PTX at 80 mg/mq (on days 1, 8, 15) or plus PTX at 180 mg/mq every 3 wk. The study showed that the 7% of patients treated with the dose-dense scheme had grade 3 or higher SPN and 5% had grade 3 or higher motor peripheral neuropathy (MPN), while 6% of patients treated with the standard regimen reported grade 3 or higher SPN and 4% grade 3 or higher MPN[29]. Despite a better median PFS benefit of 28.0 mo vs 17.2 mo and an overall survival benefit at 3 years of 72.1% vs 65.1% in the dose-dense treatment group compared to the conventional treatment group, more patients in the dose-dense group discontinued the treatment due to hematologic toxicity[29]. In contrast, in the JGOG-3016[30] and GOG-0262[31] studies, patients in groups 2 and 3 of ICON8[32] received up to 80 mg/mq of PTX per week and showed worse SPN in the weekly treatment group than in the every 3-wk treatment group. These findings suggest that SNP could be caused by cumulative PTX exposure rather than its dosing intensity[32]. The Scottish Ginecological Cancer Trials Group suggested that docetaxel could be less neurotoxic than PTX, and they reported a rate of 11% grade 2 or higher SPN with carboplatin AUC 5 mg/mL per min plus docetaxel 75 mg/mq vs a rate of 30% with carboplatin AUC 5 mg/mL plus PTX 175 mg/mq every three weeks in first-line ovarian cancer; however, this research did not demonstrate any survival benefit[33]. Unfortunately, platinum-sensitive patients who relapse are candidates for retreatment with CP, so residual peripheral neuropathy can be a limiting factor. However, studies that have evaluated the incidence of neuropathy in this setting report a 20% incidence of CIPN > 2 grade[2,34]. This proportion is lower than expected, which is a bias that is due to poor recruitment of patients with residual CIPN[2].

Symptom improvement or resolution after 3-6 mo at the end of standard treatment can be achieved by most of patient; however, in some cases, symptoms can last years, with a probability of 15% of patients still having neuropathy 6 mo after completion of chemotherapy and an 11% probability of still experiencing neuropathy at 2 years after completion of chemotherapy^[4]. In the secondary analyses of the ROGY care trial among ovarian cancer patients, the course of SPN among chemotherapy-treated patients remained stable over the course of 2 years, while MPN symptoms declined at 12 mo. Furthermore, at 2 years, 13% still reported high levels of SPN[3]. Similar findings were seen in the previous PROFILE study with a prevalence of 6%-9% of SPN and 14% of MPN at 2-12 years after treatment, and a decreased in CIPN symptoms three years after the end of treatment^[6] (Table 1).

MECHANICAL SYSTEMS OF CIPN PREVENTION

Many studies have investigated the potential use of non-invasive approaches to prevent CIPN. The different mechanical systems tested include: acupuncture, scrambler therapy, hypothermia and compression therapy which aim to reduce damage to the peripheral nerves of the extremities. Acupuncture technique showed grade 3 symptom regression or stability in patients experiencing grade > 2 CIPN with weekly PTX for breast cancer[35]. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (scrambler therapy) was observed to relieve CIPN symptoms in several trials[36-41] (53% reduction in pain, 44% reduction in tingling and 37% reduction in numbness [37]), and these effects were maintained for 3 mo of follow-up[40]. The use of SG compression therapy was investigated by Tsuyuki et al[42] the reduction of microvascular flow to the hands during PTX administration allows to reduce the incidence of grade 2 or higher CIPN. The incidence of grade 2 or higher sensory CIPN, according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), with SG compression therapy was low at 13.8% vs the incidence in the historical control group (44.1%)[42].

Cold temperature is known to reduce tissue microvascular perfusion, "therapeutic regional hypothermia" or "cryotherapy" using different limb cooling modalities (e.g., direct application of ice or frozen gloves) and has been use in attempt to prevent chemotherapy-induced nail and skin toxicity, but the mechanism of the large cooling gradients of these modalities permits only intermittent coolant application and are limited by substantial intolerance and sometimes frostbite[43,44]. Rosenbaek et al[45] demonstrated that cryotherapy associated with PTX treatment in the adjuvant treatment of breast cancer reduces the risk of dose-limiting CIPN, and increases the number of patients receiving the full chemotherapy dose (77% of patients). However, the comparison study between cryotherapy and preventive compression therapy during treatment with nab-PTX showed no benefit for either system^[46]. A recent randomized controlled trial that investigated the effect of cryotherapy administered on all four limbs during PTX treatment among early and locally advanced breast cancer patients did not decrease the incidence and severity of sensory symptoms [47]. This study was unable to conclude that cryotherapy prevents sensory neuropathy probably because of the high rates of cryotherapy interruption[47].

HILOTHERAPY A NEW PREVENTIVE APPROACH

The Hilotherm[®] Chemo care device is a regional cooling system that uses cuffs and piping for the hands and feet through which the coolant flows at a temperature of 10 °C. The insulators were fitted on both the hands and feet for 30 min before the start to 60 min after chemotherapy administration. A recent study in Germany evaluated the use of this device in 20 cancer patients treated with taxane-based chemotherapy as a preventative method. No patients developed grade 2 or 3 symptoms of CIPN. Four weeks after their chemotherapy ended, 70% of patients who used hilotherapy had no symptoms at all. The other 30% of patients were found to have grade 1 symptoms. Although cooling from the start of treatment achieved the best outcome, the group of patients (n = 36) who started hilotherapy when CIPN symptoms occurred showed a reduction in severe symptoms of CIPN. The use of hilotherapy was found to be comfortable and safe[48].

OUR PERSONAL EXPERIENCE

In the published small retrospective trial from our center we enrolled 64 patients with breast, gynecologic and pancreatic cancer to wear Hilotherm cuffs and piping on their hands and feet. Fifty-four (84%) of these patients completed all cooling cycles during their taxane-based chemotherapy. Continuous cooling was well tolerated by all patients. No patients had grade > 2 CIPN or had serious or lasting side effects as a result of hilotherapy. The median time to CIPN onset was 77 d for the entire population[49].

The updated data in the subgroup of patients with gynecological cancer treated with the combination of carboplatin AUC 5 mg/mL per min plus PTX at 175 mg/mq



Oneda E et al. CIPN prevention in gynecological cancer

Table 1 Incidence of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy						
Trail	Indication	No. of patients	Treatment	Grade 1 (%)	Grade 2 (%)	Grade 3 (%)
AGO OVAR 3[35]	FIGO IIB-IV fist line	388	СР	39	28	6.7
		384	PP	33	36	12.5
JGOG[<mark>29</mark>]	FIGO II-IV fist line	319	СР			20 (6)
		312	C-P			21 (7)
MITO 4[27]	FIGO IC-IV fist line	120	СР	51 (42)	13 (11)	1 (1)
MITO 2[<mark>26</mark>]	FIGO IC-IV fist line	407	СР	115 (28)	64 (16)	12 (3)
		396	C-PLD	47 (12)	11 (3)	1 (< 1)
MITO 7[<mark>28</mark>]	FIGO IC-IV fist line	404	СР	95 (24)	58 (15)	8 (2)
		406	CP weekly	98 (25)	24 (6)	0
ICON 4[2]	Platinum-sensitive relapse > 6 mo	410	P-based		4 (1)	
		392	CP/PP		76 (20)	

CP: Carboplatin area under the curve (AUC) 5/6 mg/mL per min plus paclitaxel 175-185 mg/mq every 3 wk; CP weekly: Carboplatin AUC 2 mg/mL per min plus paclitaxel 60 mg/mq every week; C-P: Carboplatin AUC 6 mg/mL per min every 3 wk plus paclitaxel 80 mg/mq on days 1, 8, 15, and every 21 d; C-PLD: Carboplatin AUC 5 mg/mL per minute plus pegylated liposomial doxorubicin 30 mg/mq every 3 wk; P-based: Cisplatin (50 mg/mq in combination with other drugs or 75 mg/mq as a single agent)/carboplatin AUC 5/6 mg/mL every 3 wk; PP: Cisplatin 75 mg/mq plus paclitaxel 175 mg/mg every 3 wk.

> every 3 wk show that 35 of 44 patients (79.5%) completed all the planned cycles of chemotherapy with the cooling system. The severity of PN was evaluated by a nurse using the CTCAE (2017). Statistical analyses were performed using STATA 13.0 (StataCorp LP, Collage Station, TX, United States). Only 1 patient (2.2%) had grade ≥ 2 CIPN after 4 cycles of CP and completed the last cycle with carboplatin monotherapy; 2 patients (4.5%) stopped hilotherapy due to excessive coldness; 3 patients (6.8%) stopped treatment due to disease progression and 2 patients (4.5%) stopped the treatment due to PTX allergic reaction; 3 patients (6.8%) had PTX reduction/interruption that was due to PTX hematologic toxicity and completed the cycles with carboplatin monotherapy and 3 patients (6.8%) concluded the treatment after 3/4cycles due to hospitalization for planned surgery. Follow-up data are premature at the time of writing this review. Tables 2-4 show patient characteristics and results.

DISCUSSION

Neuropathy is an important, dose-limiting, painful, and often irreversible toxicity associated with taxane therapy. Approximately 20% of women treated for ovarian cancer show CIPN, and this toxicity impairs their QoL and can persist for months or even years after the end of treatment. Instead, for recurrent disease the established treatment is a reintroduction of the first-line scheme in sensitive patients, with a with a higher probability of developing toxicity or worsening of pre-existing toxicity with a consequent limitation of the duration of treatment. The only prophylactic therapy for CIPN suggested by the guidelines of the ASCO 2014[16] is duloxetine, but it has limited efficacy in chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain and has no benefit on paraesthesia and functional disability. Recently, ganglioside monosialic acid has shown promising effects on the prevention of neuropathic symptoms with a lower incidence of grade> 1 on the CTCAE v4.0 scale (14.3% vs 100.0%, P < 0.001) both sensory (26.4% vs 97.8%, P < 0.001) and motor (20.9% vs 81.5%, P < 0.001) in patients with breast cancer treated with PTX[50]. Previous studies suggested positive effects of physical activity on CIPN with a higher CIPN in patients who do not meet recommended activity levels [51,52]. Moderate to vigorous activity was associated with lower CIPN in taxane-treated patients^[53]. Patients who followed physical activity guidelines reported a longer time to the onset of CIPN since completing chemotherapy, potentially suggesting adaptation to neuropathic symptoms over time, enabling the return to physical activity [53]. Conveying PTX using lipid-based nanoformulations represents a potential strategy to overcome PTX side effects. In a recent

WJCO https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Patient characteristics	
Characteristics	n (%)
Age, mean (range)	61 (35-74)
Gyneacologic cancer	44
Risk factors	
None	38 (86.3)
Diabetes	3 (6.8)
Arthrosis and diabetes	1 (2.3)
Hyphotyroidsm	2 (4.6)

Table 3 Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy per cycle, according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4.0)

Chemotherapy	Number of patients	Reported CIPN, <i>n</i> (%)		
		Grade 0	Grade 1	Grade ≥ 2
Cycle 1	44	44 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Cycle 2	44	43 (97.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Cycle 3	43	40 (93.0)	1 (2.3)	0 (0)
Cycle 4	41	33 (80.5)	1 (2.4)	0 (0)
Cycle 5	34	22 (64.7)	1 (2.9)	1 (2.9)
Cycle 6	24	20 (83.3)	2 (8.3)	1 (4.2)

CIPN: Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy.

Table 4 Chemotherapy (paclitaxel) dose changes during hilotherapy treatment		
	Patients, <i>n</i> (%)	
Completed scheduled CP cycle ¹	37 (84.1)	
Paclitaxel dose reduction/discontinuation	9 (20)	
Reason for Paclitaxel reduction/discontinuation	3 (6.8) hematological toxicity; 3 (6.8) disease progression; 2 (4.5) paclitaxel allergic reaction; 1 (2.2) CIPN \geq 2	

¹Carboplatin area under the curve 5 mg/mL per min plus paclitaxel at 175 mg/mq every 3 wk. CP: Carboplatin/paclitaxel; CIPN: Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy.

> study, this formulation was tested on lung tumor cells and *in vivo* and showed a very low concentration in the dorsal root ganglia, where PTX accumulates and causes damage to the somas of peripheral sensory neurons[54]. This approach could lead to a reduction in the incidence of peripheral neuropathy and be the subject of study in gynecological cancers.

> Different mechanical system of CIPN prevention were tested and founded that vasoconstriction and cold reduce drug exposure during chemotherapy infusion[55], but the limits of cooling systems are primarily the cold intolerance and cold-related injuries. The small single-arm trial from our center that investigated the effects of hilotherm cooling system demonstrate to prevent CIPN[49]. The advantages of continuous cooling are a more tolerable and controlled temperature reduction for the duration of chemotherapy instead of ice or frozen gloves. Continuous cooling whit a coolant temperature of 10 °C continued for the duration of chemotherapy and was well tolerated. In the ovarian cancer subgroup only one patient showed CIPN \geq 2, and only two patients (4.5%) stopped halotherapy for cold intolerance. Published data showed that no patients reported the appearance of CIPN symptom at a follow-up time of 6 mo[49]. We expect to maintain these follow-up results also from the new

enrolled patients.

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of CIPN was approximately 68% within the first month following the end of taxane chemotherapy treatment and 30% after 6 mo or more [4]. In a survey of ovarian cancer patients, CIPN symptoms were associated with lower functioning and greater health-related worry^[5]. Additionally, a survey covering various cancer types found that patients reporting neurotoxicity had higher symptom burden and stress, and poorer QoL[56]. Reducing the incidence of neuropathy to improve the patient's QoL and avoiding discontinuation or dose reduction of anticancer treatment is of primary importance. Unfortunately, comprehensive knowledge of the mechanisms leading to CIPN is still lacking and no drug has been approved to prevent or cure it. Hilotherapy has proven to be a safe, well tolerated and effective method of preventing and treating CIPN. To validate the use of hilotherapy as a preventive method a largescale trial and a longer follow up are necessary.

REFERENCES

- 1 McGuire WP. Taxol: a new drug with significant activity as a salvage therapy in advanced epithelial ovarian carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 1993; 51: 78-85 [PMID: 7902314 DOI: 10.1006/gyno.1993.1250]
- Parmar MK, Ledermann JA, Colombo N, du Bois A, Delaloye JF, Kristensen GB, Wheeler S, Swart AM, Qian W, Torri V, Floriani I, Jayson G, Lamont A, Tropé C; ICON and AGO Collaborators. Paclitaxel plus platinum-based chemotherapy vs conventional platinum-based chemotherapy in women with relapsed ovarian cancer: the ICON4/AGO-OVAR-2.2 trial. Lancet 2003; 361: 2099-2106 [PMID: 12826431 DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(03)13718-x]
- Bonhof CS, Mols F, Vos MC, Pijnenborg JMA, Boll D, Vreugdenhil G, Ezendam NPM, van de Poll-3 Franse LV. Course of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy and its impact on health-related quality of life among ovarian cancer patients: A longitudinal study. Gynecol Oncol 2018; 149: 455-463 [PMID: 29605500 DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2018.03.052]
- Brewer JR, Morrison G, Dolan ME, Fleming GF. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: 4 Current status and progress. Gynecol Oncol 2016; 140: 176-183 [PMID: 26556766 DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.11.011]
- Glare PA, Davies PS, Finlay E, Gulati A, Lemanne D, Moryl N, Oeffinger KC, Paice JA, 5 Stubblefield MD, Syrjala KL. Pain in cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol 2014; 32: 1739-1747 [PMID: 24799477 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2013.52.4629]
- Ezendam NP, Pijlman B, Bhugwandass C, Pruijt JF, Mols F, Vos MC, Pijnenborg JM, van de Poll-Franse LV. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy and its impact on health-related quality of life among ovarian cancer survivors: results from the population-based PROFILES registry. Gynecol Oncol 2014; 135: 510-517 [PMID: 25281491 DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2014.09.016]
- 7 Banach M, Juranek JK, Zygulska AL. Chemotherapy-induced neuropathies-a growing problem for patients and health care providers. Brain Behav 2017; 7: e00558 [PMID: 28127506 DOI: 10.1002/brb3.558
- Bernhardson BM, Tishelman C, Rutqvist LE. Chemosensory changes experienced by patients 8 undergoing cancer chemotherapy: a qualitative interview study. J Pain Symptom Manage 2007; 34: 403-412 [PMID: 17616338 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2006.12.010]
- 9 Scripture CD, Figg WD, Sparreboom A. Peripheral neuropathy induced by paclitaxel: recent insights and future perspectives. Curr Neuropharmacol 2006; 4: 165-172 [PMID: 18615126 DOI: 10.2174/157015906776359568
- 10 De Iuliis F, Taglieri L, Salerno G, Lanza R, Scarpa S. Taxane induced neuropathy in patients affected by breast cancer: Literature review. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2015; 96: 34-45 [PMID: 26004917 DOI: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2015.04.011]
- Bakitas MA. Background noise: the experience of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. 11 Nurs Res 2007; 56: 323-331 [PMID: 17846553 DOI: 10.1097/01.NNR.0000289503.22414.79]
- Tofthagen C. Surviving chemotherapy for colon cancer and living with the consequences. J Palliat 12 Med 2010; 13: 1389-1391 [PMID: 21091028 DOI: 10.1089/jpm.2010.0124]
- 13 Mols F, Beijers T, Vreugdenhil G, van de Poll-Franse L. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy and its association with quality of life: a systematic review. Support Care Cancer 2014; 22: 2261-2269 [PMID: 24789421 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-014-2255-7]
- 14 Zis P, Varrassi G, Vadalouka A, Paladini A. Psychological Aspects and Quality of Life in Chronic Pain. Pain Res Manag 2019; 2019: 8346161 [PMID: 31281559 DOI: 10.1155/2019/8346161]
- 15 Zis P, Daskalaki A, Bountouni I, Sykioti P, Varrassi G, Paladini A. Depression and chronic pain in the elderly: links and management challenges. Clin Interv Aging 2017; 12: 709-720 [PMID: 28461745 DOI: 10.2147/CIA.S113576]
- 16 Hershman DL, Lacchetti C, Loprinzi CL. Prevention and Management of Chemotherapy-Induced



Peripheral Neuropathy in Survivors of Adult Cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Summary. J Oncol Pract 2014; 10: e421-e424 [PMID: 29424607 DOI: 10.1200/JOP.2014.001776]

- 17 Smith EM, Pang H, Cirrincione C, Fleishman S, Paskett ED, Ahles T, Bressler LR, Fadul CE, Knox C, Le-Lindqwister N, Gilman PB, Shapiro CL; Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology. Effect of duloxetine on pain, function, and quality of life among patients with chemotherapy-induced painful peripheral neuropathy: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2013; 309: 1359-1367 [PMID: 23549581 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2013.2813]
- 18 Magnowska M, Iżycka N, Kapoła-Czyż J, Romała A, Lorek J, Spaczyński M, Nowak-Markwitz E. Effectiveness of gabapentin pharmacotherapy in chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. Ginekol Pol 2018; 89: 200-204 [PMID: 29781075 DOI: 10.5603/GP.a2018.0034]
- Kim BS, Jin JY, Kwon JH, Woo IS, Ko YH, Park SY, Park HJ, Kang JH. Efficacy and safety of 19 oxycodone/naloxone as add-on therapy to gabapentin or pregabalin for the management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in Korea. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol 2018; 14: e448-e454 [PMID: 29280313 DOI: 10.1111/ajco.12822]
- 20 van den Heuvel SAS, van der Wal SEI, Smedes LA, Radema SA, van Alfen N, Vissers KCP, Steegers MAH. Intravenous Lidocaine: Old-School Drug, New Purpose-Reduction of Intractable Pain in Patients with Chemotherapy Induced Peripheral Neuropathy. Pain Res Manag 2017; 2017: 8053474 [PMID: 28458593 DOI: 10.1155/2017/8053474]
- Barton DL, Wos EJ, Qin R, Mattar BI, Green NB, Lanier KS, Bearden JD 3rd, Kugler JW, Hoff KL, 21 Reddy PS, Rowland KM Jr, Riepl M, Christensen B, Loprinzi CL. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of a topical treatment for chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: NCCTG trial N06CA. Support Care Cancer 2011; 19: 833-841 [PMID: 20496177 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-010-0911-0]
- 22 Fradkin M, Batash R, Elmaleh S, Debi R, Schaffer P, Schaffer M, Asna N. Management of Peripheral Neuropathy Induced by Chemotherapy. Curr Med Chem 2019; 26: 4698-4708 [PMID: 30621553 DOI: 10.2174/0929867326666190107163756]
- Ibrahim EY, Ehrlich BE. Prevention of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: A review of 23 recent findings. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2020; 145: 102831 [PMID: 31783290 DOI: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2019.102831]
- 24 Mo M, Erdelyi I, Szigeti-Buck K, Benbow JH, Ehrlich BE. Prevention of paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy by lithium pretreatment. FASEB J 2012; 26: 4696-4709 [PMID: 22889832 DOI: 10.1096/fj.12-214643]
- 25 Wadia RJ, Stolar M, Grens C, Ehrlich BE, Chao HH. The prevention of chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy by concurrent treatment with drugs used for bipolar disease: a retrospective chart analysis in human cancer patients. Oncotarget 2018; 9: 7322-7331 [PMID: 29484113 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.23467]
- 26 Pignata S, Scambia G, Ferrandina G, Savarese A, Sorio R, Breda E, Gebbia V, Musso P, Frigerio L, Del Medico P, Lombardi AV, Febbraro A, Scollo P, Ferro A, Tamberi S, Brandes A, Ravaioli A, Valerio MR, Aitini E, Natale D, Scaltriti L, Greggi S, Pisano C, Lorusso D, Salutari V, Legge F, Di Maio M, Morabito A, Gallo C, Perrone F. Carboplatin plus paclitaxel vs carboplatin plus pegylated liposomal doxorubicin as first-line treatment for patients with ovarian cancer: the MITO-2 randomized phase III trial. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 3628-3635 [PMID: 21844495 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.33.8566]
- 27 Pignata S, De Placido S, Biamonte R, Scambia G, Di Vagno G, Colucci G, Febbraro A, Marinaccio M, Lombardi AV, Manzione L, Cartenì G, Nardi M, Danese S, Valerio MR, de Matteis A, Massidda B, Gasparini G, Di Maio M, Pisano C, Perrone F. Residual neurotoxicity in ovarian cancer patients in clinical remission after first-line chemotherapy with carboplatin and paclitaxel: the Multicenter Italian Trial in Ovarian cancer (MITO-4) retrospective study. BMC Cancer 2006; 6: 5 [PMID: 16398939 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-6-5]
- Pignata S, Scambia G, Katsaros D, Gallo C, Pujade-Lauraine E, De Placido S, Bologna A, Weber B, 28 Raspagliesi F, Panici PB, Cormio G, Sorio R, Cavazzini MG, Ferrandina G, Breda E, Murgia V, Sacco C, Cinieri S, Salutari V, Ricci C, Pisano C, Greggi S, Lauria R, Lorusso D, Marchetti C, Selvaggi L, Signoriello S, Piccirillo MC, Di Maio M, Perrone F; Multicentre Italian Trials in Ovarian cancer (MITO-7); Groupe d'Investigateurs Nationaux pour l'Etude des Cancers Ovariens et du sein (GINECO); Mario Negri Gynecologic Oncology (MaNGO); European Network of Gynaecological Oncological Trial Groups (ENGOT-OV-10); Gynecologic Cancer InterGroup (GCIG) Investigators. Carboplatin plus paclitaxel once a week vs every 3 wk in patients with advanced ovarian cancer (MITO-7): a randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2014; 15: 396-405 [PMID: 24582486 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70049-X]
- Katsumata N, Yasuda M, Takahashi F, Isonishi S, Jobo T, Aoki D, Tsuda H, Sugiyama T, Kodama S, Kimura E, Ochiai K, Noda K; Japanese Gynecologic Oncology Group. Dose-dense paclitaxel once a week in combination with carboplatin every 3 wk for advanced ovarian cancer: a phase 3, openlabel, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2009; 374: 1331-1338 [PMID: 19767092 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61157-0]
- Harano K, Terauchi F, Katsumata N, Takahashi F, Yasuda M, Takakura S, Takano M, Yamamoto Y, 30 Sugiyama T. Quality-of-life outcomes from a randomized phase III trial of dose-dense weekly paclitaxel and carboplatin compared with conventional paclitaxel and carboplatin as a first-line treatment for stage II-IV ovarian cancer: Japanese Gynecologic Oncology Group Trial (JGOG3016). Ann Oncol 2014; 25: 251-257 [PMID: 24356636 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdt527]



- 31 Chan JK, Brady MF, Penson RT, Huang H, Birrer MJ, Walker JL, DiSilvestro PA, Rubin SC, Martin LP, Davidson SA, Huh WK, O'Malley DM, Boente MP, Michael H, Monk BJ. Weekly vs. Every-3-Week Paclitaxel and Carboplatin for Ovarian Cancer. N Engl J Med 2016; 374: 738-748 [PMID: 26933849 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1505067]
- 32 Clamp AR, James EC, McNeish IA, Dean A, Kim JW, O'Donnell DM, Hook J, Coyle C, Blagden S, Brenton JD, Naik R, Perren T, Sundar S, Cook AD, Gopalakrishnan GS, Gabra H, Lord R, Dark G, Earl HM, Hall M, Banerjee S, Glasspool RM, Jones R, Williams S, Swart AM, Stenning S, Parmar M, Kaplan R, Ledermann JA. Weekly dose-dense chemotherapy in first-line epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal carcinoma treatment (ICON8): primary progression free survival analysis results from a GCIG phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2019; 394: 2084-2095 [PMID: 31791688 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32259-7]
- 33 Vasey PA, Jayson GC, Gordon A, Gabra H, Coleman R, Atkinson R, Parkin D, Paul J, Hay A, Kaye SB; Scottish Gynaecological Cancer Trials Group. Phase III randomized trial of docetaxelcarboplatin vs paclitaxel-carboplatin as first-line chemotherapy for ovarian carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004; 96: 1682-1691 [PMID: 15547181 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djh323]
- Dizon DS, Hensley ML, Poynor EA, Sabbatini P, Aghajanian C, Hummer A, Venkatraman E, Spriggs 34 DR. Retrospective analysis of carboplatin and paclitaxel as initial second-line therapy for recurrent epithelial ovarian carcinoma: application toward a dynamic disease state model of ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20: 1238-1247 [PMID: 11870166 DOI: 10.1200/jco.2002.20.5.1238]
- Bao T, Seidman AD, Piulson L, Vertosick E, Chen X, Vickers AJ, Blinder VS, Zhi WI, Li Q, Vahdat 35 LT, Dickler MN, Robson ME, Mao JJ. A phase IIA trial of acupuncture to reduce chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy severity during neoadjuvant or adjuvant weekly paclitaxel chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. Eur J Cancer 2018; 101: 12-19 [PMID: 30007894 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejca.2018.06.008]
- Smith TJ, Coyne PJ, Parker GL, Dodson P, Ramakrishnan V. Pilot trial of a patient-specific 36 cutaneous electrostimulation device (MC5-A Calmare®) for chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. J Pain Symptom Manage 2010; 40: 883-891 [PMID: 20813492 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2010.03.022]
- 37 Pachman DR, Weisbrod BL, Seisler DK, Barton DL, Fee-Schroeder KC, Smith TJ, Lachance DH, Liu H, Shelerud RA, Cheville AL, Loprinzi CL. Pilot evaluation of Scrambler therapy for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. Support Care Cancer 2015; 23: 943-951 [PMID: 25245776 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-014-2424-8]
- Majithia N, Smith TJ, Coyne PJ, Abdi S, Pachman DR, Lachance D, Shelerud R, Cheville A, 38 Basford JR, Farley D, O'Neill C, Ruddy KJ, Sparadeo F, Beutler A, Loprinzi CL. Scrambler Therapy for the management of chronic pain. Support Care Cancer 2016; 24: 2807-2814 [PMID: 27041741 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-016-3177-3]
- 39 Coyne PJ, Wan W, Dodson P, Swainey C, Smith TJ. A trial of Scrambler therapy in the treatment of cancer pain syndromes and chronic chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother 2013; 27: 359-364 [PMID: 24143893 DOI: 10.3109/15360288.2013.847519]
- Park HS, Sin WK, Kim HY, Moon JY, Park SY, Kim YC, Lee SC. Scrambler therapy for patients 40 with cancer pain - case series -. Korean J Pain 2013; 26: 65-71 [PMID: 23342211 DOI: 10.3344/kjp.2013.26.1.65
- 41 Loprinzi C, Le-Rademacher JG, Majithia N, McMurray RP, O'Neill CR, Bendel MA, Beutler A, Lachance DH, Cheville A, Strick DM, Black DF, Tilburt JC, Smith TJ. Scrambler therapy for chemotherapy neuropathy: a randomized phase II pilot trial. Support Care Cancer 2020; 28: 1183-1197 [PMID: 31209630 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-019-04881-3]
- 42 Tsuyuki S, Yamagami K, Yoshibayashi H, Sugie T, Mizuno Y, Tanaka S, Kato H, Okuno T, Ogura N, Yamashiro H, Takuwa H, Kikawa Y, Hashimoto T, Kato T, Takahara S, Katayama T, Yamauchi A, Inamoto T. Effectiveness and safety of surgical glove compression therapy as a prophylactic method against nanoparticle albumin-bound-paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy. Breast 2019; 47: 22-27 [PMID: 31302389 DOI: 10.1016/j.breast.2019.06.008]
- Hochberg J. A randomized prospective study to assess the efficacy of two cold-therapy treatments 43 following carpal tunnel release. J Hand Ther 2001; 14: 208-215 [PMID: 11511016 DOI: 10.1016/s0894-1130(01)80055-7]
- McGuire DA, Hendricks SD. Incidences of frostbite in arthroscopic knee surgery postoperative cryotherapy rehabilitation. Arthroscopy 2006; 22: 1141.e1-1141.e6 [PMID: 17027420 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2005.06.027
- Rosenbaek F, Holm HS, Hjelmborg JVB, Ewertz M, Jensen JD. Effect of cryotherapy on dose of 45 adjuvant paclitaxel in early-stage breast cancer. Support Care Cancer 2020; 28: 3763-3769 [PMID: 31828491 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-019-05196-z]
- Kanbayashi Y, Sakaguchi K, Ishikawa T, Ouchi Y, Nakatsukasa K, Tabuchi Y, Kanehisa F, 46 Hiramatsu M, Takagi R, Yokota I, Katoh N, Taguchi T. Comparison of the efficacy of cryotherapy and compression therapy for preventing nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy: A prospective self-controlled trial. *Breast* 2020; **49**: 219-224 [PMID: 31901783 DOI: 10.1016/j.breast.2019.12.011
- Ng DQ, Tan CJ, Soh BC, Tan MML, Loh SY, Tan YE, Ong HH, Teng PPC, Chan JJ, Chay WY, Lee 47 J, Lai G, Beh SY, Tan TJY, Yap YS, Lee GE, Wong M, Dent R, Lo YL, Chan A, Loh KW. Impact of Cryotherapy on Sensory, Motor, and Autonomic Neuropathy in Breast Cancer Patients Receiving Paclitaxel: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. Front Neurol 2020; 11: 604688 [PMID: 33424755 DOI:



10.3389/fneur.2020.604688]

- 48 Schaper T. Hilotherapy for the Prevention and Treatment of Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy. [cited 29 April 2020]. In: Hilotherm [Internet]. Available from: https://www.hilotherm.co.uk/cipn-hand-foot-syndrome
- 49 Oneda E, Meriggi F, Zanotti L, Zaina E, Bighè S, Andreis F, Rueda S, Zaniboni A. Innovative Approach for the Prevention of Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy in Cancer Patients: A Pilot Study With the Hilotherm Device, the Poliambulanza Hospital Experience. Integr Cancer Ther 2020; 19: 1534735420943287 [PMID: 32856475 DOI: 10.1177/1534735420943287]
- 50 Su Y, Huang J, Wang S, Unger JM, Arias-Fuenzalida J, Shi Y, Li J, Gao Y, Shi W, Wang X, Peng R, Xu F, An X, Xue C, Xia W, Hong R, Zhong Y, Lin Y, Huang H, Zhang A, Zhang L, Cai L, Zhang J, Yuan Z. The Effects of Ganglioside-Monosialic Acid in Taxane-Induced Peripheral Neurotoxicity in Patients with Breast Cancer: A Randomized Trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2020; 112: 55-62 [PMID: 31093677 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djz086]
- Greenlee H, Hershman DL, Shi Z, Kwan ML, Ergas IJ, Roh JM, Kushi LH. BMI, Lifestyle Factors 51 and Taxane-Induced Neuropathy in Breast Cancer Patients: The Pathways Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2017; 109 [PMID: 27794123 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djw206]
- Campbell KL, Winters-Stone KM, Wiskemann J, May AM, Schwartz AL, Courneya KS, Zucker DS, 52 Matthews CE, Ligibel JA, Gerber LH, Morris GS, Patel AV, Hue TF, Perna FM, Schmitz KH. Exercise Guidelines for Cancer Survivors: Consensus Statement from International Multidisciplinary Roundtable. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2019; 51: 2375-2390 [PMID: 31626055 DOI: 10.1249/MSS.000000000002116]
- 53 Andersen Hammond E, Pitz M, Shay B. Neuropathic Pain in Taxane-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy: Evidence for Exercise in Treatment. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2019; 33: 792-799 [PMID: 31342880 DOI: 10.1177/1545968319860486]
- Jiménez-López J, Bravo-Caparrós I, Cabeza L, Nieto FR, Ortiz R, Perazzoli G, Fernández-Segura E, 54 Cañizares FJ, Baeyens JM, Melguizo C, Prados J. Paclitaxel antitumor effect improvement in lung cancer and prevention of the painful neuropathy using large pegylated cationic liposomes. Biomed Pharmacother 2021; 133: 111059 [PMID: 33378963 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.111059]
- Scotté F, Banu E, Medioni J, Levy E, Ebenezer C, Marsan S, Banu A, Tourani JM, Andrieu JM, 55 Oudard S. Matched case-control phase 2 study to evaluate the use of a frozen sock to prevent docetaxel-induced onycholysis and cutaneous toxicity of the foot. Cancer 2008; 112: 1625-1631 [PMID: 18286527 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.23333]
- Miaskowski C, Mastick J, Paul SM, Abrams G, Cheung S, Sabes JH, Kober KM, Schumacher M, 56 Conley YP, Topp K, Smoot B, Mausisa G, Mazor M, Wallhagen M, Levine JD. Impact of chemotherapy-induced neurotoxicities on adult cancer survivors' symptom burden and quality of life. J Cancer Surviv 2018; 12: 234-245 [PMID: 29159795 DOI: 10.1007/s11764-017-0662-8]



WJCO | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

