

Response to the Reviewers

Manuscript: Cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy in diabetes: pathophysiology, clinical assessment and implications

To the Editor

Thank you for the opportunity to revise our manuscript. Please find below the responses to the Reviewers. We hope you find the manuscript suitable for publication.

Best regards,

Andrea De Lorenzo

Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: This paper reviews the clinical symptoms of Cardiovascular Autonomic Neuropathy in the early, middle and late stages of diabetes mellitus, and the relationship between the incidence and mortality of diabetes mellitus with heart disease. The authors introduce the traditional diagnostic method of Cardiovascular Autonomic Neuropathy and cardiac ¹²³I radiography. The advantages and disadvantages of the two methods are compared in terms of economics and accuracy. This paper has scientific and clinical value for the diagnosis and early intervention of heart complications in the prevention and treatment of diabetic patients. It is suggested to carefully check the accuracy of individual words before publishing.

Response: We thank the Reviewer for the comments. The manuscript underwent professional language revision and editing, and we believe the accuracy of the individual words was improved.

Science editor:

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a Review of the cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy in diabetes. The topic is within the scope of the WJD. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: this paper has scientific and clinical value for heart complications in the prevention and treatment of diabetic patients. Authors should carefully check the accuracy of individual words.. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There are 2 tables; (4) References: A total of 90 references are cited, including 19 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference; and (6) References recommendations (kindly remind): The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJD. 5 Issues raised: The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Response: We thank the editor for the comments. We have included the "Author Contributions" section.