

Dear reviewer:

I am very grateful to your comments for the manuscript. According with your advice, we amended the relevant part in manuscript. Some of your questions were answered below.

1. The reviewer's comment: Core tip section can be revised more informative and interesting.

The authors' Answer: The core tip was revised to highlight the rarity of eosinophilic adrenocortical tumor in children. This case was the first robot-assisted laparoscopic resection of an eosinophilic adrenocortical tumor in children.

2. The reviewer's comment: The misdiagnosis, differential diagnosis and diagnostic procedures for achieving to the final diagnosis as well as the standard WHO criteria of OACT diagnosis must be clearly defined for this case.

The authors' Answer: The diagnosis of children's OACT was described in the discussion.

3. The reviewer's comment: The discussion should not be paragraphed in this way.

The authors' Answer: Based on previous case reports and literature review published in reform journals, the writing format of the discussion section was adjusted.

4. The reviewer's comment: the authors should be prepared a table and described all characteristics of OACT children using available reports with highlighted remarks that including sex, age, risk factor, therapeutic dosage, follow-up and outcome.

The authors' Answer: Increase the existing children's OACT cases table, respectively, from the age, sex, clinical manifestations, tumor size, surgical procedure, results of follow-up and prognosis of existing cases are analyzed.