Reply to Reviewer Number ID 03821836

Dear Reviewers,

Thank you very much for your time involved in reviewing the manuscript and your very encouraging comments on the merits.

Comments: “Manuscript is really detailed and explanatory, providing an exceptional overview of a disease that seems to be under-recognized in clinical practice. I would only recommend adding some further studies addressing the effect of antidiabetic agents of NAFPD: see PMID: 31013454, PMID: 32961563.”

We also appreciate your clear and detailed feedback and hope that the explanation has fully addressed all of your concerns. In the remainder of this letter, we discuss each of your comments individually along with our corresponding responses.

To facilitate this discussion, we first retype your comments in *italic font* and then present our responses to the comments.

Comment 1:

I would only recommend adding some further studies addressing the effect of antidiabetic agents of NAFPD: see PMID: 31013454, PMID: 32961563.

Response 1:

Thank you for the detailed review. We have added further studies addressing the effect of antimicrobial agents of NAFPD to the manuscript: PMID: 31013454, PMID: 32961563.

Reply to Reviewer Number ID 03023580

Dear Reviewers,

Thank you very much for your time involved in reviewing the manuscript and your very encouraging comments on the merits.

Comments: “Good scientific quality review. Scientific topic of important clinical relevance sufficiently deepened with an orderly, simple and understandable exposition of the modern diagnostic concepts relating to NAFPD. Bad order, however, in the drafting of the references (see notes attached to the text). Regarding the references, although many of these updated until 2020, the article must be completely rewritten in compliance with the editorial guidelines of the journal.”

We also appreciate your clear and detailed feedback and hope that the explanation has fully addressed all of your concerns. In the remainder of this letter, we discuss each of your comments individually along with our corresponding responses.

Comment 1:

Bad order, however, in the drafting of the references (see notes attached to the text). Regarding the references, although many of these updated until 2020, the article must be completely rewritten in compliance with the editorial guidelines of the journal.

Response 1:

Thank you for the detailed review. We have carefully and thoroughly proofread the manuscript to correct all the references in compliance with the editorial guidelines of the journal.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank you for all your time involved and this great opportunity for us to improve the manuscript. We hope you will find this revised version satisfactory.

Sincerely,

The Authors