

Rebuttal Letter: answering reviewers

Oviedo, 15th of July 2021

Dear **Reviewer 1**,

We would like to show our gratitude for all your efforts and the time you invested in reviewing the manuscript entitled “Empirically Supported Psychological Treatments: The Challenges of Comorbid Psychiatric and Behavioral Disorders in People with Intellectual Disability” (Manuscript NO.: 65536, Review) that we submitted to the *World Journal of Psychiatry*. Without any doubt, your comments encourage us to continue with this line of research. We are glad that you find the review of your interest and helpful to get an overview of which is the status quo of this important issue in different countries. Without any doubt, the comments and suggestions have been very helpful.

Once again, thank you for your time and suggestions.

Without any further, receive best regards

The authors

Language quality

A native-English speaker (the fourth author of the manuscript: Marc J Tassé) has edited the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet your direct publishing needs.

Abbreviations

- (1) **Title:** There is no any abbreviation in the title.
- (2) **Running title:** it has been shortened to 6 words.
- (3) **Abstract:** Abbreviations have been defined upon first appearance in the Abstract: “intellectual disability (ID)”.
- (4) **Key words:** Abbreviations have not been used in the Key words.
- (5) **Core tip:** Abbreviation has been defined upon first appearance: “intellectual disability (ID)”.
- (6) **Main Text:** Abbreviation has been defined upon first appearance: “intellectual disability (ID)”.
- (7) **Article Highlights:** this manuscript does not have highlights.
- (8) **Figures:** this manuscript does not have figures.
- (9) **Tables:** abbreviations have not been used in the table.

SCIENCE EDITOR

- 1 Scientific quality:** The manuscript describes a review of the empirically supported psychological treatments. The topic is within the scope of the WJP.
- (1) **Classification:** Grade C;
- (2) **Summary of the Peer-Review Report:** Through a large number of literature review, the authors found that some psychotherapies of the first- and third- generation may have a lower level of evidence of efficacy, which is worthy of further clinical research;
- (3) **Format:** There are no tables and no figures.

Thanks for these comments. Table 1 has been added to the manuscript.

(4) References: A total of 128 references are cited, including 50 references published in the last 3 years;

(5) Self-cited references: There are 18 self-cited references. The self-referencing rates should be less than 10%. Please keep the reasonable self-citations (i.e. those that are most closely related to the topic of the manuscript) and remove all other improper self-citations. If the authors fail to address the critical issue of self-citation, the editing process of this manuscript will be terminated; and

(6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately.

7 self-cited references have been deleted in the manuscript. The self-referencing rate is now less than 10%.

2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B.

3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search.

4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. The study was supported by Spanish Minister of Science, Innovation and Universities, and the State Research Agency. The topic has not previously been published in the WJP.

5 Issues raised:

(1) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

(2) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; and

(3) Please add figure /table to this review.

(1) The funding agency copy of approval has been uploaded.

(2) DOIs and PubMed numbers have been included in the review. All authors have been listed.

(3) A table has been added to this review.

6 Re-Review: Not required.

7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

COMPANY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Psychiatry, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must add a table/figure to the manuscript. There are no restrictions on the figures (color, B/W).

Thanks. We have added a table (Table 1) to the manuscript.