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**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is one of the leading causes of death and disease burden in China and worldwide. A practical and reliable prediction scoring system for CAD risk and severity evaluation is urgently needed for primary prevention.

AIM

To examine whether the prediction for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in China (China-PAR) scoring system could be used for this purpose.

METHODS

A total of 6813 consecutive patients who underwent diagnostic coronary angiography were enrolled. The China-PAR score was calculated for each patient and CAD severity was assessed by the Gensini score (GS).

RESULTS

Correlation analysis demonstrated a significant relationship between China-PAR and GS (*r* = 0.266, *P* < 0.001). In receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, the cut-off values of China-PAR for predicting the presence and the severity of CAD were 7.55% with a sensitivity of 55.8% and specificity of 71.8% [area under the curve (AUC) = 0.693, 95% confidence interval: 0.681 to 0.706, *P* < 0.001], and 7.45% with a sensitivity of 58.8% and specificity of 67.2% (AUC = 0.680, 95% confidence interval: 0.665 to 0.694, *P* < 0.001), respectively.

CONCLUSION

The China-PAR scoring system may be useful in predicting the presence and severity of CAD.
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**Core Tip:** Very few researchers have focused on the validity of risk score models in predicting the severity of coronary artery disease. In our study, a total of 6813 consecutive patients who underwent diagnostic coronary angiography were enrolled. The prediction for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in China (China-PAR) score was calculated for each patient and coronary artery disease severity was assessed by the Gensini score. Finally, the China-PAR scoring system was discovered to be applicable in the estimation of both the presence and severity of coronary artery disease in addition to their role in predicting cardiovascular events.

**INTRODUCTION**

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a leading cause of death and disease burden in China and worldwide[1-3]. The cost of hospitalization for acute myocardial infarction (MI) in China is substantially high, contributing to the major challenge of primary care in China[3]. Therefore, it is of great significance to develop equations for CAD risk and severity evaluation before the clinical signs or cardiovascular events of CAD occur.

Several prediction models for CAD risk evaluation have been built and applied in public health and clinical practice. Well-known equations are the Framingham risk score (FRS) developed in1976[4], the Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) in Europe[5], QRESEARCH cardiovascular risk (QRISK1 and QRISK2) algorithms in the United Kingdom[6], Pooled Cohort Equations (PCEs) reported in the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guideline[7], and the most recently published prediction for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in China (China-PAR) scoring system[8].

Many investigators have evaluated the performance of two or more risk prediction models in different populations. However, very few researchers have focused on the validity of the risk score models in predicting the severity of CAD. Thus, we conducted this study to evaluate the utility of the China-PAR score in assessing the severity of CAD in the Chinese population.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This was a retrospective study in which a total of 6813 consecutive patients who were admitted for diagnostic coronary angiography (CAG) were enrolled. Our study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the hospital ethics review board (Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, Zhejiang Province, China). All study patients were referred for CAG according to the results of their electrocardiograms, abnormal noninvasive stress tests, and/or symptoms suggestive of CAD. Patients who had acute coronary syndrome, chronic or acute heart failure, severe chronic renal disease, previous myocardial infarction or percutaneous coronary intervention, and previous coronary artery bypass surgery were excluded. Initially, two experienced interventional cardiologists evaluated all patients’ angiograms and assessed the Gensini score (GS). Thereafter, four medical students without knowledge of patients’ CAD status calculated the China-PAR score through a mobile automatic calculator (http://www.cvdrisk.com.cn/ASCVD/Eval).

Detailed clinical and demographic characteristics were obtained from all patients. Overnight fasting venous blood samples were taken on the same day of the procedure. The left ventricular ejection fraction was evaluated by echocardiograph before angiography. Waist circumference (WC) was measured at 1 cm above the navel at minimal respiration. Cigarette smoking was defined as ever-smoked 100 cigarettes or currently smoking. Hypertension was defined as repeated blood pressure measurements of systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg, diastolic ≥ 90 mmHg, or currently taking antihypertensive drugs. Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) was defined as a previous diagnosis and/or fasting blood glucose of 126 mg/dL or under current treatment of antidiabetic medications. Family history of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) was defined as at least one first-degree relative with MI or stroke. The China-PAR scoring system predicts the risk for development of CAD by taking into account age, sex, geographic region, urbanization, WC, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), blood pressure, DM, current smoking, and family history of ASCVD.

Selective coronary angiography was performed *via* the Judkins technique. Significant CAD was diagnosed if there was ≥ 50% diameter stenosis in at least one major epicardial coronary artery. The severity of CAD was calculated with the GS system by assigning a severity score to each coronary stenosis as 1 for 1% to 25% narrowing, 2 for 26% to 50%, 4 for 51% to 75%, 8 for 76% to 90%, 16 for 91% to 99%, and 32 for a completely occluded artery. A multiplier was then assigned according to the importance of the coronary artery: 5 for the left main coronary artery, 2.5 for the proximal segment of the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery, 2.5 for the proximal segment of the circumflex artery, 1.5 for the mid-segment of the LAD, 1.0 for the distal segment of the LAD, mid-distal region of the circumflex artery, the obtuse marginal artery, the right coronary artery, and the posterolateral artery, and 0.5 for any other branch[9].

Statistical analyses were carried out using the SPSS statistical package, version 18.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, United States). Continuous values are expressed as the mean ± SD or median (minimum to maximum). Differences between the means were compared by *t* test when the variables showed a normal distribution, or by the Mann-Whitney *U* test when they did not. ANOVA or Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare variables among three groups. Categorical variables are presented as counts and percentages and were compared by the chi-square test. Correlations were evaluated *via* Spearman’s rank test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was conducted to determine the value of China-PAR score for predicting the severity of coronary. A two-sided *P* value < 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

***Patient characteristics***

A comparison of clinical and demographical characteristics of the GS = 0, low GS [7 (4, 11)], and high-GS [34 (24, 52)] groups is presented in Table 1. There was a statistically significant difference among the three groups in terms of the related risk factors (*P* < 0.001 or *P* < 0.05). The mean age, WC, percentage of males, hypertension, DM, smoking, and family history of ASCVD were the highest in the high-GS group (*P* < 0.001), whereas HDL-C levels and epidermal growth factor receptor were the lowest in the high-GS group (*P* < 0.001). When each group was classified by China-PAR risk stratification, the high-GS group presented a higher proportion of patients with China-PAR > 10%, and lower proportion of patients with China-PAR < 5% (*P* < 0.001). In addition, the higher China-PAR score group tended to have a higher GS (*P* < 0.001) (Figure 1).

Table 2 displays the characteristics of patients divided by the presence of CAD or not. China-PAR score was significantly higher in the CAD group than in the non-CAD group (*P* < 0.001). The CAD group also revealed a higher proportion of patients with China-PAR > 10%, and lower proportion of patients with China-PAR< 5% (*P* < 0.001).

***Correlation of******China-PAR with the presence and the severity of CAD***

The relationship between China-PAR and GS was evaluated using correlation and regression analyses in the whole group. Correlation analysis showed that China-PAR was significantly correlated with GS (*r* = 0.266, *P* < 0.001). Logistic or linear regression analysis further confirmed that China-PAR score was correlated with the presence and severity of CAD (β = 0.072, *P* < 0.001; β = 0.081, *P* < 0.001, respectively). ROC curve analysis was performed subsequently. The cut-off value of China-PAR for predicting the presence of CAD was 7.55% with a sensitivity of 55.8% and specificity of 71.8% [area under the curve (AUC) = 0.693, 95% confidence interval: 0.681 to 0.706, *P* < 0.001](Figure 2). For prediction of severe CAD, the CAD group was classified into two groups by GS, and the cut-off value was 7.45%, with a sensitivity of 58.8% and specificity of 67.2% (AUC = 0.680, 95% confidence interval: 0.665 to 0.694, *P* < 0.001) (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The major finding of the present study was that the China-PAR risk stratification scoring system may predict both the presence and severity of CAD.

During the past decades, ischemic heart disease has become the major cause of death in China[3]. The development and progression of CAD are often insidious and slow under the influence of multiple risk factors. More than 90% of the patients developing CAD have at least one cardiovascular risk factor[10], and some risk factors can be modified by lifestyle changes and medical treatment. Therefore, assessing the risk of developing CAD is of great importance in terms of primary prevention. Numerous risk prediction tools have been developed to assess the individual risk of developing CAD[11]. However, most of them are derived primarily from Western populations and might not be suitable for direct application in the Chinese population. The China-PAR project is the first study to develop and validate 10-year risk prediction equations for ASCVD using data from four contemporary Chinese cohorts[8]. It has been preliminarily confirmed that China-PAR outperformed the PCEs in 5-year ASCVD risk prediction in the Chinese population[12].

Despite the availability of many validated risk prediction models, to date, insufficient date is available regarding the best method to predict the CAD presence and severity. In a study including 1296 patients with stable chest pain who underwent cardiac computed tomographic angiography (CTA), Versteylen *et al*[13] found that the ability of the FRS and SCORE risk scoring systems to predict CAD was similar but superior to that of Prospective Cardiovascular Münster and the Diamond Forrester risk classification system[13]. Another CTA study assessing risk scores in patients with rheumatoid arthritis demonstrated that patients with coronary calcification trend to have a higher FRS compared to those without[14]. Additionally, in a small Turkish population who underwent CAG, Sayin *et al*[15] also found that the FRS could be used for prediction of CAD severity[15]. Another documented study suggested that the CHADS2, CHA2DS2-VASc, and especially CHA2DS2-VASc-HS scores could be considered predictive of the risk of severe CAD[16].

China-PAR equations incorporated multiple major risk factors based on the Chinese population. We believed that they could also be used to predict CAD severity. Our study verified the hypothesis in some degree, providing a cost-effective method in CAD identification. However, the predictive power of China-PAR scoring system may need to be improved by integrating other variables in the future research. Since the burden of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality is disproportionately higher in China compared to developed countries, misclassification of a high-risk patient at the crucial stage may cause unacceptable consequences.

There are several limitations in our study. First, this study is based on patients who were admitted for diagnostic CAG and most patients were from a southern Chinese city. Thus, it would not reflect the general Chinese population. Second, the GS system has its own limitation, and it could not reflect the actual clinical severity of the coronary artery lesion. Furthermore, this is a single-center cross-sectional design study, and our results need a multicenter study to confirm.

**CONCLUSION**

China-PAR scoring system was discovered to be applicable in the estimation of both the presence and severity of CAD in addition to their role in predicting cardiovascular events.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

***Research background***

A practical and reliable prediction scoring system for coronary artery disease (CAD) risk and severity evaluation is lacking.

***Research motivation***

Very few researchers have focused on the validity of the risk score models in predicting the severity of CAD.

***Research objectives***

To evaluate the utility of the prediction for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in China (China-PAR) scoring system in assessing the severity of CAD in the Chinese population.

***Research methods***

The China-PAR score and Gensini score (GS) were calculated for each enrolled patient. Thereafter, correlation analysis and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis were performed.

***Research results***

The China-PAR score was positively associated with the GS.

***Research conclusions***

The China-PAR scoring system is applicable in the estimation of both the presence and severity of coronary artery disease.

***Research perspectives***

A multicenter prospective study should be performed to further confirm the utility of the China-PAR score in assessing the severity of CAD in the Chinese population.
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**Figure Legends**



**Figure 1 Distribution of Gensini score in low- (< 5%), intermediate- (5%-10%), and high-risk (> 10%) categories by prediction for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in China.** c*P* < 0.001. China-PAR: Prediction for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in China.



**Figure 2 Receiver operating characteristic curve of the prediction for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in China value for predicting the presence of coronary artery disease.** ROC: Receiver operating characteristic.



**Figure 3 Receiver operating characteristic curve of the prediction for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in China value for predicting the severe coronary artery disease.** ROC: Receiver operating characteristic.

**Table 1 Clinical and demographical characteristics of patients categorized by Gensini score**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Gensini score = 0 | Low-Gensini score | High-Gensini score | *P*value1 | *P* value | *P* value | *P* value |
|  | **0** | **7 (4, 11)** | **34 (24, 52)** | **(Group 1-2)** | **(Group 1-3)** | **(Group 2-3)** |
| Number of patients | 1601 | 2628 | 2584 |  |  |  |  |
| Age (yr) | 62 (55, 69) | 64 (57, 69) | 67 (61, 73) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Male, *n* (%) | 826 (51.6) | 1474 (56.1) | 1790 (69.3) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Waist circumference | 82 (80, 87) | 82 (80, 87) | 82 (80, 87) | < 0.001 | 0.693 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| BMI, kg/m2  | 24.4 (22.1, 26.8) | 24.3 (22.2, 26.6) | 24.2 (22.2, 26.3) | 0.028 | 0.282 | 0.047 | 0.284 |
| Hypertension, *n* (%) | 756 (47.2) | 1441 (54.8) | 1724 (66.7) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| DM, *n* (%) | 194 (12.1) | 406 (15.4) | 658 (25.5) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Smoking, *n* (%) | 228 (14.2) | 427 (16.2) | 514 (19.9) | < 0.001 | 0.08 | < 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Family history of ASCVD | 4 (0.2) | 35 (1.3) | 110 (4.3) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| EF, % | 55.65 (38, 65.09) | 68 (62.9, 72.7) | 66.8 (61.3, 72) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Glucose, mmol/L | 5.45 (4.92, 6.39) | 5.53 (4.95, 6.60) | 5.76 (5.07, 7.15) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| eGFR | 93.3 (80.9, 102.1) | 91.5 (80, 100) | 88 (74, 97.9) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| NT-ProBNP | 95 (45, 320) | 79 (40, 209.75) | 131 (59, 377.75) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Triglyceride | 4.34 (3.64, 5.059) | 4.19 (3.45, 5.03) | 4.099 (3.45, 4.97) | 0.026 | 0.885 | 0.029 | 0.019 |
| TC | 1.39 (0.99, 1.99) | 1.38 (1, 1.9775) | 1.45 (1.03, 2.04) | 0.012 | 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.189 |
| LDL-C | 2.33 (1.74, 2.88) | 2.19 (1.62, 2.87) | 2.16 (1.64, 2.83) | 0.03 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.687 |
| HDL-C | 0.94 (0, 1.11) | 0.9325 (0, 1.1) | 0.88 (0, 1.03) | < 0.001 | 0.092 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| China-PAR | 5.3 (3.1, 7.9) | 6 (3.8, 8.9) | 8.5 (5.8, 12.2) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| < 5%, *n* (%) | 744 (46.5) | 1009 (38.4) | 454 (17.6) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| 5%-10%, *n* (%) | 616 (38.5) | 1115 (42.4) | 1150 (44.5) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| > 10%, *n* (%) | 241 (15.1) | 504 (19.2) | 980 (37.9) | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |

Values are expressed as the mean ± SD or *n* (%), median (minimum–maximum).

1ANOVA, Kruskal–Wallis, or Pearson chi-square test was used to compare variables among three groups. CAD: Coronary artery disease; BMI: Body mass index; DM: Diabetes mellitus; ASCVD: Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; EF: Ejection fraction; eGFR: Epidermal growth factor receptor; LDL-C: Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; China-PAR: Prediction for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in China.

**Table 2 Baseline characteristics of patients with or without coronary artery disease**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Non-CAD** | **CAD** | ***P* value1** |
| Number of patients | 3365 | 3448 |  |
| Age (yr) | 62 (56, 69) | 67 (60, 73) | < 0.001 |
| Male, *n* (%) | 1778 (52.8) | 2312 (67.1) | < 0.001 |
| Waist circumference | 82 (80, 87) | 82 (80, 87) | 0.026 |
| BMI, kg/m2  | 24.4 (22.3, 26.7) | 24.2 (22.2, 26.3) | < 0.001 |
| Hypertension, *n* (%) | 1660 (49.3) | 2261 (65.6) | < 0.001 |
| DM, *n* (%) | 417 (12.4) | 841 (24.4) | < 0.001 |
| Smoking, *n* (%) | 501 (14.9) | 668 (19.4) | < 0.001 |
| Family history of ASCVD | 21 (0.6) | 128 (3.7) | < 0.001 |
| EF, *n* (%) | 67.3 (62, 72.2) | 67 (61.8, 72.09) | 0.071 |
| Glucose, mmol/L | 5.46 (4.92, 6.44) | 5.72 (5.059, 7.05) | < 0.001 |
| eGFR | 93 (81.3, 101.3) | 88.34 (74.7, 97.98) | < 0.001 |
| NT-ProBNP | 83 (41, 249) | 116 (54, 328) | < 0.001 |
| Triglyceride | 1.38 (0.99, 1.98) | 1.44 (1.03, 2.03) | 0.009 |
| TC | 4.28 (3.55, 5.06) | 4.12 (3.44, 4.98) | < 0.001 |
| LDL-C | 2.27 (1.69, 2.88) | 2.15 (1.63, 2.83) | 0.323 |
| HDL-C | 1.11 (0.94, 1.31) | 1.05 (0.89, 1.24) | < 0.001 |
| China-PAR | 5.4 (3.4, 8.0) | 8.2 (5.4, 12.0) | < 0.001 |
| < 5%, *n* (%) | 1497 (44.5) | 710 (20.6) | < 0.001 |
| 5%-10%, *n* (%) | 1380 (41.0) | 1501 (43.5) | < 0.001 |
| > 10%, *n* (%) | 488 (14.5) | 1237 (35.9) | < 0.001 |
| Gensini score  | 2 (0, 5) | 26 (16, 45) | < 0.001 |

Values are expressed as the mean ± SD or *n* (%), median (minimum–maximum).

1*P* values from ANOVA or Kruskal–Wallis test as appropriate for continuous variables and with Chi-square test for categorical variables. CAD: Coronary artery disease; BMI: Body mass index; DM: Diabetes mellitus; ASCVD: Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; EF: Ejection fraction; eGFR: Epidermal growth factor receptor; LDL-C: Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; China-PAR: Prediction for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in China.
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